





Welcome to the Missouri Senior Report 2009

Missouri Senior Report 2009 is a collaborative effort by the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) and the University of Missouri Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA) to inform state and local audiences about the contributions and needs of seniors in Missouri.

In addition, this report would not have been possible without the assistance and support of the ten Missouri Area Agencies on Aging and the Missouri Senior Report Advisory Committee.

This report can be accessed online at:

www.MissouriSeniorReport.org

Permission to copy, disseminate, or otherwise use information from this report is granted as long as appropriate acknowledgement is given.

Suggested citation: Missouri Senior Report 2009, Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services and the Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis, University of Missouri.



Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services
P.O. Box 570
Jefferson City, MO 65102
www.dhss.mo.gov

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY/AFFIRMATIVE ACTION EMPLOYER Services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis

Contents

A message from Margaret 1. Donnelly,
Director, Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services1
Introduction2
Focus Articles
The Impact of Missouri Senior Tax Levies12
Health Disparities Among Seniors20
How to Use the Senior Report28
State Data Report30
County Data Reports3
Comparative County Ranks147
Glossary of Indicators171



Dear Fellow Missourians:

By mid-century, seniors will outnumber children and youths for the first time in history.

Our state is the only one in the nation that issues an annual report on the status of seniors. We can all be proud of our efforts.

We rolled out our first senior report in 2006, and this year's version offers something new — a personal story about a 91-year-old woman and her son who benefitted from a senior service tax levy. The feature demonstrates the ingenuity of counties across Missouri as they adapt to and support their growing senior population. The report continues to provide county-level data and other information to help state and local policymakers, service providers, and seniors themselves plan for future needs.

One of the highest priorities for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is to increase the number of older adults who live longer, healthier lives. The Department of Health and Senior Services shares that priority. We believe *Missouri Senior Report 2009* is an important tool in achieving that goal.

The Department of Health and Senior Services and the University of Missouri Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis collaborated to bring you this fourth annual report. I am confident you can use it to meet our seniors' current needs and as a blueprint for the future.

Sincerely,

Margaret T. Donnelly

langart T. Donnelly

Director

The number of Missourians age 65 and older is projected to grow by some 450,000 over the next 15 years, bringing the total number of seniors to an estimated 1,255,000. This growth will increase the proportion of seniors in the state's population from an estimated 13.6 percent today to 15.1 percent by 2015 and to 19.1 percent by 2025. As the baby boomers age, their values and life experiences will influence Missourians' perceptions of the resources, needs, capacities and strengths of seniors. *Missouri Senior Report 2009* is a resource to inform state and local policy makers, service providers and families, as they plan for the impact of an increasingly older Missouri.

The report provides a snapshot of the status of Missouri seniors. It addresses their economic well-being, household and community engagement, health care status and access to medical treatment. The report also includes annual population projections and evaluations of seniors' quality of life and wellness. It includes articles on Missouri's senior tax levies and health disparities among seniors.

Trend data are available for eight indicators. Statewide, Missouri has improved on four of these indicators between 2000 and 2008. Improvements are noted in workforce participation, housing, transportation and health care access. The economic well-being indicator has remained relatively constant. Trends declined for household composition, long term-care costs and health status. The economic contribution, civic engagement and safety indicators were created just last year, making a trend analysis not yet meaningful.

Trends in the eight indicators vary throughout the state, reflecting the state's demographic diversity. The county populations range from nearly one million in St. Louis County to about 2,000 in Worth County. Changes in population patterns also vary greatly. Between 2000 and 2008, Christian County, sandwiched between Springfield and Branson, grew by an estimated 37 percent in total population and 45 percent in senior population. In contrast, Worth County in northwest Missouri experienced almost a 14 percent decline in total population and a 4 percent decline in the number of seniors.

Missouri includes counties that are urban, suburban or rural. That character greatly affects each county's economy, culture and senior population. For instance, seniors in Missouri's most rural counties, particularly those in northern Missouri, tend to be older and more reliant on retirement income than seniors in more populated areas. Seniors in Missouri's metropolitan counties are more likely to have convenient access to health care, access to transportation, and participate in the workforce. To address this diversity, the report presents comparative information for individual Missouri counties. The report ranks each county on annually updated outcome indicators. It also includes an overall county composite rank – a summary index of the overall well-being of seniors by county. To place these annual outcome measures in the broader community context,

"status" indicators describe the demographic composition, quality of life, and health and wellness of seniors.

Understanding Senior Report Outcome and Status Indicators

The senior report indicators present an annual snapshot of each of Missouri's counties. The indicators and measures were selected through input from many Missourians with a personal or professional passion for the well-being of seniors. The senior report advisory committee provides ongoing input into the report's structure and content. One of its subcommittees selected the topics and authors for this year's articles.

The *Missouri Senior Report 2009* is located on the Web at: www.missouriseniorreport.org. It features the content in the printed report and allows users to access data used to calculate the outcome and status indicators. For example, the population estimates can be viewed by age and gender. The data, provided in both tabular and graphic format, can be viewed online and downloaded. The 'County Profile Tables' feature (accessible under 'Quick Links') allows users to select a specific county and browse tabular data by outcome and status indicators. The 'Dynamic Reports Generator Menu' (accessible by clicking on the 'Data' icon located in the header) allows users to select multiple counties, years and indicators to produce downloadable tables and graphs for use in presentations and reports. Previous senior reports are archived and accessible at the site. You may also access a print-ready version of *Missouri Senior Report 2009*.

Indicators

Missouri Senior Report 2009 is organized around "outcome" and "status" indicators. Outcome indicators measure progress over time. Tracking trends in those indicators can help improve the health, social, and economic well-being of Missouri seniors. Counties are ranked by each outcome indicator. The indicator rankings are combined to compute the composite outcome ranking. Status indicators present demographic, quality of life, and health status measures for a single point in time.

The composite index ranking is based on the sum of the standardized values for nine of the outcome measures. It represents an overall measure of the well-being of seniors. The purpose of the ranking is to help focus improvement on local factors that contribute to the quality of life of Missouri seniors.

Outcome and status measures are tested for statistical reliability and validity. Because outcome indicators are measured annually, they are collected from various sources, including state administrative records such as the Missouri Board of Healing Arts and the Missouri Department of Social Services, and federal reporting agencies such as the U.S. Census Bureau and the Bureau of Labor Statistics.


Status indicators describing population characteristics are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau. Health and wellness indicators are drawn from the Center for Disease Control Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) instrument. The health and wellness indicators are available through a Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services and Missouri Foundation for Health partnership. The glossaries of outcome and status indicators provide a detailed description of the construction and source of each measure.

Emerging Issues


The Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis conducted a 2008 survey to learn how the senior report is used in communities around the state and discover what features and information might be added to enhance the report's value. We learned the issues that concern seniors, their loved ones and caregivers. Missourians spoke to us about the cost of prescription drugs, the need for better transportation options and the desire for seniors to remain in their homes and communities as long as possible. We were told about the value of social engagement, the fear of under-reporting of elder abuse and financial exploitation and the need to prepare for the aging of the baby boom generation.

As *Missouri Senior Report 2009* goes to print, the United States continues to face a severe economic recession. High unemployment and mortgage defaults have led many people to deplete their retirement accounts. The recession has had a profound impact on seniors. This report begins to reflect the impact of the downturn. The value of an annual report is that it can reveal trends and allow policymakers to be informed as they address the concerns and desires of a community. We also want to know what you think of this report. Contact us at 573-884-5116 or via the Web at: www.MissouriSeniorReport.org.


Supplemental Security Income Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income, 2007



Percent of Seniors Working for Pay, 2007



Percent Consumer Expenditures by Seniors, 2008



Outcome Indicators

Economic Well-being

Economic well-being for seniors can be measured by the percentage of seniors living in poverty. In 2000 the poverty rate for Missouri seniors was 9.9 percent, as compared to 10.9 percent nationally. While ACS poverty estimates for the senior population are now available annually at the state level, they will not be provided by the U.S. Census Bureau at the county level until 2011. However, Bureau of Economic Analysis countylevel estimates on the numbers of low-income individuals and seniors who receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI) are available on an annual basis. Therefore, a relative index of economic well-being was created by calculating SSI payments as a percentage of total personal income. In Missouri, overall SSI payments represent 0.33 of one percent of total personal income, consistent with last year's estimate. By county, this index of economic well-being ranges from a high of nearly 2 percent in Pemiscot County to a low of under 0.10 of one percent in Platte and St. Charles counties.


Workforce Participation

Senior participation in the workforce may be viewed as either an adverse or a positive outcome. An adverse view may result if seniors work because they are strapped for cash and would prefer to be fully retired. If, however, seniors want to remain economically and socially engaged and are employed in service and retail jobs that do not require strenuous physical activity, the outcome can be viewed as positive. On balance, the advisory committee views an increase in senior workforce participation as positive. Senior participation in the Missouri workforce has increased from 9.8 percent in 2001 to 11.9 percent in 2007, after dipping to 8.2 in 2005. By county, senior participation in the workforce ranged from a low of approximately 1.5 percent in Douglas County to a high of 24 percent in Taney County in 2007.


Economic Contribution

Seniors spend a great deal locally, frequently at higher rates than people younger than 65. This report includes a measure that provides the ratio of seniors' economic contribution relative to the proportion of seniors in a county's population. Overall, Missouri's seniors are responsible for about 15.8 percent of consumer expenditures, yet comprise 13.6 percent of the state's population. Seniors' economic impact ranged widely among counties. Seniors' spending accounted for 10.7 percent of all spending in St. Charles County, but more than 33 percent in Cedar County. In all but 11 Missouri counties, seniors' expenditures exceed the proportion of the senior population.


Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened, 2008



Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License 2008



Seniors Living in Families, 2008



Housing

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) considers families who pay more than 30 percent of their income for housing as 'cost burdened'; these families may have difficulty affording necessities such as food, clothing, transportation and medical care. Housing costs include mortgage or rent, taxes, insurance and utilities. Seniors living on fixed incomes are particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in housing costs. On average, 28.2 percent of Missouri's seniors are cost burdened. When considered by county, the number of seniors burdened by housing costs ranged from approximately 15.4 percent in Andrew County to 41.7 percent in St. Louis City.


Transportation

Transportation is necessary in order to obtain goods and services and to participate in work and social activities. Whether seniors have the capacity to meet their transportation needs is often measured by how many hold a valid driver's license. Transportation needs are also likely to vary, depending on the availability of mass transit. Whatever transportation arrangements seniors make, the lack of a driver's license in Missouri indicates that transportation is an issue. The number of Missouri seniors with a valid driver's license increased from 76.7 percent in 2001 to 84.2 percent in 2008. In suburban and rural counties with lower percentages of licensed senior drivers, transportation is likely to be a more pressing issue than in similar counties with higher percentages of senior drivers, or in more urbanized areas that have public and private transportation resources. In 2008, the percentage of Missouri seniors with a valid driver's license ranged from a high of 95 percent in Benton, Camden, Cass, Daviess, Douglas, Ozark, Stone and Taney counties, to a low of 54.8 percent in St. Louis City.


Household Composition

The 2000 U.S. Census indicates Missouri had a relatively large proportion of seniors living in single person households. Seniors who live with someone are less likely to be socially isolated and may have help with many issues. Consequently, household composition is an important indicator for seniors' well-being. Because census measures of single person households are not available annually, the percentage of seniors filing joint Missouri income tax returns was used to gauge household composition. Between 2001 and 2007, the number of seniors filing joint income tax returns declined from 44.7 to 39.9 percent. In 2007 the number of seniors filing joint returns ranged from a high of 52.9 percent in Pulaski County to a low of 25.3 percent in Knox County.


Seniors Registered and Voting, 2008



Medicaid Costs for Long-Term-Care per 1,000 Persons



Senior Safety Index, 2008



Civic Engagement

Seniors contribute to their communities through a wide range of civic, humanitarian and religious volunteer activities. Capturing these activities consistently across counties and over time remains a challenge. However, we can know the degree to which seniors participate in the most fundamental of democratic activities – voting. Civic engagement is measured using the number of seniors as registered voters and as participants in elections on an annual basis. In 2008, Sullivan County seniors were registered to vote and voted at the highest rate, while Howell County seniors had the lowest rate of voter participation.


Long-Term Care

Long-term care represents a significant health care cost for seniors, who tend to have limited incomes, and for Missouri because of MOHealthNet (Medicaid). The number and value of long-term care insurance policies would be a useful measure for this indicator. However, that information is not reported by county. Consequently, this report presents the portion of long-term care costs paid by Medicaid for in-home and institutionalized long-term care services per capita. This annual measure shows the trend, if not the full expense, of long-term care. Long-term care costs increased from \$122 per capita in 2000 to \$143 per capita in 2008. However, both health care costs and the percentage of people eligible for Medicaid vary greatly by county. Therefore, this indicator is not used in the construction of the overall county index of senior well-being.


Safety

Understanding the relationship between seniors and safety is complicated. As with all populations, seniors are at risk of becoming victims of property and violent crimes. However, seniors who are physically or psychologically vulnerable are at increased risk of suffering accidents and abuse within their own homes. While crime data by age of victim is unavailable, we can measure the overall crime rate in a county. For the safety indicator, we included cases of abuse and neglect as reported through the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services' Elder Abuse and Neglect Hotline. These combined data sources are reported as an indexed rate per 1,000 persons. Carroll County experienced the lowest crime and senior abuse incidents in 2008, occurring at a rate of 11.1 per 1,000 persons, while St. Louis City experienced the highest rate of 83 per 1,000 persons. Accordingly, the number of property and violent crimes per 1,000 persons is reported as an outcome measure. The Missouri overall crime rate declined from 48.8 in 2001 to 43.1 in 2008. In 2008 the crude crime rate ranged from a low of 4.4 crimes per 1,000 persons in Chariton County to a high of 115.2 in St. Louis City.

Hospitalization and ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors 2005 - 2007



Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors, 2008



Health Status

Selecting one health status measure for the senior population is particularly difficult because of the wide range of health issues confronting seniors. The Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services tracks numerous health and mental health indicators to inform communities of health status needs. The senior report advisory committee decided to base this indicator on the "number of hospitalizations and ER visits for diabetes, averaged over three years per 10,000 seniors."

Tracking diabetes-related care is a valuable proxy for health status because (a) the number of cases by county is sufficient to produce a reliable rate; (b) diabetes is related to many other health problems; and (c) effective preventive measures can reduce the incidence of diabetes and related health problems. The rate of diabetes hospitalizations and ER visits per 10,000 seniors in Missouri increased slightly, from 71.6 in 2002 to 72.6 in 2006. In 2006 the rate ranged from a high of 166.1 per 10,000 seniors in Pemiscot County to 6.7 in Worth County.

Health Care Access

Health care access is essential for the overall well-being of seniors. Reliable, convenient access to primary care increases the capacity of seniors to live independently. The senior report measures health care access for seniors as a rate of the number of primary care physicians per 1,000 seniors. Primary care physicians frequently serve a demographically diverse patient base. However, primary care specialties are typically defined as: family practice, family medicine, general practice, internal medicine, general surgery, gynecology/obstetrics, and pediatrics. The physician's professional registration database captures practice specialties and was matched to Missouri's Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (BNDD) database, which requires physicians to report the counties in which they practice. Based on those data, the rate of primary care physicians per 1,000 seniors increased from a state rate of 7.1 in 2004 to 13.1 in 2008. In 2008 access to primary care physicians ranged from a low of no full-time primary care physicians practicing in Hickory County to more than 42 per 1,000 seniors in Boone County.

Status Indicators

Demographics

The proportion of seniors in Missouri's population was 13.5 percent in 2000 and 13.6 percent in 2008. By 2015 the proportion of Missouri's population aged 65 or older is projected to increase to 15.1 percent; by 2025, to 19.1 percent. Those proportions will be higher than the proportion of seniors in the nation overall. Missouri's total population is 5,911,605. Between 2000

and 2008, the state sustained a slow but steady 5.4 percent overall growth. The state's 65 and older population also grew relatively slowly during this period, from 755,837 in 2000 to 805,235 in 2008, an increase of about 6.5 percent. The first baby boomers will turn 65 in 2011, beginning a trend of relative growth in the senior population that will continue until 2030. An important characteristic of the senior population is that women outnumber men. In 2008, nearly 70 percent of Missourians age 85 or older were women. That gender difference is projected to moderate somewhat in the next 15 years. By 2015, women are projected to be about 68 percent of the 85 and older population; by 2025, 65 percent.

Quality of Life

Missouri Senior Report 2009 includes six measures from the U.S. Census Bureau that speak to the overall quality of life of seniors. By 2011, the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey will release annual estimates for those measures for all Missouri counties. This year's report includes ACS estimates for counties with populations of 65,000 or more. For counties with a population smaller than 65,000, the Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis calculated estimates for 2008 based on current population estimates and ACS Public Use Microdata Area regional estimates.

Owner-Occupied Housing

Seniors' housing needs are more likely to be met if they live in owner-occupied housing. According to the ACS 2008 estimate, Missouri reported that 80.9 percent of seniors lived in owner-occupied housing, an increase of almost two percentage points from 2000. The rate ranged from 92.8 percent in Maries County to about 64.9 percent in St. Louis City.

Seniors Living in Families

Family life enhances the senior population's well-being. Seniors who live alone are more likely to be socially isolated and at greater risk of accidental injury and physical and mental illness. The census defines families as two or more related persons living in the same household. Persons residing in single person households are not reported as "families." In 2008, 62.6 percent of Missouri seniors lived in family households. By county, the number of seniors living in family households ranged from a high of 76.4 percent in Stone County to approximately 47.7 percent in DeKalb County.

Median Value of Owner-Occupied Housing

The ownership of a house represents a significant asset for most seniors, and the relative value of housing is a useful indicator of both seniors' and community assets. In 2008, the median value of owner-occupied housing in Missouri was \$141,500, up from \$89,800 in 2000. By county, the median value of housing ranged from a high of \$202,800 in St. Charles County to a low of \$48,255 in Worth County.

Seniors in Poverty

The proportion of seniors living in poverty is a direct measure of economic need. According to 2008 ACS-based estimates, 9.3 percent of Missouri seniors lived in poverty, compared to 9.9 percent in 2000. The poverty rate for seniors

ranged from a low of 2.5 percent in St. Charles County to a high of nearly 22 percent in Sullivan County.

Average Income of Senior Households

In 2008, the average income estimate for Missouri's senior households was \$44,665, ranging from \$67,615 in Boone County to \$22,676 in Putnam County.

Seniors with a College Education

Seniors with a higher education generally tend to fare better on household and community wealth, and well-being. In 2008, an estimated 16.4 percent of Missouri seniors had completed a college education. The highest proportion of graduates – 36.5 percent – lived in Boone County. The lowest was 3.7 percent in both Schuyler and Ste. Genevieve counties.

Health and Wellness

The health and wellness of Missouri seniors can be gauged in several ways. This report focuses on seven indicators of long-term health and wellness that can be influenced by preventative practices and public health interventions. Because of variations in sample size and response rates at the county level, age-cohort specific reporting may vary from state-level estimates. Additional information about both regional and county-level data, as well as references about health indicators and health practices, can be found on the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services' Web sites at www.dhss.mo.gov/Health/index.html.

No Exercise, 2007

In 2007, 38.5 percent of Missouri seniors reported they did not exercise compared with the national rate of 32.5 percent. Individual counties ranged widely in the percentage of seniors not engaging in exercise. Fifty percent of Dunklin County seniors reported engaging in no exercise, while less than 24 percent of Webster County seniors reported limited physical activities.

No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007

Approximately 37 percent of Missouri seniors report not having a screening test for colon cancer (sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy) within the past 10 years. Fifty-three percent of Worth County seniors had not undergone the screening within a 10-year period compared to slightly less than 14 percent in St. Louis City.

High Blood Pressure, 2007

About 40 percent of Missouri seniors reported a diagnosis of high blood pressure compared with 58 percent of seniors nationwide. The range within Missouri counties varied greatly. Consistent with national trends, 57 percent of New Madrid seniors reported receiving a diagnosis of high blood pressure. But only 27 percent of Cass County seniors reported receiving this diagnosis.

Obesity, 2007

Slightly more than 25 percent of Missouri seniors responding to the county-level study reported a body mass index (BMI) that indicated obesity, compared with 22 percent of seniors nationally. Thirty-seven percent of Clark County

seniors reported their BMI in the obese range, compared to slightly less than 16 percent in Mississippi County.

Smoking, 2007

Approximately 11 percent of Missouri seniors reported they smoked, compared with 8.6 percent seniors nationally. The highest rate in Missouri was Madison County, where more than 21 percent of seniors reported smoking. Dade, Lafayette and Perry counties had the lowest number of reported senior smokers with 4 percent or less.

No Mammography, 2007

Of women age 65 and older surveyed through the 2007 county-level study, half reported not having a mammogram in the past year. Female seniors in Jackson County were the least likely to undergo the procedure, female seniors in St. Charles County the most likely.

High Cholesterol, 2007

About 25 percent of Missouri seniors reported having been told by a health care professional that they have high cholesterol levels. Mississippi County reported the highest percentage at more than 42 percent. Cooper and Cape Girardeau counties reported the lowest proportion of seniors with unhealthy cholesterol levels with less than 15 percent.

Understanding the impact of a property tax levy on provision of senior services and quality of life for Missouri seniors

By Tina Uridge, Executive Director of Clay County Senior Services, Amber Moodie-Dyer, Research Assistant, OSEDA, Tracy Greever-Rice, Associate Director, OSEDA


Eight states, including Missouri and Ohio, use county property tax levies to help fund senior services. Yet, only Ohio has studied the services that are funded and the number of seniors helped (Payne, Applebaum, Molea & Ross, 2007).

Missouri solicited this study to learn, as Ohio did, the affect tax levies have on the provision of senior services and to aid communities in meeting the needs of their growing senior population.

Who participated in this study?

This study is based on public information provided to the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services from counties with tax levies that fund senior services. Forty-six of Missouri's 114 counties have such levies, and each was asked to complete a survey. Twenty-three did so, in 2008 and 2009, yielding a 50 percent return rate. The survey captured the duration of, and level of support for, each county's levy, the dollar amount collected, and the number and types of senior services funded.

Tax Levy for Seniors and Survey Respondents by County, 2008



Source: US Census Bureau, Population Estimates, 2008
University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA), Tax Levy Board Survey, 2009
Prepared By: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA)
Generated On: 14 May 2009

The survey captured the duration of, and level of support for, each county's levy, the dollar amount collected, and the number and types of senior services funded.

Two years ago, Norma was not sure whether she would ever return home.

Norma's story

Clay County, a participant in this study, illustrates how conducting a local needs assessment of older adults and their caregivers can identify which needs are not fully being met. For instance, Clay County learned that transportation is the greatest challenge for its older adults. Therefore, the county spent \$190,500, or 11% of its senior tax levy fund, to provide 5,640 medical appointment rides to 300 seniors in 2008.

Norma Haas, 91, an avid reader who begins her days doing crossword puzzles, is quick to tout the benefits of Clay County's tax levy fund. The fund has enabled her to receive care in her own home, which is the overwhelming care choice of older Americans. The care she receives is provided by her son, Jim, her primary caregiver for years.

Two years ago, Norma was not sure whether she would ever return home. Several falls left her with a fractured collarbone, hip and leg. It was a hard situation to accept for an adventurous woman who, in her seventies, still enjoyed scuba diving on the Great Barrier Reef and parasailing. After a tough rehabilitation, Norma struggled with depression. What kept her going was her desire to return home to Jim.

With the aid of the Clay County tax levy fund, Jim created a care plan that enables him to care for his mother at home and maintain a full-time job.

"The support from the county senior fund has been a blessing to us," says Jim. "My mom now has transportation to attend Brookside Adult Day Health Care two times per week and really enjoys it there—they have become her extended family."

On days Norma does not attend the adult day care center, she receives in-home respite care, partly paid by the tax levy fund.

Lori Childs, care manager with SeniorLink, a home care agency hired by the Clay County Senior Service Fund, said the plan had served Norma well.

"She has not fallen down in two years, has not been hospitalized, and is maintaining stable health," Childs said.

"That is the intended outcome of the services provided by the senior levy – to maintain quality of life by supporting seniors to safely age in place in their own homes and communities," said Tina Uridge, executive director


of Clay County Senior Services.

History

Though property tax levies in Missouri have funded children's and mental health services for years, Missouri did not allow those levies to fund senior services until 1990. The levies are enacted on a county-by-county basis. They supplement public and private funding for senior services already in place.

Missouri did not allow levies to fund senior services until 1990.

Year Tax Levy for Seniors Adopted by Survey Respondents by County, 2008



Source: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA), Tax Levy Board Survey, 2009
Prepared By: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA)
Generated On: 14 May 2009

For instance, Missouri has ten Area Agencies on Aging that provide nutrition programs, in-home services, legal services, disease prevention programs and transportation to people 60 and older (State of Missouri, Department of Health and Senior Services, n.d.). The agencies were created in 1973, the result of an amendment to the federal Older Americans Act (OAA) that mandates their existence in each state (Achenbaum, 2008).

Medicaid health services for qualifying Missourians 65 years or older have also been in existence for years, and are coordinated by the MO HealthNet Division (State of Missouri, Family Support Division, 2009). However, traditional funding streams such as Medicare, Medicaid and OAA have been stretched to their limits in times of federal budget crunches,

according to Achenbaum. Thus, states have increased spending for coordination of senior services, program oversight and the development of local funding streams, including local funding from county property tax levies. Payne & Applebaum (2008) argue that such levies will be increasingly relied upon to support senior services.

Demographics of Study Participants

The 23 Missouri counties that participated in this study are distributed evenly throughout the state and are diverse in terms of population.¹ ² Thirty-nine percent are U.S. Census Bureau-defined metropolitan counties, 17 percent are micropolitan counties, and 44 percent are rural. In 2007, their average county population was 43,096, with a range from 4,913 to 263,980. Their average senior population was 5,822, with a range from 950 to 35,964, and seniors comprised about 16 percent of their populations, with a range from 9 to 21 percent.

The levies have been in place varying amounts of time. About 40 percent passed a tax levy prior to 2000, and about 60 percent passed one in the last eight years. On average, the levies were approved by a ratio of 60 percent to 40 percent.

Slightly more than half the counties used a campaign committee to persuade voters to pass the levy. Most counties' voters approved the levy the first time it appeared on the ballot (91 percent). No county has repealed the levy. However, 43 percent report the tax has been rolled back slightly since passage.


Most counties collect \$.05 for every \$100 of property assessed. Over the last three years, the counties collected an average of \$303,988, with a range of \$12,243 to \$2,045,304. That large variance makes it important to note the median amount collected—\$87,589. Estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau and the American Communities Survey Program were used to determine that average spending per senior is \$37; the average amount per capita is \$5.

Most of the 23 counties seek geographic and professional diversity in their board members, who are primarily seniors.

¹The 23 counties are: Andrew, Atchison, Butler, Cape Girardeau, Carter, Clay, DeKalb, Dent, Greene, Grundy, Henry, Holt, Miller, Nodaway, Perry, Platte, Polk, Putnam, Ray, Reynolds, Stone, Washington, and Webster (the senior tax in Perry County is from sales tax).

²Other Missouri counties with a mil tax for senior services were also contacted. They are: Barry, Benton, Bollinger, Camden, Cedar, Christian, Crawford, Daviess, Gentry, Harrison, Lawrence, Linn, Mercer, Mississippi, Morgan, Oregon, Pulaski, Ripley, Schuyler, Shannon, St. Francois, Ste. Genevieve, and Worth (the senior tax in Mississippi County is from sales tax).

Tax Levy Dollar Amount Spent per Senior by Survey Respondents by County, 2008



Source: US Census Bureau, Population Estimates, 2008
University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA), Tax Levy Board Survey, 2009
Prepared By: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA)
Generated On: 14 May 2009

Who manages the property tax levy funds?

The property tax levy funds are managed by board members who are selected by county commissioners. The commissioners often use a formal application process to select the board members. In addition, 18 of the 23 county boards have bylaws. About half of the county boards meet at least once a month (11), while nine meet three to six times a year, and three meet once a year or as needed. Only two county boards employ paid staff, and the majority of boards place either the board treasurer or county treasurer in charge of the fund's accounting functions. Four of the 23 counties conduct an audit of the senior tax fund, in addition to the state's county audit. Each county uses about 3 percent of its fund for administrative purposes.

Most of the 23 counties seek geographic and professional diversity in their board members, who are primarily seniors. Board members' professions include health, education, government, business, military, homemaking, finance, social services, and farming, among others. Half of the counties have board members from at least four cities within their counties.

Almost all of the counties use a formal application process to determine

which agencies will be funded through their senior service tax levies. About half use a request for proposal format; others have a rolling application process. All counties report that funding decisions are determined by their board members and that agencies who receive funding must provide a report at least once a year.

What type of services do the levies fund?

The top three agencies or providers who receive levy funding are, respectively, senior centers, transportation services and nutrition services. The following percentage of counties reported that their levies also fund these services:

- 1) Information and Referral 24 percent;
- 2) Senior center administration and maintenance 62 percent;
- 3) Home-delivered meals 76 percent;
- 4) Home making/personal care/chores assistance 43 percent;
- 5) In-home health care assistance (personal care/respite) 33 percent;
- 6) Home medical equipment 5 percent;
- 7) Home repairs 33 percent;
- 8) Emergency response assistance 33 percent;
- 9) Life enrichment programs/healthy aging/educational programs 38 percent;
- 10) Alzheimer's/dementia 10 percent;
- 11) Adult day care 24 percent;
- 12) Caregiver services/support 19 percent; and,
- 13) Case management 19 percent.

Five counties report a waiting list for home making, personal care, and chores assistance.

Counties Coordinate Services with Other Agencies

Almost half of the counties coordinate services with Area Agencies on Aging or other agencies (43 percent). More than half allow fund recipients to make voluntary contributions to the fund. Thirty-two percent of the counties use senior services tax funds as a match to leverage funds from Older Adults Transportation Services, the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Older Americans Act.

Getting the Word Out

The counties use various media to inform their citizens about the benefits of property tax levies to fund senior services. Seventy-three percent use the newspaper or other media, 23 percent community presentations, and 18 percent use word of mouth or other agencies. One county used a senior tax levy board Web site; another did a mass mailing.

The counties also use various methods to determine the needs of their seniors. Forty-five percent conducted a needs assessment; 68 percent reported using discussions with community leaders and service providers; and 55 percent also use constituent requests.

The biggest challenge for 50 percent of the counties is having more demand for senior services than they can fund. Other challenges include getting the word out to agencies and the community, finding experienced board members, and deciding which agencies to fund.

Community Leaders Tout Benefits of Tax Levy Funds

Community leaders believe that tax levy funds allow local seniors to remain in their homes and communities longer.

"In-home support services for those not eligible for Medicaid and for those not able to afford private-pay services are important to prevent premature institutionalization," one tax levy board chairman said.

"Our service providers are able to help those who might not otherwise be able to get help from any other source," said another board chairman.

Conclusion

Despite evidence of the positive outcomes of tax levies to fund senior services, critics argue the funding creates an inequitable and fragmented system. This may be especially true in rural counties where the tax base is lower than in metropolitan counties, yet the proportion of seniors in the population is higher (Hornbostel, 2004). However, as federal and state funds continue to shrink in the current economic climate, local tax levies may be one of the only viable options to support a growing senior population. More research is needed to continue to examine and monitor the effects of the levies as they are passed and implemented in additional counties and states.

for those not eligible for Medicaid and for those not able to afford private-pay

services are

important

to prevent

premature

institution-

alization."

"In-home

support services

Achenbaum, W.A. (2008). The historical roles of states in shaping U.S. aging policies. *Generations*, 32(3), 10-15.

References

Hornbostel, R. (2004). Power of local advocacy: Funding for senior services in Ohio. *Generations*, 28(1), 79-81.

- Lee, J.S. and Rock, B.D. (2009). Psychosocial care in home health policy: Missed opportunities and possible solutions. *Home Health Care Management and Practice*, 21(4), 259-265. doi: 10.1177/1084822308329248
- Payne, M. and Applebaum, R. (2008). Local funding of senior services: Good policy or just good politics? *Generations*, 23(3), 81-85.
- Payne, M., Applebaum, R., Molea, M. and Ross, D. E. (2007). Funding services from the bottom up: An overview of senior services levy programs in Ohio. *The Gerontologist*, 47(4), 555-558.
- State of Missouri, Family Support Division. (2009). MO HealthNet for the aged, blind and disabled. Retrieved from http://www.dss.mo.gov/fsd/massist.htm
- State of Missouri, Department of Health and Senior Services. (n.d.). Area Agencies on Aging and services. Retrieved from http://www.dhss.mo.gov/AAA/

This paper is the fourth in a series published in the Missouri Senior Report that explores the nature and impact of health disparities by seniors' demographic and socioeconomic status. It provides an update on previous years' analyses and a description of Missouri's seniors (persons 65+) by race, ethnicity and gender.

A Trend Analysis of Race, Ethnicity and Gender

By Tracy Greever-Rice, Associate Director, OSEDA, Amber Moodie-Dyer, Research Assistant, OSEDA

Despite efforts
over the last 10
years, health
disparities still
exist nationally
across racial
and ethnic
groups in
screening,
mortality and
treatment.

The United States began paying attention to racial and ethnic health disparities among its citizens more than a decade ago and implemented several efforts to address the problem (Gehlert, Mininger, Sohmer & Berg, 2008). In 1998, President Clinton created the "Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities Initiative." In 2002, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services launched the "Closing the Health GAP" campaign.

Those initiatives sought to raise awareness about how the following health conditions affect whites, blacks, and Hispanics differently: diabetes, heart disease, stroke, cancer, infant mortality, child and adult immunizations, and HIV/AIDS (DHHS, 2005).

Despite the initiatives, health disparities still exist nationally across racial and ethnic groups in screening, mortality and treatment (Gehlert et al., 2008). The senior population suffers more than any other group from diseases such as diabetes, heart disease, stroke and cancer.


The 2007 Missouri Senior Report examined ethnic and racial health disparities among Missouri seniors using death rate and diagnosis data from 2004 and 2005 (Greever-Rice & Hudson, 2007). More recent data, however, shows trends and changes in disease mortality for Missouri's white, black, Hispanic and non-Hispanic seniors. Overall, some gains have occurred in the last five years, but health disparities persist. The health conditions used in this analysis include heart disease, cancer, diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, kidney disease and hypertension.


Findings

Heart Disease

Heart disease is the leading cause of death among Missouri seniors and it strikes fairly evenly across racial, ethnic and gender lines. In addition,

the total death rate from heart disease shows a trend of decline from 2003 (1770.5 per 100,000) to 2007 (1462.3 per 100,000).







Black male
seniors are
almost 40
percent more
likely to die
from cancer
than white male
seniors.

Cancer

Cancer, the second leading cause of death for Missouri seniors, shows more disturbing results in terms of racial and gender disparity. Black male seniors, for example, are almost 40 percent more likely to die from the disease than white male seniors (1844.3 death rate vs. 1109.2 death rate). Yet overall cancer death rates for black seniors (1333.9) are only modestly higher overall compared to white seniors (1109.2). The reason is that cancer death rates are much closer between black female seniors (1025.4) and white female seniors (917.9).







Diabetes

The diabetes death-rate disparity between black and white seniors persists. Rates are almost twice as high for black seniors (275.9) compared to white seniors (134.2). However, diabetes death rates have decreased for both groups over the last five years: for white seniors, from 147.4 in 2003 to 123.7 in 2007; for black seniors, from 297.4 in 2003 to 245.3 in 2007.

But gender plays a significant role in the diabetes death rate for blacks. Black senior men are much more likely to die from diabetes than black


senior women; in fact, their death rates surpassed black women's death rates in both 2006 and 2007.






Kidney disease

The death-rate disparity for kidney disease between black and white seniors also has persisted. African-American seniors have about a 35 percent higher death rate than white seniors, regardless of gender.







Hypertension

The biggest reduction in health disparity between black and white seniors has occurred in deaths caused by hypertension. Though the death rate of white seniors has stayed fairly constant over the last five years, about 44 per 100,000, the death rate of black seniors has decreased—from 112.9 in 2003 to 77.2 in 2007. Although a significant disparity still exists, the gap is shrinking.

The biggest reduction in health disparity between black and white seniors has occurred in deaths caused by hypertension.







Alzheimer's disease

The Alzheimer's disease death rate affects white seniors and women more dramatically than other groups. For instance, women have higher death rates from Alzheimer's disease than black or white men. For both races, Alzheimer's disease death rates are increasing. But the rates have increased 60 percent for blacks from 2003 to 2007, a dramatic jump when compared to a 25 percent increase for whites during the same period. The disease's dramatic increase among blacks is attributed to black women. However, whites still had a higher overall Alzheimer's death rate than blacks in 2007: 218.5 per 100,000, compared to 145.8 per 100,000, respectively.

The findings suggest a mixed record on efforts to reduce racial and ethnic health disparities among Missouri seniors in the last five years. Improvements include an overall death-rate decline for seniors in heart disease and diabetes. In addition, hypertension death rates in black seniors have declined, and the diabetes death rate in black women has also decreased. However, disparities still persist and have widened for certain diseases. Black male seniors, for instance, are dying from cancer at a much higher rate than black female seniors and white seniors. In addition, while the diabetes death rate has declined for black women, it has increased for black men. Black men and women still have significantly higher diabetes death rates than white seniors. Another disturbing trend is the Alzheimer's death-rate increase among black women, though the black death rate remains lower than the Alzheimer's death rate in the white population overall.

The Alzheimer's disease death rate affects white seniors and women more dramatically than other groups.





Seniors who receive little or no treatment for chronic diseases experience a poorer quality of life. They and their families ultimately incur higher health care costs.

Implications

These findings have substantial implications for Missouri seniors in terms of public policy, disease prevention, screening, and treatment programs. Disparities based on race and gender still persist. Seniors who receive little or no treatment for chronic diseases experience a poorer quality of life. They, and their families and communities, ultimately incur higher health care and long-term care costs. Progress has occurred in the death-rate disparity between whites and blacks on hypertension. Effective policy initiatives that focus on preventative care and lifestyle changes may explain the gain. More studies need to be conducted to understand how prevention and treatment barriers may contribute to the disparities.

References

- Gehlert, S., Mininger, C., Sohmer, D., & Berg, K. (2008). (Not so) gently down the stream: Choosing targets to ameliorate health disparities. *Health and Social Work, 33*(3), 163-167.
- Greever-Rice, T., & Hudson, S. (2007). Health Disparities among Seniors. Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2005). Closing the Health GAP Campaign. Retrieved November 23, 2009 from http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/templates/content.aspx?ID=2840

How to Use the Senior Report

What is an outcome indicator?

An outcome indicator represents an issue important to the overall well-being of seniors in your community, such as seniors' economic well-being and access to health care.

What is an outcome measure?

An outcome measure is the specific item that indicates how well seniors are doing in regard to an issue. For example, 'Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors' is the outcome measure for the outcome indicator, 'Health Care Access'. In order to be included in the Senior Report, all measures must be available on an annual basis and collected in a consistent manner across counties, allowing for both comparison over time and between counties.

What is a status indicator?

A status indicator describes the characteristics of the senior population in a county at a single point in time. A status indicator provides context for understanding and prioritizing the outcome indicators.

What is an index?

An index is a tool that combines more than one measure into a single value by converting different units of measurement into a standard unit of measurement. An index is used to describe an indicator when single measures are unavailable.

How do I interpret the county rank?

The county rank for an outcome indicator represents the relative position of a county in the context of all 114 Missouri counties and St. Louis City with "1" indicating the most positive finding.


Tables are also included that organize counties and rankings by three general categories of population density as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau: metropolitan (county or adjacent county with urbanized area 50,000+), micropolitan (county or adjacent county with urbanized area 10,000-50,000), and rural (county with no urbanized area >10,000).

How do I interpret the composite rank?

The composite county rank is an index of the sum of the standardized outcome measures and represents the relative position of a county in the context of all 114 Missouri counties and St. Louis City with "1" indicating the highest overall score. The economic contribution and long-term care costs indicators are not calculated in the composite rank due to variation in local economies.

State Data Report

Missouri Senior Report, 2009 Composite Rankings



Missouri Senior Report 2009

Map Prepared By: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA)

Map Generated On: 01 Feb 2010

Missouri

Population 65+, 2008 MO: 805,235 US: 38,869,716
Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008 MO: 6.5% US: 11.1%

Outcome Indicators

	Year	Measure	Trend		Year	Measure	Trend
Economic Well-being				Civic Engagement			
SSI Payments as Percent of	2001	0.33		Senior Voter Registration	2008	59.1	
Total Personal Income	2007	0.33	_	and Participation Index			
Workforce Participation				Long Term Care Costs			
Percent of Seniors Working	2001	9.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$	Medicaid Costs for Long	2000	\$122	را.
for Pay	2007	11.9%	T	Term Care per Capita	2008	\$143	V
Economic Contribution				Safety			
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.8		Crime and Senior Abuse per 1,000 Persons	2008	35.4	
Housing				Health Status *			
Percent of Seniors Housing	2000	23.8%	٠,١,٠	Hospitalizations and ER	2003	71.1	
Cost Burdened	2008	28.2%	V	Visits for Diabetes per 10,000	2006	72.6	V
Transportation				Health Care Access			
Percent of All Seniors with	2001	76.7%	A	Primary Care Physicians per	2004	7.1	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$
Missouri Driver's License	2008	84.2%	T	1,000 Seniors	2008	13.1	111
Household Composition							
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint	2001	44.7%		* Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007			
Income Tax Returns	2007	39.9%	V	** Data unavailable for 2007			

Status Indicators

Demographics	MO Measure	US Measure
Total Population, 2000	5,606,140	281,414,181
Total Population, 2008	5,911,605	304,059,724
Population Change/%, 2000-2008	305,465/5.4%	22,645,543/8.0%
Population 65+, 2000	755,837	34,990,486
Percent of Population 65+, 2000	13.5%	12.4%
Percent Female	59.3%	58.8%
Percent Male	40.7%	41.2%
Percent of Population 65+, 2008	13.6%	12.8%
Percent Female	58.0%	57.6%
Percent Male	42.0%	42.4%
Population Projections 65+, 2015	15.1%	14.5%
Percent Female	56.1%	57.0%
Percent Male	43.9%	43.0%
Population Projections 65+, 2025	19.1%	18.2%
Percent Female	54.6%	56.2%
Percent Male	45.4%	43.8%
Quality of Life	MO Measure	US Measure

Quality of Life	MO Measure	US Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.9%	78.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	62.6%	65.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$141,500	\$197,600
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.3%	9.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$44,665	\$52,057
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	16.4%	20.0%

Health and Wellness	MO Measure	US Measure
No Exercise, 2007 **	38.5%	. %
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 **	36.8%	. %
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.1%	57.9%
Obesity, 2007	25.2%	22.0%
Smoking, 2007	10.8%	8.6%
No Mammography, 2007 **	50.0%	. %
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.1%	53.7%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Adair

Population 65+, 2008: 3,044

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.5%



Composite County Rank: 7

Outcome Indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Rank: 7	日甘	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001 2007	0.47% 0.60%	$lack \Psi$	0.33%	80
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001 2007	9.0% 13.7%	个	11.9%	9
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.4%		15.8%	89
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000 2008	20.9% 25.6%	Ψ	28.2%	66
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001 2008	80.6% 89.7%	1	84.2%	29
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001 2007	42.2% 39.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	39.9%	63
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	58.6		59.1	67
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002 2008	\$153 \$173	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	\$143	46
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	35.6		35.4	94
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003 2006	65.6 72.0	$lack \Psi$	72.6	68
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004 2008	14.1 27.9	个	13.1	2

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	24,962		
Total Population,	2008		24,943
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-19/-0.1%
Population 65+, 2	3,059		
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	12.3%
Percent Female	61.1%	Percent Male	38.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	12.2%
Percent Female	59.4%	Percent Male	40.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	13.9%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.9%
Percent Female	52.3%	Percent Male	47.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	54.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$101,754
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,485
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	19.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	31.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.7%
Obesity, 2007	23.5%
Smoking, 2007	6.7%
No Mammography, 2007	42.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	18.6%
	Missouri Senior Report 2000

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Andrew

Population 65+, 2008: 2,320

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -2.6%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank. 17		23		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.14%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.19%	•	0.33%	9
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.6%	lack		
	2007	5.7%		11.9%	98
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	11.9%		15.8%	111
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	13.8%	\mathbf{A}		
-	2008	15.4%	•	28.2%	1
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.8%	小		
	2008	92.9%	.1.	84.2%	15
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	44.8%	•	39.9%	25
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	66.6		59.1	16
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$151	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$174	•	\$143	48
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.5		35.4	25
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	49.6	1		
	2006	43.8		72.6	14
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	0.9	_		
	2008	0.9		13.1	114

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	16,529		
Total Population,	2008		16,923
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	394/2.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,381
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	14.4%
Percent Female	58.8%	Percent Male	41.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	13.7%
Percent Female	56.6%	Percent Male	43.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.1%
Percent Female	54.5%	Percent Male	45.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	18.6%
Percent Female	53.1%	Percent Male	46.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$131,784
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$40,467
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	16.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	32.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.7%
Obesity, 2007	29.6%
Smoking, 2007	10.2%
	54.3%
No Mammography, 2007	34.3 /0
No Mammography, 2007 High Cholesterol, 2007	22.6%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Atchison

Population 65+, 2008: 1,230

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -8.9%



Composite County Rank: 49

Outcome marcators	Comp	osite oourit	y Italin. 4	,	213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.25%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
·	2007	0.26%	•	0.33%	23
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.4%	小		
	2007	10.8%	•	11.9%	26
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.7%		15.8%	25
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.2%		28.2%	76
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.6%	lack		
	2008	87.6%		84.2%	48
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	30.7%		39.9%	105
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	71.2		59.1	9
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$192	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$352	•	\$143	103
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.7		35.4	68
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	45.1	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	59.7		72.6	41
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.3	小		
	2008	4.9	•	13.1	63

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		6,405
Total Population,	2008		6,031
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-374/-5.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,350
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	21.1%
Percent Female	60.5%	Percent Male	39.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.4%
Percent Female	58.9%	Percent Male	41.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.6%
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.7%
Percent Female	53.1%	Percent Male	46.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality o	f Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Ho	using, 2008	79.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2	2008	50.3%
Median Value of All Owned	Housing, 2008	\$72,594
Seniors in Poverty, 2008		15.0%
Average Income of Senior H	louseholds, 2008	\$37,184
Seniors with a College Educ	cation, 2008	12.1%
HeatIh and V	Vellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007		39.0%
L NI O'S STATE OF THE OWNER		
No Sigmoidoscopy or Color	noscopy, 2007	46.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	noscopy, 2007	46.3% 28.9%
	noscopy, 2007	
High Blood Pressure, 2007	noscopy, 2007	28.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	noscopy, 2007	28.9% 23.5%

Audrain

Population 65+, 2008: 4,270

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -1.5%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank. 51			10000000 0	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.31%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	0.38%	•	0.33%	46	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	13.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	9.7%	•	11.9%	40	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.1%		15.8%	31	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
<u> </u>	2008	21.9%		28.2%	23	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.0%	小			
	2008	81.7%	.1.	84.2%	95	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	35.3%		39.9%	85	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.1		59.1	65	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$136	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$183		\$143	54	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.7		35.4	50	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	72.8	小			
	2006	53.4		72.6	32	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	6.3	1			
	2008	6.8	•	13.1	37	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		25,810
Total Population,	2008		26,049
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	239/0.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,334
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.8%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.4%
Percent Female	59.1%	Percent Male	40.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.5%
Percent Female	57.2%	Percent Male	42.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.9%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Male	44.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	56.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$98,733
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$45,423
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	24.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	33.1%
Obesity, 2007	21.8%
Smoking, 2007	12.1%
No Mammography, 2007	41.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.5%

Barry

Population 65+, 2008: 5,900

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 7.3%





outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 41			100.11.000 2.	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.43%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.48%		0.33%	63
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.7%	小		
	2007	8.5%	•	11.9%	62
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.5%		15.8%	51
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.9%	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	26.0%		28.2%	73
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	82.9%	小		
	2008	88.4%		84.2%	43
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	42.6%		39.9%	38
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.7		59.1	104
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$131	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$147	▼	\$143	38
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	32.0		35.4	80
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	56.9	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	58.1	▼	72.6	40
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.7	lack		
	2008	5.8		13.1	51

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		34,046
Total Population,	2008		36,301
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,255/6.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		5,498
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	16.1%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Male	44.5%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	16.3%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Male	43.7%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.6%
Percent Female	51.9%	Percent Male	48.1%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.0%
Percent Female	48.9%	Percent Male	51.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$100,734
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.3%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,723
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	16.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.5%
Obesity, 2007	26.1%
Smoking, 2007	9.2%
No Mammography, 2007	50.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	21.4%
25	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Barton

Population 65+, 2008: 2,044

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.9%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 48			8	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.39%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	0.53%		0.33%	73
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.8%	1		
	2007	10.3%	•	11.9%	29
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.4%		15.8%	65
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	24.8%		28.2%	53
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.3%	小		
	2008	88.5%		84.2%	42
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	.=
A	2007	38.5%		39.9%	67
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	58.2		59.1	70
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$103	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$139	•	\$143	33
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	25.7		35.4	59
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	67.5	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	79.4		72.6	81
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.9	1		
	2008	5.9	•	13.1	47

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		12,542
Total Population,	2008		12,531
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-11/-0.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,063
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.4%
Percent Female	57.8%	Percent Male	42.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.3%
Percent Female	57.3%	Percent Male	42.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.1%
Percent Female	49.6%	Percent Male	50.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.4%
Percent Female	45.8%	Percent Male	54.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$85,841
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$28,683
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
Heatlh and Wellness No Exercise, 2007	Measure 38.4%
No Exercise, 2007	38.4%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.4% 37.5%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.4% 37.5% 40.5%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	38.4% 37.5% 40.5% 23.1%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

28.4%

High Cholesterol, 2007

Bates

Population 65+, 2008: 2,968

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.0%





Outcome malcators	Composite County Nank. 55			43	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.35%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.39%	•	0.33%	47
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.7%	小		
	2007	8.7%		11.9%	59
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	22.4%		15.8%	36
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
-	2008	27.4%	•	28.2%	88
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.4%	A		
	2008	87.4%	11	84.2%	49
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	39.0%		39.9%	65
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.4		59.1	42
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$170	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$207	*	\$143	68
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.4		35.4	48
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	70.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	81.5	▼	72.6	85
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.8	小		
	2008	3.7	<u> </u>	13.1	81

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		16,702
Total Population,	2008		17,075
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	373/2.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,882
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.3%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	17.4%
Percent Female	58.0%	Percent Male	42.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.7%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	18.4%
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$96,242
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$32,326
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
Heatlh and Wellness No Exercise, 2007	Measure 42.3%
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
No Exercise, 2007	42.3%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	42.3% 48.0%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	42.3% 48.0% 37.4%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

24.5%

Benton

Population 65+, 2008: 4,382

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 14.1%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 64				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.57%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.64%	•	0.33%	85	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.9%	lack			
	2007	4.9%		11.9%	109	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	28.5%		15.8%	3	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	13.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	16.1%	▼	28.2%	2	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	82.5%	小			
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	7	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.5%	\mathbf{A}			
	2007	34.6%		39.9%	91	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.5		59.1	77	
Long Term Care Costs **			_			
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$170	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$196	•	\$143	64	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	20.4		35.4	30	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	49.9	lacksquare			
	2006	60.8	▼	72.6	42	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.6	1			
	2008	1.6	▼	13.1	106	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		17,233
Total Population,	2008		18,349
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,116/6.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,839
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	22.3%
Percent Female	51.7%	Percent Male	48.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	23.9%
Percent Female	52.2%	Percent Male	47.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	25.7%
Percent Female	44.9%	Percent Male	55.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	33.0%
Percent Female	41.1%	Percent Male	58.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	90.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$97,853
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,470
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	42.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.0%
Obesity, 2007	26.5%
Smoking, 2007	14.7%
No Mammography, 2007	51.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.7%

Bollinger

Population 65+, 2008: 1,879

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 5.3%





Outcome malcators	Composite County Nank. 11			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.67%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.87%	•	0.33%	99
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.2%	小		
	2007	5.2%		11.9%	104
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.6%		15.8%	72
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	16.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
-	2008	19.3%		28.2%	10
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.3%	lack		
	2008	89.7%		84.2%	27
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	54.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	49.1%		39.9%	8
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	54.5		59.1	93
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$139	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$236	•	\$143	76
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.5		35.4	66
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	60.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	81.5	*	72.6	84
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	0.5	小		
	2008	1.1	•	13.1	113

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure	
Total Population, 2000			12,054	
Total Population,	Total Population, 2008			
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-64/-0.5%	
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,785	
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	14.8%	
Percent Female	55.2%	Percent Male	44.8%	
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.7%	
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%	
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.6%	
Percent Female	48.6%	Percent Male	51.4%	
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.2%	
Percent Female	47.0%	Percent Male	53.0%	

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Magazira
Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	65.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$90,817
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,796
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	44.5% 22.7%
Obesity, 2007	22.7%
Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	22.7% 9.2%

Boone

Population 65+, 2008: 14,498

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 23.9%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 1				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.24%	小		
•	2007	0.23%		0.33%	15
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	13.8%	lack		
	2007	17.0%	•	11.9%	4
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.1%		15.8%	91
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	28.4%	▼	28.2%	95
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.3%	小		
	2008	83.7%		84.2%	79
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.5%		39.9%	57
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.5		59.1	78
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$60	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$75	•	\$143	5
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	32.4		35.4	81
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	67.7	小		
	2006	62.5		72.6	47
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	22.2	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	42.4	•	13.1	1

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		135,846
Total Population,	2008		154,365
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	18,519/13.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		11,704
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	8.6%
Percent Female	58.9%	Percent Ma	ale 41.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	9.4%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Ma	ale 43.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	11.7%
Percent Female	54.8%	Percent Ma	ale 45.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	16.6%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Ma	ale 45.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	75.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	62.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$152,900
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	4.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$67,615
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	36.5%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	30.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	17.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	32.6%
Obesity, 2007	22.0%
Smoking, 2007	10.9%
No Mammography, 2007	33.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.8%

Buchanan

Population 65+, 2008: 13,075

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 1.6%





Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 102				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.47%	个		
•	2007	0.46%		0.33%	56
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.9%	lack		
	2007	11.0%	•	11.9%	25
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	14.6%		15.8%	101
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	19.9%	. '	28.2%	12
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.9%	小		
	2008	77.8%		84.2%	112
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	37.6%		39.9%	74
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	64.9		59.1	23
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$116	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$133	•	\$143	26
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	39.9		35.4	100
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	78.6	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	96.8	*	72.6	101
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	5.7			
	2008	8.9	•	13.1	20

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		86,101
Total Population,	2008		89,408
Total Population	Change/	%, 2000-2008	3,307/3.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		12,867
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	·, 2000	14.9%
Percent Female	61.6%	Percent Male	38.4%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	·, 2008	14.6%
Percent Female	60.8%	Percent Male	39.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.4%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.2%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	75.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	54.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$109,800
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,904
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	13.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.4%
Obesity, 2007	26.7%
Smoking, 2007	10.6%
No Mammography, 2007	57.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.5%

Butler

Population 65+, 2008: 7,125

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 4.6%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Composite County Nank. 100			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.06%	小		
•	2007	1.02%		0.33%	104
Norkforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	8.2%	•	11.9%	67
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.6%		15.8%	42
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	24.1%		28.2%	44
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.0%	1		
	2008	79.2%		84.2%	109
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		4.5
	2007	42.3%		39.9%	43
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.6		59.1	89
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$238	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$333	•	\$143	99
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	53.6		35.4	113
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	88.8	1		
	2006	88.7	1	72.6	91
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	8.2	小		_
	2008	14.3		13.1	7

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		40,803
Total Population,	2008		41,383
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	580/1.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		6,812
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.7%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	17.2%
Percent Female	58.8%	Percent Male	41.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	18.7%
Percent Female	55.0%	Percent Male	45.0%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			23.3%
Percent Female	52.9%	Percent Male	47.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$86,315
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.3%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,523
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	33.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	39.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.1%
Obesity, 2007	26.9%
Smoking, 2007	13.9%
No Mammography, 2007	49.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	34.9%

Caldwell

Population 65+, 2008: 1,557

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 2.2%





Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Rank: 2	9	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.29%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	0.31%	•	0.33%	31	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.6%	1			
	2007	7.4%	•	11.9%	76	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	17.1%		15.8%	82	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	15.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	21.9%	•	28.2%	24	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	84.7%	个			
	2008	89.7%		84.2%	28	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	40.7%	•	39.9%	56	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	63.2		59.1	27	
ong Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$161	lack			
	2008	\$138	•	\$143	31	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	20.2		35.4	29	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	69.1	↑			
	2006	41.6		72.6	13	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.7	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	1.9	▼	13.1	104	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		8,978
Total Population,	2008		9,248
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	270/3.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,523
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.0%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Male	43.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.8%
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.3%
Percent Female	53.5%	Percent Male	46.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.1%
Percent Female	51.4%	Percent Male	48.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	52.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$88,632
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$30,954
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	23.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	33.0%
Obesity, 2007	24.5%
Smoking, 2007	7.3%
No Mammography, 2007	59.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	30.2%
40	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Callaway

Population 65+, 2008: 4,964

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 11.0%





Outcome indicators	Comp	Josne Count	y Ivalin. Z	<u> </u>	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.28%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.33%	•	0.33%	35	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.9%	lack			
	2007	10.1%		11.9%	31	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	13.8%		15.8%	105	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	27.2%	•	28.2%	85	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.1%	1			
	2008	89.0%	Α.Ι.	84.2%	35	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.7%	\mathbf{A}			
	2007	44.6%	•	39.9%	27	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.4		59.1	80	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$75	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$91	•	\$143	11	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	32.4		35.4	82	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	76.2	1			
	2006	64.3		72.6	55	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.1	lack			
	2008	6.8		13.1	36	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	:S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		40,925
Total Population,	2008		43,464
Total Population	Change/	%, 2000-2008	2,539/6.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,473
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	-, 2000	10.9%
Percent Female	57.3%	Percent Male	42.7%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	-, 2008	11.4%
Percent Female	56.8%	Percent Male	43.2%
Population Project	ctions 65	i+, 2015	11.7%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%
Population Project	ctions 65	i+, 2025	14.6%
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$123,676
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$45,613
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	17.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	25.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	32.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	47.4%
Obesity, 2007	26.6%
Smoking, 2007	11.3%
No Mammography, 2007	50.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	32.5%
	Missauri Caniar Banart 2000

Camden

Population 65+, 2008: 8,218

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 16.5%





Outcome malcators	Comp	osite count	y INalin. I	<u> </u>	43
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.19%	_		
•	2007	0.19%		0.33%	8
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.5%	lack		
	2007	9.2%	•	11.9%	47
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.4%		15.8%	54
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	25.5%		28.2%	61
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	86.8%	小		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	35.0%		39.9%	87
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.8		59.1	33
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$85	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$93		\$143	12
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.6		35.4	49
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	34.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	49.6	*	72.6	26
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.7	lack		
	2008	8.6		13.1	23

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		37,229
Total Population,	2008		40,664
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	3,435/9.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		7,055
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	19.0%
Percent Female	50.5%	Percent Male	49.5%
Percent of Popul	20.2%		
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	23.6%
Percent Female	45.5%	Percent Male	54.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	31.2%
Percent Female	43.9%	Percent Male	56.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	72.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$158,461
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	6.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$38,261
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	18.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.3%
Obesity, 2007	28.7%
Smoking, 2007	7.1%
No Mammography, 2007	42.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.2%
·	·

Cape Girardeau

Population 65+, 2008: 10,407

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.8%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 15		5		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.33%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.35%	•	0.33%	39
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	11.1%	小		
	2007	15.2%		11.9%	7
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.0%		15.8%	79
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	25.4%	个		
	2008	24.7%		28.2%	50
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.0%	个		
	2008	82.9%		84.2%	86
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.0%		39.9%	62
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	50.5		59.1	113
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$137	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$186	•	\$143	58
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	37.6		35.4	98
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	57.0	个		
	2006	49.4		72.6	24
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	9.1	A		
	2008	13.9		13.1	8

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		68,795
Total Population,	2008		73,243
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	4,448/6.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		9,474
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	13.8%
Percent Female	60.0%	Percent Male	40.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	14.2%
Percent Female	59.2%	Percent Male	40.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.0%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Male	43.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.6%
Percent Female	55.2%	Percent Male	44.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$139,700
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	4.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$45,617
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	14.1%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	30.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	35.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.4% 40.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	40.7% 27.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	40.7% 27.0% 9.0%

Carroll

Population 65+, 2008: 1,839

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -10.3%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 107			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.44%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.49%	•	0.33%	68
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.3%	lack		
	2007	8.8%		11.9%	56
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.4%		15.8%	44
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	27.5%	•	28.2%	89
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	ors with Missouri Driver's License 2001 81.7%				
	2008	84.0%	.1.	84.2%	78
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	37.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	30.8%		39.9%	104
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	63.3		59.1	26
ong Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$173	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$213		\$143	70
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	11.1		35.4	1
lealth Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	73.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	147.1		72.6	113
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.1	1		
	2008	4.9	•	13.1	62

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,273
Total Population,	2008		9,756
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-517/-5.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,050
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.0%
Percent Female	60.2%	Percent Male	39.8%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	18.8%
Percent Female	58.8%	Percent Male	41.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.7%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Male	44.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.4%
Percent Female	53.3%	Percent Male	46.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	64.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$78,396
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,010
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	39.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	43.2%
Obesity, 2007	24.3%
Smoking, 2007	10.5%
No Mammography, 2007	54.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	23.0%

Carter

Population 65+, 2008: 949

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 0.9%





Outcome indicators	Comp	Joshe Count	y INalin. I	05	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.32%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	1.33%	•	0.33%	112	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.0%	小			
	2007	5.0%		11.9%	107	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.0%		15.8%	33	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
-	2008	25.3%	•	28.2%	59	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.9%	小			
	2008	90.1%		84.2%	25	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	47.7%		39.9%	11	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	66.3		59.1	17	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$236	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$358	•	\$143	105	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	32.6		35.4	83	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	106.0	小			
	2006	77.9		72.6	78	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.0	lack		_	
	2008	2.1	•	13.1	102	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		5,945
Total Population,	2008		5,890
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-55/-0.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		941
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	15.8%
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%
Percent of Population 65+, 2008			16.1%
Percent Female	54.0%	Percent Male	46.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.2%
Percent Female	50.3%	Percent Male	49.7%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			23.9%
Percent Female	49.4%	Percent Male	50.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$74,579
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	17.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,904
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	37.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	42.9%
Obesity, 2007	27.7%
Smoking, 2007	18.9%
No Mammography, 2007	54.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	30.5%
40	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Cass

Population 65+, 2008: 11,352

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 16.9%



Outcome Indicators

Composite County Rank: 9

outcome Indicators Co		omposite County Rank: 9		25	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.10%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	0.16%	•	0.33%	6
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.0%	小		
	2007	8.6%	•	11.9%	61
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	13.4%		15.8%	106
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	25.5%		28.2%	63
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.8%	lack		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	52.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	
	2007	45.4%		39.9%	22
Civic Engagement **				50.4	40
Senior Voters Index	2008	65.8		59.1	19
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$95	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$113	•	\$143	18
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	18.4		35.4	21
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	53.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	61.9	▼	72.6	45
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.4	小		
	2008	4.4		13.1	71

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		82,601
Total Population,	2008		98,429
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	15,828/19.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		9,715
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	11.8%
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Ma	ale 41.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	11.5%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Ma	ale 43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.2%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Ma	ale 43.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.4%
Percent Female	55.8%	Percent Ma	ale 44.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	65.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$160,600
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	4.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$47,627
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	34.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	31.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	26.8%
Obesity, 2007	21.1%
Smoking, 2007	11.6%
No Mammography, 2007	39.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.5%

Cedar

Population 65+, 2008: 2,871

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 1.1%





Outcome mulcators	Composite County Nank. 103			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.58%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.64%	•	0.33%	81
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.3%	lack		
	2007	7.1%	•	11.9%	78
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	33.3%		15.8%	1
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	27.1%	•	28.2%	82
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.6%	lack		
	2008	92.5%		84.2%	17
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	39.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	30.7%		39.9%	106
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.9		59.1	86
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$159	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$279	•	\$143	84
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	25.4		35.4	58
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	94.5	\mathbf{L}		
	2006	115.7	▼	72.6	109
Health Care Access		_			
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.5	A		
	2008	4.9		13.1	64

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population, 2000			13,732
Total Population,	2008		13,652
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-80/-0.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,841
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.7%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Male	44.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	21.0%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Male	43.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.5%
Percent Female	49.3%	Percent Male	50.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.4%
Percent Female	46.0%	Percent Male	54.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	88.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$90,236
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,179
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.9%
110 Exc. 0.00, 2001	
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.0%
<u> </u>	45.0% 40.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	40.8% 22.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	40.8% 22.5% 11.6%

Chariton

Population 65+, 2008: 1,722

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -8.1%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 70		213		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.30%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	0.47%	•	0.33%	62
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.0%	小		
	2007	6.7%		11.9%	86
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.8%		15.8%	11
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2008	21.6%	¥	28.2%	22
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.0%	1		
	2008	82.9%	,1,	84.2%	85
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	32.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	29.5%	•	39.9%	107
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.1		59.1	40
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$266	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$349	•	\$143	102
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	16.1		35.4	10
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	57.6	\mathbf{L}		
	2006	67.3		72.6	59
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.7	lack		
	2008	2.3		13.1	100

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		8,410
Total Population,	2008		7,740
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-670/-8.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,873
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	22.3%
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Male	41.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	22.2%
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	23.6%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	28.7%
Percent Female	54.4%	Percent Male	45.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	84.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$74,307
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,215
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	5.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	33.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	35.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.6%
Obesity, 2007	22.9%
Smoking, 2007	9.2%
No Mammography, 2007	41.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	28.6%
	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Christian

Population 65+, 2008: 8,414

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 45.1%





	7		,		4
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.22%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.25%	•	0.33%	20
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.1%	小		
	2007	6.8%		11.9%	82
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	12.6%		15.8%	110
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	26.0%	小		
	2008	22.5%		28.2%	33
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.4%	小		
	2008	92.3%		84.2%	18
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	56.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	50.8%		39.9%	4
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	65.7		59.1	20
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$62	A		
-	2008	\$58		\$143	4
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	18.2		35.4	17
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	37.0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	49.3	*	72.6	23
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.0	1		
	2008	3.3	•	13.1	86

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		54,956
Total Population,	2008		75,479
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	20,523/37.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		5,799
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	10.6%
Percent Female	56.8%	Percent Ma	ale 43.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	11.1%
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Ma	ale 43.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	13.4%
Percent Female	54.3%	Percent Ma	ale 45.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	16.6%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Ma	ale 45.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank


0 11/4	Maria
Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	72.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$155,700
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	5.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$42,750
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	15.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	31.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.5%
Obesity, 2007	26.0%
Smoking, 2007	5.8%
No Mammography, 2007	48.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	32.7%

Clark

Population 65+, 2008: 1,225

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.9%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Kalik: I	2	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.37%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.38%	•	0.33%	44
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.7%	lack		
	2007	10.1%		11.9%	32
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.4%		15.8%	64
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.5%	J.		
-	2008	23.9%	¥	28.2%	41
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.6%	小		
	2008	91.1%	.1.	84.2%	23
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.5%	\mathbf{A}		
	2007	38.2%	•	39.9%	68
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.8		59.1	34
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$175	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$185	•	\$143	57
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.4		35.4	24
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	22.1	小		_
	2006	19.4		72.6	2
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.4	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	3.3	•	13.1	87

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		7,390
Total Population,	2008		7,180
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-210/-2.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,236
Percent of Popula	ation 65+,	2000	16.7%
Percent Female	60.7%	Percent Male	39.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	17.1%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Male	43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	- , 2015	20.3%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	25.0%
Percent Female	53.2%	Percent Male	46.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	79.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	58.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$73,058
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$34,826
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	48.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	41.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.9%
Obesity, 2007	36.8%
Smoking, 2007	9.4%
No Mammography, 2007	45.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	16.5%
52	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Population 65+, 2008: 23,449

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 17.6%





Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Rank: 5		23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.11%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.12%	•	0.33%	3
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.9%	lack		
	2007	13.0%		11.9%	12
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	11.8%		15.8%	112
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2008	28.0%	•	28.2%	93
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.2%	1		
	2008	88.6%	Τ,	84.2%	39
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.9%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	42.8%		39.9%	37
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.8		59.1	53
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$83	小	_	
	2008	\$82	•	\$143	7
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	14.8		35.4	8
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	57.7	个		
	2006	56.1		72.6	37
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	7.2	小		
	2008	10.4	•	13.1	16

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		184,812
Total Population,	2008		215,707
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	30,895/16.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		19,943
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	10.8%
Percent Female	59.0%	Percent Ma	ale 41.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	10.9%
Percent Female	57.4%	Percent Ma	ale 42.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	12.5%
Percent Female	57.1%	Percent Ma	ale 42.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	15.1%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Ma	ale 43.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	73.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$157,500
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	5.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$46,652
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	17.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	27.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.4%
Obesity, 2007	23.5%
Smoking, 2007	14.0%
No Mammography, 2007	32.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	28.2%
F.4	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Clinton

Population 65+, 2008: 3,169

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 18.1%





Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Rank: 3	2	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.24%	↑		
•	2007	0.16%		0.33%	5
Workforce Participation			_		
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	6.8%	•	11.9%	84
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.7%		15.8%	87
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	28.9%	•	28.2%	98
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.1%	小		
	2008	80.9%		84.2%	101
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	46.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	41.0%		39.9%	54
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	50.8		59.1	111
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$176	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$180	•	\$143	53
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	15.8		35.4	9
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	77.4	小		
	2006	65.5		72.6	57
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.8	A		
	2008	10.1	. 1	13.1	17

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		19,034
Total Population,	2008		21,094
Total Population	Change/9	%, 2000-2008	2,060/10.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,683
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	14.1%
Percent Female	59.4%	Percent Mal	e 40.6%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	15.0%
Percent Female	59.4%	Percent Mal	e 40.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.3%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	e 41.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.2%
Percent Female	57.4%	Percent Mal	e 42.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	84.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	62.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$139,304
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$39,962
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	12.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	49.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	46.6%
Obesity, 2007	28.2%
Smoking, 2007	12.1%
	40.00/
No Mammography, 2007	48.9%
No Mammography, 2007 High Cholesterol, 2007	24.4%

Cole

Population 65+, 2008: 8,945

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 10.4%





Outcome indicators	Comp	Joshe Count	y INalin. 4	1-0-000 0-0-0-0	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.23%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.25%	•	0.33%	17
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	14.1%	小		
	2007	20.1%		11.9%	2
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.0%		15.8%	99
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	15.4%	\mathbf{A}		
-	2008	23.8%		28.2%	40
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.4%	小		
	2008	85.0%	.1.	84.2%	73
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	41.1%		39.9%	52
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.8		59.1	52
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$85	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$99		\$143	14
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	25.1		35.4	57
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	73.4	小		
	2006	63.3		72.6	50
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	11.3	lack		
	2008	17.3		13.1	5

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		71,482
Total Population,	2008		74,313
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,831/4.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		8,106
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	11.3%
Percent Female	60.3%	Percent Male	39.7%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	12.0%
Percent Female	58.6%	Percent Male	41.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	13.1%
Percent Female	55.8%	Percent Male	44.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.2%
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Male	44.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

0	
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$140,900
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	7.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$50,882
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	19.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
Heatlh and Wellness No Exercise, 2007	Measure 32.2%
2 2 2 2 2	
No Exercise, 2007	32.2%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	32.2% 27.8%

Quality of Life

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

36.9%

20.6%

Measure


No Mammography, 2007

High Cholesterol, 2007

Cooper

Population 65+, 2008: 2,532

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.0%





	Zemposite county i tanini ce			- 21	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.25%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.29%	•	0.33%	29
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.4%	lack		
	2007	11.7%	•	11.9%	18
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.3%		15.8%	67
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.8%		28.2%	79
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.2%	lack		
	2008	85.4%		84.2%	66
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	42.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	36.0%		39.9%	82
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.5		59.1	59
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$202	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$190	. [.	\$143	60
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.5		35.4	67
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	83.5	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	92.3	•	72.6	96
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.4	小		
	2008	5.1		13.1	58

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population, 2000			16,704
Total Population,	2008		17,535
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	831/5.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,533
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	15.2%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	14.4%
Percent Female	57.8% Percent Male		42.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.8%
Percent Female	50.6%	Percent Male	49.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.4%
Percent Female	48.6%	Percent Male	51.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	79.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	59.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$115,064
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$39,656
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	35.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.8%
Obesity, 2007	21.8%
Smoking, 2007	9.2%
No Mammography, 2007	44.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	12.9%
57	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Crawford

Population 65+, 2008: 3,712

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.0%





	7	TOOKIO OOUIIK	<i>y</i>		20
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.46%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
.,	2007	0.49%	•	0.33%	65
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.1%	小		
	2007	6.6%		11.9%	89
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.5%		15.8%	63
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	23.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	28.6%		28.2%	96
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	88.7%		84.2%	37
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	48.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	41.7%		39.9%	46
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.9		59.1	74
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$167	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$281	•	\$143	85
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	35.7		35.4	95
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	86.5	个		
	2006	74.7		72.6	73
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.1	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	2.7		13.1	92

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		22,833
Total Population,	2008		23,970
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,137/5.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,604
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	15.8%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Male	44.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.5%
Percent Female	55.6%	Percent Male	44.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	17.6%
Percent Female	48.9%	Percent Male	51.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	22.5%
Percent Female	46.3%	Percent Male	53.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	88.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$103,095
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,075
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	46.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.4%
Obesity, 2007	31.0%
Smoking, 2007	12.2%
No Mammography, 2007	35.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	27.2%
# 0	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Dade

Population 65+, 2008: 1,465

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -8.7%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank. 19				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.42%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
·	2007	0.47%	•	0.33%	61
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.2%	⚠		
	2007	5.9%	•	11.9%	94
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.3%		15.8%	28
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	14.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
-	2008	17.9%	•	28.2%	6
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	86.4%	小		
	2008	94.5%	.1.	84.2%	10
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.1%	•	39.9%	61
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	63.1		59.1	29
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$220	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$309	•	\$143	95
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	13.5		35.4	4
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	65.3	↑		
	2006	39.9		72.6	12
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.3	lack		
	2008	2.7	•	13.1	91

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		7,910
Total Population,	2008		7,418
Total Population	Change/9	%, 2000-2008	-492/-6.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,605
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.3%
Percent Female	56.8%	Percent Male	43.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	19.7%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	21.3%
Percent Female	49.6%	Percent Male	50.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	28.6%
Percent Female	46.2%	Percent Male	53.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	84.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$92,183
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$30,976
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.7%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.7% 34.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	34.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.0% 41.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	34.0% 41.8% 24.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	34.0% 41.8% 24.0% 3.4%

Dallas

Population 65+, 2008: 2,537

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.9%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 7 1			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001 2007	0.54% 0.67%	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$	0.33%	87
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	5.4%		11.9%	102
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	22.8%		15.8%	35
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	32.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	38.9%	•	28.2%	114
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.8%	lack		
	2008	90.8%		84.2%	24
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	42.6%		39.9%	40
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.7		59.1	56
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$143	\mathbf{A}		
	2008	\$183	•	\$143	55
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	30.8		35.4	78
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	43.3	\mathbf{T}		
	2006	65.4	▼	72.6	56
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.1	lack		_
	2008	2.4	•	13.1	98

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		15,690
Total Population,	2008		16,844
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,154/7.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,374
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	15.1%
Percent Female	55.2%	Percent Male	44.8%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.1%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.1%
Percent Female	50.1%	Percent Male	49.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.0%
Percent Female	48.5%	Percent Male	51.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Magazira
Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$102,834
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	16.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,871
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	42.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.0%
Obesity, 2007	22.4%
Smoking, 2007	11.9%
No Mammography, 2007	46.8%
	29.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.3%

Daviess

Population 65+, 2008: 1,164

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -17.2%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 35		23		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.30%	小		
.,	2007	0.28%		0.33%	28
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.6%	lack		
	2007	8.1%	•	11.9%	69
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	27.2%		15.8%	8
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	31.8%		28.2%	104
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	87.2%	*1*		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	39.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	37.4%		39.9%	75
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	80.6		59.1	2
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$101	1		
	2008	\$91	•	\$143	10
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	21.1		35.4	33
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	69.7	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	107.7		72.6	105
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	0.7	小		
	2008	9.5	•	13.1	18

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		7,996
Total Population,	2008		7,911
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-85/-1.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,405
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.6%
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	14.7%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	18.4%
Percent Female	49.9%	Percent Male	50.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.3%
Percent Female	47.4%	Percent Male	52.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	59.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$91,305
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	16.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$45,398
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.8%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	34.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.9%
Obesity, 2007	23.3%
Smoking, 2007	7.4%
No Mammography, 2007	55.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.7%

DeKalb

Population 65+, 2008: 1,476

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -10.4%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 18				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being			_		
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.22%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.28%	•	0.33%	26
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.3%	lack		
	2007	11.5%		11.9%	21
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.6%		15.8%	70
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	27.7%	▼	28.2%	90
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	71.1%	小		
	2008	91.9%		84.2%	20
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	46.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	39.3%		39.9%	64
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	73.6		59.1	6
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$158	lack		
	2008	\$147	•	\$143	37
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	21.8		35.4	37
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	80.7	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	67.8		72.6	61
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	4.7		13.1	67

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,112
Total Population,	2008		12,275
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-837/-6.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,647
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	12.6%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	12.0%
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Male	46.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.4%
Percent Female	46.8%	Percent Male	53.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.9%
Percent Female	44.1%	Percent Male	55.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	74.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	47.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$99,042
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	18.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$28,350
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	39.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.6%
Obesity, 2007	20.8%
Smoking, 2007	8.3%
No Mammography, 2007	42.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	28.1%

Dent

Population 65+, 2008: 2,837

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 7.1%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Name. 66		43		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.83%	个		
•	2007	0.74%		0.33%	92
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.1%	lack		
	2007	5.8%	•	11.9%	95
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.8%		15.8%	23
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	25.6%		28.2%	67
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.9%	小		
	2008	83.0%		84.2%	84
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.7%		39.9%	55
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	53.0		59.1	99
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$169	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$261	•	\$143	81
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	31.4		35.4	79
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	67.8	个		
	2006	45.6		72.6	16
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.5	lack		_
	2008	3.9		13.1	79

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		14,935
Total Population,	2008		15,199
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	264/1.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,648
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.7%
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	18.7%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Male	43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.0%
Percent Female	52.5%	Percent Male	47.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	22.5%
Percent Female	50.8%	Percent Male	49.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$92,165
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,121
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	33.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	41.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.2% 36.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.6%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

26.3%

^{**} Not included in composite county rank

Douglas

Population 65+, 2008: 2,276

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 1.6%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank. 76		23		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.78%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	0.88%	•	0.33%	100
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	1.5%	•	11.9%	115
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.2%		15.8%	17
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.1%	\mathbf{A}		
	2008	22.2%	•	28.2%	28
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.9%	小		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	7
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	41.4%		39.9%	48
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	62.1		59.1	32
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$109	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$139	•	\$143	34
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	34.2		35.4	91
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	28.3	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	38.8		72.6	11
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.6	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	4.0		13.1	78

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,099
Total Population,	2008		13,438
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	339/2.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,241
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.1%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Male	43.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.9%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.5%
Percent Female	52.5%	Percent Male	47.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.1%
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	88.2%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	71.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$94,235
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$38,805
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	32.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	42.6%
Obesity, 2007	18.5%
Smoking, 2007	10.3%
No Mammography, 2007	55.1%
<u> </u>	55.1% 21.8%

Dunklin

Population 65+, 2008: 5,321

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -2.5%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank. 112			12	43	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.56%	个			
•	2007	1.50%		0.33%	113	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.6%	小			
	2007	9.8%	•	11.9%	38	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	27.6%		15.8%	6	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	27.9%	个			
	2008	24.1%	.1.	28.2%	45	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.7%	717			
	2008	80.5%		84.2%	104	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	44.9%		39.9%	24	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.3		59.1	91	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$400	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$511	•	\$143	112	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	50.6		35.4	112	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	84.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	96.5	<u> </u>	72.6	100	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	8.5		13.1	24	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		33,108
Total Population,	2008		31,454
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-1,654/-5.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		5,457
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.5%
Percent Female	61.9%	Percent Ma	le 38.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.9%
Percent Female	60.4%	Percent Ma	le 39.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	17.7%
Percent Female	57.1%	Percent Ma	le 42.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.7%
Percent Female	53.7%	Percent Ma	le 46.3%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	74.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	51.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$69,225
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	18.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,813
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	50.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	41.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	49.6%
5	
Obesity, 2007	26.8%
	26.8% 15.3%
Obesity, 2007	
Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	15.3%

Franklin

Population 65+, 2008: 13,283

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 16.8%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 28				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.25%	小			
•	2007	0.23%		0.33%	12	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.0%	lack			
	2007	9.6%		11.9%	41	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.0%		15.8%	92	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	31.1%	▼	28.2%	102	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.6%	小			
	2008	86.2%		84.2%	59	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.7%	\mathbf{A}			
	2007	44.5%		39.9%	29	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	63.9		59.1	25	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$113	lack			
	2008	\$109	•	\$143	16	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.4		35.4	47	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	78.0	A			
	2006	77.2		72.6	77	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	6.2	. 1	13.1	42	

Status Indicators

Demo	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		94,060
Total Population,	2008		100,898
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	6,838/7.3%
Population 65+, 2	000		11,373
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	12.1%
Percent Female	57.6%	Percent Male	42.4%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	13.2%
Percent Female	56.7%	Percent Male	43.3%
Population Project	tions 65	+, 2015	14.9%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%
Population Project	tions 65	+, 2025	19.5%
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	62.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$147,100
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$43,739
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	25.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.4%
Obesity, 2007	24.7%
Smoking, 2007	6.6%
No Mammography, 2007	41.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	17.3%

Gasconade

Population 65+, 2008: 2,881

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 42				213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.24%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	0.26%	•	0.33%	22	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.0%	小			
	2007	11.2%		11.9%	23	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	22.0%		15.8%	38	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.0%	\mathbf{A}			
- -	2008	20.8%	•	28.2%	16	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.6%	1			
	2008	83.5%		84.2%	80	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	35.3%		39.9%	86	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	56.4		59.1	82	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$260	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$360		\$143	106	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	27.3		35.4	69	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	58.1	1			
	2006	53.7		72.6	33	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.7	小			
	2008	5.9	•	13.1	46	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		15,370
Total Population,	2008		15,261
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-109/-0.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,890
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	18.8%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	18.9%		
Percent Female	57.6%	Percent Male	42.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.1%
Percent Female	55.4%	Percent Male	44.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	26.3%
Percent Female	52.5%	Percent Male	47.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	90.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	59.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$113,434
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$34,979
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	32.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	35.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.4%
Obesity, 2007	28.2%
Smoking, 2007	11.9%
No Mammography, 2007	49.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	20.2%

Gentry

Population 65+, 2008: 1,349

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -8.9%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 93				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.31%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.36%	•	0.33%	43	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.8%	lack			
	2007	10.2%		11.9%	30	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.3%		15.8%	29	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	24.5%	₩	28.2%	49	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.9%	1			
	2008	88.6%		84.2%	40	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	28.8%		39.9%	109	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	65.6		59.1	21	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$266	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$383	•	\$143	108	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	14.8		35.4	7	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	97.4	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	139.7		72.6	112	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.8	1			
	2008	5.2		13.1	57	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		6,851
Total Population,	2008		6,185
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-666/-9.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,480
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	21.6%
Percent Female	59.4%	Percent Male	40.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	21.8%
Percent Female	57.7%	Percent Male	42.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.8%
Percent Female	55.6%	Percent Male	44.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.5%
Percent Female	54.0%	Percent Male	46.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	50.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$76,111
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$34,374
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	41.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	33.6%
Obesity, 2007	26.6%
Smoking, 2007	8.2%
No Mammography, 2007	46.2%
High Cholesterol, 2007	27.9%
	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Greene

Population 65+, 2008: 37,041

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 13.1%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 30		O	43	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.35%	_		
•	2007	0.35%		0.33%	42
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.1%	lack		
	2007	13.3%	•	11.9%	10
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.9%		15.8%	86
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	27.9%		28.2%	92
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.2%	lack		
	2008	85.1%		84.2%	71
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	36.5%		39.9%	79
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.2		59.1	39
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$104	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$111	•	\$143	17
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	48.0		35.4	109
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	46.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	51.3	▼	72.6	29
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	9.1	1		
	2008	13.4		13.1	10

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		240,808
Total Population,	2008		266,944
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	26,136/10.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		32,740
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	13.6%
Percent Female	60.4%	Percent Ma	ale 39.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2008	13.9%
Percent Female	59.8%	Percent Ma	ale 40.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.7%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Ma	ale 43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.5%
Percent Female	54.6%	Percent Ma	ale 45.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	79.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$128,900
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	7.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$51,667
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	21.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	31.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	26.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.5%
Obesity, 2007	24.0%
Smoking, 2007	8.4%
No Mammography, 2007	41.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.3%
	Missouri Sonior Poport 2000

Grundy

Population 65+, 2008: 2,103

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -2.0%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 67			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.45%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
.,	2007	0.49%	•	0.33%	64
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.5%	lack		
	2007	9.5%		11.9%	44
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.3%		15.8%	57
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	15.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
<u>-</u>	2008	21.4%	•	28.2%	21
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.0%	1 84		
	2008	87.6%		84.2%	47
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	37.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
-	2007	31.8%	•	39.9%	100
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.3		59.1	44
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$245	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$300	•	\$143	92
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	28.1		35.4	72
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	44.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	64.1		72.6	52
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.5	lack		
	2008	5.2	•	13.1	56

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,413
Total Population,	2008		10,125
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-288/-2.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,145
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.6%
Percent Female	60.3%	Percent Male	39.7%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.8%
Percent Female	58.8%	Percent Male	41.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	22.4%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Male	43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	25.8%
Percent Female	54.9%	Percent Male	45.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	51.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$66,263
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	16.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$30,915
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.8%
Obesity, 2007	23.4%
Smoking, 2007	5.0%
No Mammography, 2007	48.2%
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.0%
	Missessei Oserian Banani 2000

Harrison

Population 65+, 2008: 1,938

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.3%



Composite County Rank: 65

Outcome malcators	Composite County Name. 05			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.50%	小		
•	2007	0.44%		0.33%	55
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.9%	lack		
	2007	9.4%		11.9%	45
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.4%		15.8%	53
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.0%		28.2%	74
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	80.5%		84.2%	103
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	34.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	31.7%		39.9%	102
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	54.4		59.1	94
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$265	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$308		\$143	94
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	22.0		35.4	39
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	40.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	49.4		72.6	24
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.6	1		
	2008	6.2	•	13.1	41

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		8,865
Total Population,	2008		8,844
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-21/-0.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,943
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	21.9%
Percent Female	59.0%	Percent Male	41.0%
Percent of Popul	21.9%		
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	21.2%
Percent Female	54.4%	Percent Male	45.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.2%
Percent Female	51.4%	Percent Male	48.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	51.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$69,780
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	19.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$28,803
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	46.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.8%
Obesity, 2007	32.5%
Smoking, 2007	7.5%
No Mammography, 2007	46.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	27.7%
=4	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Henry

Population 65+, 2008: 4,255

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 5.8%





Outcome malcators	Composite County Name. O I			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.56%	小		
•	2007	0.53%		0.33%	74
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.2%	lack		
	2007	8.8%		11.9%	54
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.3%		15.8%	30
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	24.7%		28.2%	51
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.9%	小		
	2008	87.8%	.1.	84.2%	46
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	34.7%		39.9%	90
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	62.2		59.1	31
Long Term Care Costs **			•		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$156	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$200		\$143	66
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	28.7		35.4	74
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	59.6	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	64.1		72.6	54
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.1	1		
	2008	6.6	•	13.1	39

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		22,090
Total Population,	2008		22,179
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	89/0.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,022
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	18.2%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	19.2%		
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.5%
Percent Female	52.2%	Percent Male	47.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.5%
Percent Female	50.1%	Percent Male	49.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	79.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$96,388
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,723
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.3%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	44.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.4%
Obesity, 2007	21.5%
Smoking, 2007	11.3%
No Mammography, 2007	52.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.2%


Hickory

Population 65+, 2008: 2,528

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 8.3%

Outcome Indicators





Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure		
Total Population,	2000		8,942		
Total Population,	2008		9,048		
Total Population	Total Population Change/%, 2000-2008				
Population 65+, 2	Population 65+, 2000				
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	26.1%		
Percent Female	51.7%	Percent Male	48.3%		
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	27.9%		
Percent Female	54.5%	Percent Male	45.5%		
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	28.6%		
Percent Female	46.3%	Percent Male	53.7%		
Population Proje	Population Projections 65+, 2025				
Percent Female	43.5%	Percent Male	56.5%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	91.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$89,943
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,291
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	42.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.9%
Obesity, 2007	23.3%
Smoking, 2007	17.4%
No Manage 2007	60.9%
No Mammography, 2007	
High Cholesterol, 2007	23.9%

^{**} Not included in composite county rank

Holt

Population 65+, 2008: 1,022

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -11.1%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 87				
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.28%	小		
•	2007	0.25%		0.33%	19
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.8%	lack		
	2007	7.5%		11.9%	75
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.3%		15.8%	27
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	24.3%	▼	28.2%	47
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.5%	小		
	2008	86.3%		84.2%	58
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	28.7%		39.9%	110
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.2		59.1	62
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$273	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$361	•	\$143	107
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	33.8		35.4	90
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	78.4	lack		
	2006	63.9		72.6	51
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.9	lack		
	2008	5.9		13.1	47

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure			
Total Population,	2000		5,324			
Total Population,	2008		4,905			
Total Population	otal Population Change/%, 2000-2008					
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,150			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	21.6%			
Percent Female	58.9%	Percent Male	41.1%			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.8%			
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Male	43.7%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	23.7%			
Percent Female	52.1%	Percent Male	47.9%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	29.8%			
Percent Female	49.0%	Percent Male	51.0%			

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	52.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$71,327
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$31,726
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.8%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.4%
Obesity, 2007	23.1%
Smoking, 2007	14.7%
	14.7% 52.7%
Smoking, 2007	

Howard

Population 65+, 2008: 1,592

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -2.7%

Outcome Indicators



			,		2
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.35%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.44%	•	0.33%	53
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.6%	lack		
	2007	9.5%		11.9%	43
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.5%		15.8%	43
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	14.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		_
	2008	18.1%	▼	28.2%	7
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	74.4%	lack		
	2008	80.2%		84.2%	105
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	34.4%		39.9%	92
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	63.2		59.1	28
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$167	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$174	•	\$143	47
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	12.9		35.4	3
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	39.8	小		_
	2006	36.1		72.6	9
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.5	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	1.3	▼	13.1	111

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,183
Total Population,	2008		9,918
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-265/-2.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,637
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.1%
Percent Female	58.8%	Percent Male	41.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.1%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.4%
Percent Female	55.7%	Percent Male	44.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.0%
Percent Female	53.5%	Percent Male	46.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	58.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$100,217
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$39,293
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	17.6%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.9%
Obesity, 2007	24.0%
Smoking, 2007	9.1%
No Mammography, 2007	58.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	20.9%

Howell

Population 65+, 2008: 6,928

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 10.8%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 70			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.82%	_		
	2007	0.82%		0.33%	97
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.9%	lack		
	2007	8.1%		11.9%	68
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.7%		15.8%	49
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	22.6%		28.2%	34
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	74.9%	⚠		
	2008	82.3%		84.2%	89
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.7%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	43.9%		39.9%	31
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	45.9		59.1	115
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$265	小		
	2008	\$260	•	\$143	80
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	36.4		35.4	96
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	49.5	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	81.4		72.6	83
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.6	1		
	2008	7.2		13.1	32

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure			
Total Population,	2000		37,234			
Total Population,	2008		39,000			
Total Population	otal Population Change/%, 2000-2008					
Population 65+, 2	2000		6,254			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.8%			
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Male	41.9%			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	17.8%			
Percent Female	57.9%	Percent Male	42.1%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.4%			
Percent Female	53.3%	Percent Male	46.7%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.2%			
Percent Female	51.9%	Percent Male	48.1%			

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$92,286
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$32,449
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	42.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	39.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	43.7%
Obesity, 2007	24.0%
Smoking, 2007	8.0%
No Mammography, 2007	54.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	21.9%
= 2	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Iron

Population 65+, 2008: 1,644

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -9.4%



Composite County Rank: 100

			,		- 20	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.11%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
.,	2007	1.13%	•	0.33%	109	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.4%	小			
	2007	6.8%	•	11.9%	85	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	24.1%		15.8%	21	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.9%	\mathbf{A}			
	2008	24.5%	•	28.2%	48	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.3%	小			
	2008	88.6%		84.2%	38	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	48.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	41.3%		39.9%	50	
Civic Engagement **		_				
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.1		59.1	64	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$222	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$300	•	\$143	91	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.1		35.4	62	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	74.3	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	81.6	*	72.6	86	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.0	1			
	2008	4.3	•	13.1	74	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,632
Total Population,	2008		9,918
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-714/-6.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,815
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	17.1%
Percent Female	57.6%	Percent Male	42.4%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.6%
Percent Female	60.2%	Percent Male	39.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.6%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Male	44.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	26.5%
Percent Female	53.5%	Percent Male	46.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	55.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$78,493
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$40,869
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	47.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	42.9%
Obesity, 2007	21.4%
Smoking, 2007	20.0%
No Mammography, 2007	63.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	32.7%
	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Jackson

Population 65+, 2008: 82,351

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 0.5%





	7		,		- 21
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.31%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.33%	•	0.33%	33
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	13.2%	小		
	2007	15.8%		11.9%	6
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	14.8%		15.8%	100
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	28.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	32.7%		28.2%	105
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.0%	lack		
	2008	81.8%		84.2%	93
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	36.8%		39.9%	77
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.9		59.1	100
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$100	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$120	•	\$143	21
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	57.7		35.4	114
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	90.8	小		
	2006	89.8		72.6	92
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	9.4			
	2008	22.1		13.1	4

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		655,752
Total Population,	2008		668,417
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	12,665/1.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		81,917
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	12.5%
Percent Female	60.9%	Percent Mal	e 39.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	12.3%
Percent Female	59.5%	Percent Mal	e 40.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	13.2%
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Mal	e 41.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	16.5%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Mal	e 43.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	72.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$133,300
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$45,723
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	19.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	15.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.6%
Obesity, 2007	32.7%
Smoking, 2007	12.4%
	75.5%
No Mammography, 2007	10.070
No Mammography, 2007 High Cholesterol, 2007	22.8%

Jasper

Population 65+, 2008: 15,745

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.0%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 53				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.55%	小			
•	2007	0.52%		0.33%	72	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	11.0%	lack			
	2007	12.8%		11.9%	15	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.8%		15.8%	94	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
- -	2008	25.5%		28.2%	62	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.6%	lack			
	2008	83.2%		84.2%	81	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	46.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	42.6%		39.9%	39	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.9		59.1	72	
Long Term Care Costs **			_			
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$110	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$135	•	\$143	27	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	49.2		35.4	111	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	77.1	1			
	2006	68.3	. 1 .	72.6	62	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.0	A			
	2008	11.9		13.1	12	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure		
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000				
Total Population,	2008		116,813		
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	11,806/11.2%		
Population 65+, 2	2000		14,442		
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	13.8%		
Percent Female	60.3%	Percent Ma	ale 39.7%		
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2008	13.5%		
Percent Female	59.3%	Percent Ma	ale 40.7%		
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.1%		
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Ma	ale 44.7%		
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.0%		
Percent Female	53.6%	Percent Ma	ale 46.4%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$94,800
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	7.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$34,521
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.0%
Obesity, 2007	22.4%
Smoking, 2007	10.9%
No Mammography, 2007	42.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.0%

Jefferson

Population 65+, 2008: 23,187

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 26.7%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 34			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.18%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.21%	•	0.33%	10
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.8%	小		
	2007	6.3%	. 1	11.9%	91
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	11.5%		15.8%	114
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.8%	业		
1 0.00m of outliers flouding oost burdened	2008	25.5%	V	28.2%	64
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.7%	•		
7 3 3 3 3 7 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3	2008	85.0%	个	84.2%	72
Household Composition		00.070		0 112 70	<u> </u>
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	56.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	49.2%	V	39.9%	7
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.7		59.1	36
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$84	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$94	•	\$143	13
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.2		35.4	63
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	61.9	4		
•	2006	67.5	•	72.6	60
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.7	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	4.7	, 1 ,	13.1	66

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		198,752
Total Population,	2008		217,679
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	18,927/9.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		18,305
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	9.2%
Percent Female	57.1%	Percent Mal	e 42.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	10.7%
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Mal	e 44.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	13.2%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Mal	e 44.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	18.1%
Percent Female	54.8%	Percent Mal	e 45.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	90.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	73.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$158,500
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	6.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,558
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.1%
Obesity, 2007	27.5%
Smoking, 2007	13.5%
No Mammography, 2007	43.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	30.2%

Johnson

Population 65+, 2008: 5,419

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 20.6%





Outcome malcators	Composite County Name. 14			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.26%	↑		
•	2007	0.24%		0.33%	16
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.2%	小		
	2007	7.7%		11.9%	74
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	13.2%		15.8%	108
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	25.6%		28.2%	69
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.8%	小		
	2008	81.2%	.1.	84.2%	99
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	46.4%		39.9%	17
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	49.1		59.1	114
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$77	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$88		\$143	9
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.0		35.4	44
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	46.6	⚠		
	2006	37.7		72.6	10
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	5.5	lack		
	2008	11.1		13.1	14

Status Indicators

Dem	Measure			
Total Population,	48,478			
Total Population,	2008		52,016	
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	3,538/7.3%	
Population 65+, 2	4,492			
Percent of Popul	9.3%			
Percent Female	Percent Female 56.5% Percent Male			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	10.4%	
Percent Female	cent Female 56.7% Percent Male			
Population Proje	10.5%			
Percent Female	46.8%			
Population Proje	13.6%			
Percent Female	52.2%	Percent Male	47.8%	

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$124,080
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$46,687
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	17.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	46.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	34.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	52.3%
Obesity, 2007	22.2%
Smoking, 2007	12.6%
No Mammography, 2007	56.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	28.0%
	Missauri Caniar Danart 2000

Knox

Population 65+, 2008: 839

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -9.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Comp	Joshe Gourn	y Italik. S	,	213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.48%	小		
•	2007	0.46%		0.33%	57
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	8.4%	•	11.9%	63
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	27.6%		15.8%	7
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.5%	√L		
-	2008	23.3%	¥	28.2%	36
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.8%	小		
	2008	89.6%	Τ,	84.2%	30
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	27.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	25.3%	•	39.9%	115
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.3		59.1	45
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$108	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$195		\$143	63
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	24.5		35.4	55
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	53.4	小		
	2006	35.4	•	72.6	8
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.4	lack		
	2008	6.0		13.1	45

Status Indicators

Dem	Measure		
Total Population,	4,356		
Total Population,	2008		4,020
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-336/-7.7%
Population 65+, 2	925		
Percent of Popul	21.2%		
Percent Female	43.5%		
Percent of Popul	20.9%		
Percent Female	57.7%	42.3%	
Population Proje	21.8%		
Percent Female	47.8%		
Population Proje	23.2%		
Percent Female	48.4%	Percent Male	51.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$69,869
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	17.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$28,756
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	12.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	46.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	39.6%
Obesity, 2007	22.5%
Smoking, 2007	7.9%
Smoking, 2007 No Mammography, 2007	7.9% 49.1%
•	


Laclede

Population 65+, 2008: 5,491

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 19.1%

Outcome Indicators





Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure		
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000				
Total Population,	2008		35,524		
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,934/9.0%		
Population 65+, 2	4,612				
Percent of Popul	14.2%				
Percent Female	Percent Female 57.3% Percent Male				
Percent of Popul	Percent of Population 65+, 2008				
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%		
Population Proje	16.4%				
Percent Female	47.4%				
Population Proje	20.2%				
Percent Female	50.6%	Percent Male	49.4%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007

	Quality of Life	Measure
	Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	77.6%
	Seniors Living in Families, 2008	65.1%
	Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$104,258
	Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.8%
.	Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,335
	Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.5%
	Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
	No Exercise, 2007	41.1%
	No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.2%
	High Blood Pressure, 2007	32.0%
	Obesity, 2007	20.0%
	Smoking, 2007	12.9%
	No Mammography, 2007	40.8%
	High Cholesterol, 2007	24.8%

^{**} Not included in composite county rank

Lafayette

Population 65+, 2008: 5,414

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.7%



Composite County Rank: 46

Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 46				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.22%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
.,	2007	0.23%	•	0.33%	14
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.8%	lack		
	2007	9.9%		11.9%	36
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.2%		15.8%	97
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	33.5%		28.2%	108
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.8%	小		
	2008	84.2%	•	84.2%	77
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	38.0%		39.9%	70
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.7		59.1	35
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$132	lacksquare		
	2008	\$138	•	\$143	30
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.8		35.4	28
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	100.9	1		
	2006	85.5		72.6	88
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.7	1	40.4	0.5
	2008	4.8	•	13.1	65

Status Indicators

Dem	Measure			
Total Population,	33,013			
Total Population,	2008		32,913	
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-100/-0.3%	
Population 65+, 2	5,073			
Percent of Popul	15.4%			
Percent Female	Percent Female 59.1% Percent Male			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.4%	
Percent Female 57.6% Percent Male			42.4%	
Population Proje	17.1%			
Percent Female	44.9%			
Population Proje	20.6%			
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%	

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$115,501
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,688
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.1%
Obesity, 2007	22.2%
Smoking, 2007	3.9%
No Mammography, 2007	43.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	28.9%

Lawrence

Population 65+, 2008: 6,116

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 11.5%





Outcome marcators	Oomp	Joshic Gourni	y Marin. O		213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.41%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.55%	•	0.33%	76	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.6%	小			
	2007	7.0%	•	11.9%	79	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.1%		15.8%	59	
Housing **			_			
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	25.9%		28.2%	72	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.1%	1			
	2008	82.3%	•	84.2%	90	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	41.8%		39.9%	44	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	54.9		59.1	92	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$143	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	*		
	2008	\$176	<u> </u>	\$143	50	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	33.2		35.4	85	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	62.7	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	71.7		72.6	67	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.9	1			
	2008	6.0	•	13.1	43	

Status Indicators

Dem	Measure				
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000				
Total Population,	2008		37,757		
Total Population	Change/9	%, 2000-2008	2,433/6.9%		
Population 65+, 2	5,486				
Percent of Popul	15.5%				
Percent Female	41.8%				
Percent of Popul	16.2%				
Percent Female	57.7%	Percent Male	42.3%		
Population Proje	16.0%				
Percent Female	46.7%				
Population Proje	18.8%				
Percent Female	51.0%	Percent Male	49.0%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$99,285
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,308
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.8%
Obesity, 2007	18.6%
Smoking, 2007	11.2%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

52.9%

33.1%

No Mammography, 2007

Lewis

Population 65+, 2008: 1,740

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.3%





Outcome indicators	Oomp	Joshe Gourn	y Marin. O		213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.36%	\mathbf{L}		
•	2007	0.38%	•	0.33%	45
Workforce Participation			_		
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	8.0%	•	11.9%	70
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.7%		15.8%	50
Housing **			_		
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	21.0%	•	28.2%	19
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.5%	lack		
	2008	78.6%		84.2%	111
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	42.7%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	35.5%		39.9%	83
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.0		59.1	51
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$254	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$305	•	\$143	93
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	21.3		35.4	35
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	43.4	小		
	2006	33.2	· I ·	72.6	5
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	3.4	•	13.1	84

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,501
Total Population,	2008		9,951
Total Population	Change/9	%, 2000-2008	-550/-5.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,685
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			16.0%
Percent Female	59.1%	Percent Male	40.9%
Percent of Popul	17.5%		
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.8%
Percent Female	56.6%	Percent Male	43.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.4%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

75.3%
53.9%
\$73,058
12.2%
\$35,203
8.9%
Measure
36.0%
42.7%
43.3%
23.0%
12.1%
52.8%
02.070

Lincoln

Population 65+, 2008: 5,336

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 26.7%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Comp	Joshe Gourn	y Marin. Z	_	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.22%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.32%	•	0.33%	32	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.3%	小			
	2007	8.0%		11.9%	71	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	13.2%		15.8%	107	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	33.6%		28.2%	109	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.0%	小			
	2008	86.4%		84.2%	56	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	53.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	50.3%		39.9%	5	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	65.0		59.1	22	
Long Term Care Costs **			_			
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$98	小			
	2008	\$75	•	\$143	6	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	18.3		35.4	18	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	69.3	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	81.2		72.6	82	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.4	lack			
	2008	4.1		13.1	75	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		39,256
Total Population,	2008		52,775
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	13,519/34.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,210
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	10.7%
Percent Female	56.5%	Percent Ma	ale 43.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2008	10.1%
Percent Female	54.8%	Percent Ma	ale 45.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	11.6%
Percent Female	53.9%	Percent Ma	ale 46.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	14.3%
Percent Female	53.2%	Percent Ma	ale 46.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank


Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	65.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$149,753
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$40,742
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	5.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	45.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	47.5%
Obesity, 2007	30.6%
Smoking, 2007	6.0%
No Mammography, 2007	42.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.4%
0.	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Linn

Population 65+, 2008: 2,404

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -14.7%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 104				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.42%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.50%	•	0.33%	70	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.2%	lack			
	2007	9.6%	•	11.9%	42	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	24.7%		15.8%	19	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	20.9%	▼	28.2%	18	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	87.9%		84.2%	44	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	38.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	31.9%		39.9%	99	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	62.4		59.1	30	
Long Term Care Costs **			_			
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$207	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$293	•	\$143	88	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	20.6		35.4	32	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	133.3	┰			
	2006	139.5		72.6	111	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.2	lack			
	2008	4.6		13.1	70	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,725
Total Population,	2008		12,580
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-1,145/-8.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,817
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.5%
Percent Female	60.5%	Percent Mal	e 39.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	19.1%
Percent Female	60.3%	Percent Mal	e 39.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.6%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Mal	e 43.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.1%
Percent Female	53.7%	Percent Mal	e 46.3%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	53.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$64,185
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$30,639
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	47.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.1%
Obesity, 2007	29.0%
Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	29.0% 10.1%
Smoking, 2007	10.1%

Livingston

Population 65+, 2008: 2,759

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.0%





			,		21
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.42%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.47%	•	0.33%	60
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.9%	小		
	2007	10.1%		11.9%	33
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.9%		15.8%	85
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	25.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	35.2%		28.2%	110
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.5%	小		
	2008	79.2%		84.2%	110
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	31.7%		39.9%	101
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.7		59.1	55
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$223	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$276	•	\$143	83
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.5		35.4	14
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	101.6	小		
	2006	76.2		72.6	75
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.1	1		
	2008	8.3	•	13.1	26

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		14,498
Total Population,	2008		14,213
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-285/-2.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,760
Percent of Popula	19.0%		
Percent Female	62.7%	Percent Male	37.3%
Percent of Popul	19.4%		
Percent Female	60.6%	Percent Male	39.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.4%
Percent Female	55.6%	Percent Male	44.4%
Population Proje	23.0%		
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.2%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	47.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$89,335
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$31,654
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	34.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.8%
Obesity, 2007	17.1%
Smoking, 2007	13.2%
No Mammography, 2007	44.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	20.7%
00	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

McDonald

Population 65+, 2008: 2,680

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.7%





Outcome marcators	Oomp	Joshe Gourn	y Marin. 2	<i>'</i>	213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.54%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.57%	•	0.33%	78
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	12.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	9.2%	•	11.9%	49
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	14.2%		15.8%	102
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.8%	\mathbf{J}		
•	2008	27.8%	¥	28.2%	91
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.9%	小		
	2008	90.0%	.1.	84.2%	26
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	54.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	49.5%	•	39.9%	6
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	56.2		59.1	83
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$94	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$116	•	\$143	19
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	34.6		35.4	92
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	64.9	lack		
	2006	46.7		72.6	19
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.2	lack		
	2008	4.1		13.1	76

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		21,663
Total Population,	2008		22,731
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,068/4.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,443
Percent of Popul	11.3%		
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Male	44.7%
Percent of Popul	11.8%		
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Male	46.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	10.0%
Percent Female	47.5%	Percent Male	52.5%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			11.2%
Percent Female	44.3%	Percent Male	55.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$84,211
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	17.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,770
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	33.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	50.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	39.5%
Obesity, 2007	27.9%
Smoking, 2007	14.0%
No Mammography, 2007	48.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.7%
0.0	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Macon

Population 65+, 2008: 3,007

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 0.5%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 75			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.35%	\mathbf{L}		
•	2007	0.42%	•	0.33%	49
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.2%	lack		
	2007	7.3%		11.9%	77
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.7%		15.8%	41
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
-	2008	27.2%	•	28.2%	86
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.0%	lack		
	2008	81.5%		84.2%	97
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.2%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	32.8%		39.9%	97
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.8		59.1	88
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$259	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$317		\$143	96
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.3		35.4	46
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	42.2	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	46.5		72.6	18
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.7	1		
	2008	4.7		13.1	69

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		15,739
Total Population,	2008		15,621
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-118/-0.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,991
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			19.0%
Percent Female	59.0%	Percent Male	41.0%
Percent of Popul	19.2%		
Percent Female	57.9%	Percent Male	42.1%
Population Proje	20.0%		
Percent Female	52.6%	Percent Male	47.4%
Population Proje	23.9%		
Percent Female	50.8%	Percent Male	49.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	56.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$84,980
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$30,767
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	43.9%
Obesity, 2007	19.2%
Smoking, 2007	9.5%
No Mammography, 2007	44.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.6%
0.1	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Madison

Population 65+, 2008: 2,032

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -4.0%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 66			0	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.79%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.81%		0.33%	95	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.0%				
	2007	8.3%	•	11.9%	65	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.2%		15.8%	58	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	23.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	27.1%	•	28.2%	83	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	74.5%	小			
	2008	85.5%		84.2%	65	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	50.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	46.3%		39.9%	18	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.2		59.1	38	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$361	1			
	2008	\$356	•	\$143	104	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	32.7		35.4	84	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	89.0	个		_	
	2006	84.5		72.6	87	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.9	小			
	2008	8.4	•	13.1	25	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000		
Total Population,	2008		12,276
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	474/4.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,116
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			17.9%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Percent of Popul	16.6%		
Percent Female	57.9%	Percent Male	42.1%
Population Projections 65+, 2015			19.2%
Percent Female	53.6%	Percent Male	46.4%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			24.0%
Percent Female	50.3%	Percent Male	49.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	55.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$80,547
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$27,175
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	28.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	42.0%
Obesity, 2007	26.7%
Smoking, 2007	21.2%
No Mammography, 2007	38.2%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.2%

Maries

Population 65+, 2008: 1,531

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 10.9%





			<i>y</i>		217
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.35%	小		
•	2007	0.33%		0.33%	34
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.4%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	4.9%	•	11.9%	108
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.6%		15.8%	73
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	21.1%		28.2%	20
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.3%	小		
	2008	89.5%		84.2%	32
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.4%		39.9%	59
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.3		59.1	43
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$178	lack		
	2008	\$128		\$143	24
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.0		35.4	11
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	31.9	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	44.0	▼	72.6	15
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.5	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	1.3	▼	13.1	109

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	•		8,894
Total Population,	2008		9,046
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	152/1.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,380
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			15.5%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%
Percent of Popul	16.9%		
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%
Population Proje	15.7%		
Percent Female	50.9%	Percent Male	49.1%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			18.3%
Percent Female	48.3%	Percent Male	51.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	92.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	69.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$106,197
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,935
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.7%
Obesity, 2007	34.1%
Smoking, 2007	7.1%
No Mammography, 2007	43.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	27.8%
	Missouri Sonior Poport 2000

Marion

Population 65+, 2008: 4,425

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -5.9%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 59				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.59%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.64%	•	0.33%	84
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.1%	lack		
	2007	13.3%		11.9%	11
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.9%		15.8%	39
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
- -	2008	22.1%	▼	28.2%	26
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.5%	小		
	2008	81.8%	.1.	84.2%	94
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	38.0%	•	39.9%	69
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	56.0		59.1	85
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$217	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$324		\$143	98
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	45.3		35.4	106
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	71.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	74.6	•	72.6	72
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	6.9	1		
	2008	16.0		13.1	6

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		28,307
Total Population,	2008		28,225
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-82/-0.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,703
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			16.6%
Percent Female	60.9%	Percent Male	39.1%
Percent of Population 65+, 2008			15.7%
Percent Female	60.8%	Percent Male	39.2%
Population Projections 65+, 2015			17.5%
Percent Female	56.6%	Percent Male	43.4%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			21.9%
Percent Female	54.8%	Percent Male	45.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	71.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	54.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$96,422
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.6%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$46,721
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	13.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	43.3%
Obesity, 2007	21.5%
Smoking, 2007	12.2%
No Mammography, 2007	42.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	24.1%
0.4	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Mercer

Population 65+, 2008: 743

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -10.0%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 44			4	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.31%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	0.41%	•	0.33%	48	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.6%	lack			
	2007	8.2%		11.9%	66	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.1%		15.8%	48	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	12.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	17.0%		28.2%	5	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.1%	lack			
	2008	88.6%		84.2%	41	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	37.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	34.0%		39.9%	94	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	69.9		59.1	10	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$177	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$233	•	\$143	75	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	12.6		35.4	2	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	35.0	T			
	2006	54.1	•	72.6	34	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.3	_			
	2008	1.3		13.1	108	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		3,756
Total Population,	2008		3,523
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-233/-6.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		826
Percent of Popul	22.0%		
Percent Female	60.3%	Percent Male	39.7%
Percent of Popul	21.1%		
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Population Proje	16.6%		
Percent Female	52.7%	Percent Male	47.3%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			20.7%
Percent Female	46.5%	Percent Male	53.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	49.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$56,274
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	17.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$27,859
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	42.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.6%
Obesity, 2007	28.1%
Smoking, 2007	9.5%
No Mammography, 2007	52.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.8%

Miller

Population 65+, 2008: 3,952

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.7%





Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	у капк: 6	8	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.43%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
.,	2007	0.57%	•	0.33%	77
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.3%	lack		
	2007	8.9%		11.9%	52
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	17.0%		15.8%	83
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	26.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	33.4%	•	28.2%	107
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.9%	小		
	2008	79.5%		84.2%	108
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.9%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	41.8%		39.9%	45
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.8		59.1	102
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$114	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$163	•	\$143	41
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.2		35.4	64
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	39.9	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	49.3		72.6	22
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.1	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	2.5	•	13.1	94

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		23,623
Total Population,	2008		24,949
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,326/5.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,601
Percent of Popul	15.2%		
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%
Percent of Popul	15.8%		
Percent Female	56.6%	Percent Male	43.4%
Population Proje	17.7%		
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Male	46.6%
Population Proje	22.8%		
Percent Female	51.0%	Percent Male	49.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	77.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$103,666
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,406
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	12.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	43.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.3%
Obesity, 2007	20.6%
Smoking, 2007	14.2%
No Mammography, 2007	51.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	18.1%
0.6	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Mississippi

Population 65+, 2008: 2,176

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 2.5%





			,		-217	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.11%	1			
•	2007	1.09%		0.33%	107	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.8%	小			
	2007	10.7%	•	11.9%	27	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	24.5%		15.8%	20	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	29.2%	1			
	2008	25.6%		28.2%	68	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.3%	1			
	2008	79.8%	•	84.2%	107	
Household Composition			_			
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	47.6%		39.9%	12	
Civic Engagement **				50.4		
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.8		59.1	75	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$354	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$524	•	\$143	114	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	37.6		35.4	99	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	93.6	1			
	2006	91.0	I -	72.6	94	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.9	小			
	2008	3.2	<u>-</u>	13.1	88	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,362
Total Population,	2008		13,504
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	142/1.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,122
Percent of Popul	15.9%		
Percent Female	62.2%	Percent Male	37.8%
Percent of Popul	16.1%		
Percent Female	61.0%	Percent Male	39.0%
Population Projections 65+, 2015			16.8%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Male	45.8%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			20.1%
Percent Female	51.6%	Percent Male	48.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	75.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	48.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$68,769
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	19.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$31,630
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	44.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	27.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	50.0%
Obesity, 2007	15.8%
Smoking, 2007	15.1%
No Mammography, 2007	46.7%
High Cholesterol, 2007	42.2%

Moniteau

Population 65+, 2008: 2,031

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -1.4%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 43				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001 2007	0.19% 0.27%	$lack \Psi$	0.33%	25
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	8.8%		11.9%	55
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.5%		15.8%	62
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	16.7%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	20.9%	•	28.2%	17
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.6%	1		
	2008	86.6%	<u> </u>	84.2%	53
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	40
	2007	41.4%		39.9%	49
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.9		59.1	73
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$164	lack		
	2008	\$144		\$143	36
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.4		35.4	12
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	63.3	┰		
	2006	87.1		72.6	89
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.5	1		
	2008	3.0		13.1	90

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		14,839
Total Population,	2008		15,121
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	282/1.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,060
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			13.9%
Percent Female	61.0%	Percent Male	39.0%
Percent of Popul	13.4%		
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Population Proje	13.5%		
Percent Female	52.7%	Percent Male	47.3%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			15.8%
Percent Female	50.5%	Percent Male	49.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	57.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$110,610
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$44,657
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	23.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.8%
Obesity, 2007	22.7%
Smoking, 2007	10.1%
No Mammography, 2007	57.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	18.6%

Monroe

Population 65+, 2008: 1,610

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -1.6%





Outcome malcators	Comp	Joshe Gouin	y Marik. O	•	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.28%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.35%	•	0.33%	41	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.7%	小			
	2007	8.7%		11.9%	57	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.2%		15.8%	47	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.4%	\mathbf{A}			
-	2008	27.0%		28.2%	81	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	76.7%	1			
	2008	85.3%		84.2%	68	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	34.3%	•	39.9%	93	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.5		59.1	90	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$163	1			
	2008	\$163	<u> </u>	\$143	42	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	29.7		35.4	77	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	63.0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	72.4	▼	72.6	69	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.8	1			
	2008	3.1	•	13.1	89	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		9,323
Total Population,	2008		9,127
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-196/-2.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,636
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			17.5%
Percent Female	57.8%	Percent Male	42.2%
Percent of Popul	17.6%		
Percent Female	56.6%	Percent Male	43.4%
Population Proje	19.4%		
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			23.3%
Percent Female	52.1%	Percent Male	47.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	73.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	65.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$90,556
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$38,281
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	48.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.1%
Obesity, 2007	26.1%
Smoking, 2007	8.6%
No Mammography, 2007	44.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.8%
00	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Montgomery

Population 65+, 2008: 2,101

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 0.5%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 60			U	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.33%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.34%	•	0.33%	38	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.8%	lack			
	2007	7.8%	•	11.9%	72	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	17.7%		15.8%	80	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	25.9%	▼	28.2%	71	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.1%	小			
	2008	86.1%		84.2%	61	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	37.7%		39.9%	73	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.8		59.1	103	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$262	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$339		\$143	100	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	20.4		35.4	31	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	82.9	个			
	2006	78.4	ı	72.6	79	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.9	1			
	2008	3.3		13.1	85	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		12,131
Total Population,	2008		11,804
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-327/-2.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,090
Percent of Popul	17.2%		
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	17.8%		
Percent Female	57.6%	Percent Male	42.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.9%
Percent Female	54.5%	Percent Male	45.5%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			21.7%
Percent Female	51.1%	Percent Male	48.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	77.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	55.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$98,711
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,949
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	31.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	23.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.9%
Obesity, 2007	27.5%
Smoking, 2007	8.3%
No Mammography, 2007	42.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	24.1%

Morgan

Population 65+, 2008: 4,383

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 15.7%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 95			5	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.46%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.54%		0.33%	75
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.9%	lack		
	2007	5.0%	•	11.9%	106
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.1%		15.8%	32
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	24.0%	▼	28.2%	42
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.6%	小		
	2008	86.0%		84.2%	62
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	42.4%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	32.8%		39.9%	98
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	51.2		59.1	110
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$167	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$176	•	\$143	49
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.0		35.4	61
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	50.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	50.9	▼	72.6	28
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.2	1		
	2008	2.3		13.1	101

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		19,372
Total Population,	2008		20,861
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,489/7.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,789
Percent of Popul	19.6%		
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%
Percent of Popul	21.0%		
Percent Female	53.9%	Percent Male	46.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	21.4%
Percent Female	49.5%	Percent Male	50.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	25.5%
Percent Female	47.0%	Percent Male	53.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$112,700
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	7.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,727
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	33.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	43.5%
Obesity, 2007	24.6%
Smoking, 2007	8.7%
No Mammography, 2007	53.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.3%

New Madrid

Population 65+, 2008: 2,891

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -5.1%





Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. 110			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.07%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	1.09%	•	0.33%	106
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.7%	小		
	2007	10.0%		11.9%	35
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.4%		15.8%	15
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.7%	1		
- -	2008	19.8%	.1.	28.2%	11
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	67.6%	小		
	2008	76.4%		84.2%	114
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	55.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	48.7%		39.9%	9
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.4		59.1	37
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$404	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$549	•	\$143	115
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	46.8		35.4	107
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	105.5	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	114.4	▼	72.6	107
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.0	lack		
	2008	6.6		13.1	40

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		19,669
Total Population,	2008		17,589
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008 <i>-</i> 2	,080/-10.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,045
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	15.5%
Percent Female	61.1%	Percent Male	38.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	16.4%
Percent Female	59.7%	Percent Male	40.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	19.0%
Percent Female	59.3%	Percent Male	40.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	24.2%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	74.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	51.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$66,943
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	16.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$38,024
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.3%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	45.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	47.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	57.0%
Obesity, 2007	21.4%
Smoking, 2007	14.2%
No Mammography, 2007	51.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	38.3%
	Missouri Soniar Bonart 2000

Newton

Population 65+, 2008: 8,047

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 8.9%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 16			23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.25%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.34%	•	0.33%	36
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.0%	lack		
	2007	11.6%		11.9%	19
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.4%		15.8%	96
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
·	2008	24.1%		28.2%	46
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	89.4%		84.2%	33
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	50.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	45.4%		39.9%	23
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.6		59.1	58
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$158	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$169	•	\$143	44
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	28.8		35.4	75
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	58.0	小		
	2006	68.8		72.6	64
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	9.8	T		
	2008	7.1	•	13.1	33

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		52,712
Total Population,	2008		56,120
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	3,408/6.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		7,390
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	14.0%
Percent Female	58.0%	Percent Male	42.0%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	14.3%
Percent Female	57.7%	Percent Male	42.3%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.9%
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.1%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	64.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$102,039
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	7.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,939
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	12.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	43.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.2%
Obesity, 2007	24.8%
Smoking, 2007	7.6%
No Mammography, 2007	39.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	23.7%
	Missouri Sonior Poport 2000

Nodaway

Population 65+, 2008: 3,075

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 1.9%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Composite County Nank. 50		43		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.26%	小		
	2007	0.23%		0.33%	13
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	11.4%	.1.	11.9%	22
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.1%		15.8%	90
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	16.3%	\mathbf{A}		
-	2008	22.4%	•	28.2%	32
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.5%	1		
	2008	84.5%	Τ,	84.2%	75
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	38.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	34.8%	•	39.9%	89
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	58.0		59.1	71
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$106	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$139		\$143	32
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	18.3		35.4	19
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	67.4	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	78.5		72.6	80
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.7	lack		
	2008	7.8	•	13.1	27

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		21,876
Total Population,	2008		22,054
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	178/0.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,019
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	13.8%
Percent Female	58.7%	41.3%	
Percent of Population 65+, 2008			13.9%
Percent Female	57.9%	Percent Male	42.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.4%
Percent Female	53.7%	Percent Male	46.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	18.6%
Percent Female	50.7%	Percent Male	49.3%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	48.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$103,544
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	16.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,157
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	17.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	27.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	31.6%
Obesity, 2007	26.9%
Smoking, 2007	10.3%
No Mammography, 2007	49.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	21.8%

Oregon

Population 65+, 2008: 1,844

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.8%





Outcome marcators	Comp	Joshe Gourn	y Italik. 7	·	213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.30%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	1.32%	•	0.33%	110
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.5%	小		
	2007	5.5%	•	11.9%	100
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.6%		15.8%	26
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	25.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.3%		28.2%	77
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.8%	小		
	2008	92.0%		84.2%	19
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	43.1%		39.9%	35
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.1		59.1	50
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$239	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$285	•	\$143	86
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	24.2		35.4	53
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	114.7	小		
	2006	57.8		72.6	39
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.6	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	1.1	•	13.1	112

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		10,331
Total Population,	2008		10,264
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-67/-0.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,859
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	18.0%
Percent Female	55.7%	Percent Male	44.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	18.0%
Percent Female	54.5%	Percent Male	45.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	19.7%
Percent Female	51.0%	Percent Male	49.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	22.6%
Percent Female	47.6%	Percent Male	52.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$74,608
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$28,681
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	4.9%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	46.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	50.0%
Obesity, 2007	32.6%
Smoking, 2007	12.1%
No Mammography, 2007	60.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	24.6%
	Missouri Soniar Banart 2000

Osage

Population 65+, 2008: 2,039

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.6%

Outcome Indicators



			,		- 22
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.16%	\mathbf{A}		
	2007	0.17%	•	0.33%	7
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.5%	lack		
	2007	9.1%		11.9%	50
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.6%		15.8%	71
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	13.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		_
	2008	16.3%	•	28.2%	3
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.7%	lack		
	2008	82.5%		84.2%	88
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	46.9%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	41.6%		39.9%	47
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	51.4		59.1	109
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$153	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$172	•	\$143	45
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	14.3		35.4	6
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	72.1	1		
	2006	60.9		72.6	43
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.1	lack		
	2008	4.9		13.1	61

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,082
Total Population,	2008		13,465
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	383/2.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,913
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2000	14.6%
Percent Female	57.2%	Percent Male	42.8%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	, 2008	15.1%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Male	43.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.1%
Percent Female	55.6%	Percent Male	44.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.3%
Percent Female	54.6%	Percent Male	45.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

0 11/4	
Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	91.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$124,216
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,399
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	30.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	35.1%
Obesity, 2007	33.9%
Smoking, 2007	6.3%
No Mammography, 2007	28.2%
No Maninography, 2007	
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.3%

Ozark

Population 65+, 2008: 1,858

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.2%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 86			D	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.12%	小		
•	2007	0.98%		0.33%	101
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	2.7%	•	11.9%	114
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	26.2%		15.8%	9
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.7%	1		
	2008	24.8%	▼	28.2%	52
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.0%	lack		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	33.5%		39.9%	96
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	68.8		59.1	11
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$197	lack		
	2008	\$143		\$143	35
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	25.8		35.4	60
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	33.2	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	35.3	▼	72.6	7
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.6			
	2008	2.7		13.1	93

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		9,529
Total Population,	2008		9,227
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-302/-3.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,861
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	19.5%
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.1%
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Male	46.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	21.3%
Percent Female	48.4%	Percent Male	51.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	26.7%
Percent Female	45.8%	Percent Male	54.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	90.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	71.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$88,110
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,046
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	44.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.8%
Obesity, 2007	21.8%
Smoking, 2007	10.6%
No Mammography, 2007	56.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.8%

Pemiscot

Population 65+, 2008: 2,740

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -7.7%



Composite County Rank: 114

Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 114			25	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.94%	个		
•	2007	1.89%		0.33%	115
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.1%	lack		
	2007	9.8%	•	11.9%	39
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	27.9%		15.8%	5
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	27.6%	A		
	2008	23.3%	· I ·	28.2%	37
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	70.5%	小		
	2008	77.7%		84.2%	113
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	48.1%		39.9%	10
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.4		59.1	61
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$393	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$522	•	\$143	113
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	48.9		35.4	110
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	149.0	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	166.1		72.6	115
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.3	1		
	2008	4.4		13.1	72

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		20,014
Total Population,	2008		18,515
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-1,499/-7.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,967
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	14.8%
Percent Female	62.0%	Percent Mal	e 38.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	14.8%
Percent Female	61.5%	Percent Mal	e 38.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	15.2%
Percent Female	57.4%	Percent Mal	e 42.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	19.2%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Mal	e 44.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life enior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008 eniors Living in Families, 2008	Measure
	CO 00/
miore Living in Families 2008	68.9%
fillors Living in railines, 2000	50.4%
edian Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$62,683
eniors in Poverty, 2008	20.4%
verage Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,884
eniors with a College Education, 2008	6.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
o Exercise, 2007	49.1%
o Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.7%
o alginolooscopy or Colonoscopy, 2001	
igh Blood Pressure, 2007	47.8%
	47.8% 25.7%
igh Blood Pressure, 2007	
igh Blood Pressure, 2007 besity, 2007	25.7%
·	

Perry

Population 65+, 2008: 2,988

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 5.1%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 39				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.29%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	0.35%	•	0.33%	40	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.8%	lack			
	2007	12.9%		11.9%	13	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.4%		15.8%	55	
Housing **			_			
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	23.7%		28.2%	39	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.4%	小			
	2008	83.2%		84.2%	82	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	41.1%		39.9%	51	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	50.7		59.1	112	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$177	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$191	•	\$143	62	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	21.3		35.4	34	
Health Status *			_			
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	80.6	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2006	92.2		72.6	95	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.6	1			
	2008	5.4		13.1	54	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	18,137		
Total Population,	2008		18,743
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	606/3.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,844
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			15.7%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Percent of Popul	15.9%		
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%
Population Proje	15.8%		
Percent Female	53.8%	Percent Male	46.2%
Population Proje	20.3%		
Percent Female	51.9%	Percent Male	48.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	92.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	57.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$117,960
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,059
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	4.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	26.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.9%
Obesity, 2007	17.9%
Smoking, 2007	4.0%
No Mammography, 2007	37.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.1%

Pettis

Population 65+, 2008: 6,373

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 4.9%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 70				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.45%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.49%		0.33%	67	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	10.2%	lack			
	2007	12.2%	•	11.9%	16	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.6%		15.8%	74	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	25.6%	•	28.2%	70	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.4%	小			
	2008	81.0%		84.2%	100	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	40.3%		39.9%	60	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	67.4		59.1	12	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$125	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$177	•	\$143	51	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	42.5		35.4	105	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	80.8	个			
	2006	66.5	I	72.6	58	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.0	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	6.9		13.1	35	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure		
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000				
Total Population,	2008		41,006		
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,562/4.0%		
Population 65+, 2	2000		6,073		
Percent of Popul	15.4%				
Percent Female	59.6%	Percent Male	40.4%		
Percent of Popul	15.5%				
Percent Female	58.7%	Percent Male	41.3%		
Population Proje	15.1%				
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Male	43.1%		
Population Proje	17.5%				
Percent Female	54.6%	Percent Male	45.4%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$95,034
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,930
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	41.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	32.2%
Obesity, 2007	23.9%
Smoking, 2007	8.2%
No Mammography, 2007	56.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	26.7%

Phelps

Population 65+, 2008: 6,052

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.2%





Outcome marcators	Comp	osite oourit	y Marik. S	O .	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.51%	小		
•	2007	0.49%		0.33%	66
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.9%	小		
	2007	10.6%		11.9%	28
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.5%		15.8%	76
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.5%	\mathbf{A}		
-	2008	27.1%	•	28.2%	84
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	75.5%	小		
	2008	82.1%	.1.	84.2%	91
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	45.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	40.4%	•	39.9%	58
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.2		59.1	106
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$116	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$163	•	\$143	43
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	41.4		35.4	102
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	65.4	1		
	2006	64.1		72.6	53
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	7.6	lack		
	2008	11.2	•	13.1	13

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure		
Total Population,	Total Population, 2000				
Total Population,	2008		42,205		
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,313/5.8%		
Population 65+, 2	2000		5,540		
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			13.9%		
Percent Female	57.3%	Percent Male	42.7%		
Percent of Popul	14.3%				
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Male	43.8%		
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.4%		
Percent Female	53.0%	Percent Male	47.0%		
Population Proje	20.4%				
Percent Female	50.4%	Percent Male	49.6%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$107,526
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,969
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	15.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	35.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	34.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	27.8%
Obesity, 2007	17.9%
Smoking, 2007	10.4%
No Mammography, 2007	50.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	25.2%
	Misseywi Caniar Danast 2000

Pike

Population 65+, 2008: 2,736

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 54				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.41%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.44%	•	0.33%	54	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.7%	lack			
	2007	11.1%		11.9%	24	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	17.4%		15.8%	81	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	28.7%	▼	28.2%	97	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	72.5%	1			
	2008	85.3%		84.2%	70	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.1%	\mathbf{A}			
	2007	36.9%		39.9%	76	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	67.2		59.1	13	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$198	1			
	2008	\$179	•	\$143	52	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.9		35.4	16	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	110.4	A			
	2006	98.8		72.6	102	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.6	A			
	2008	5.8		13.1	50	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	18,314		
Total Population,	2008		18,476
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	162/0.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,744
Percent of Population 65+, 2000			15.0%
Percent Female	57.5%	Percent Male	42.5%
Percent of Popul	14.8%		
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Male	44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.9%
Percent Female 54.0% Percent Male			46.0%
Population Proje	18.7%		
Percent Female	51.4%	Percent Male	48.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	77.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	58.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$97,852
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,502
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	11.3%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	44.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.7%
Obesity, 2007	29.5%
Smoking, 2007	12.1%
No Mammography, 2007	55.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	19.0%


Platte

Population 65+, 2008: 8,447

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 29.0%







Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		74,250
Total Population,	2008		85,896
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	11,646/15.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		6,549
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	8.8%
Percent Female	57.5%	Percent Ma	ale 42.5%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	9.8%
Percent Female	53.5%	Percent Ma	ale 46.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	12.8%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Ma	ale 44.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	⊦, 2025	16.6%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Ma	ale 44.1%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	70.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$192,100
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	3.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$59,736
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	22.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	26.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	28.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.1%
Obesity, 2007	22.5%
Smoking, 2007	12.1%
No Mammography, 2007	42.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	19.3%

^{**} Not included in composite county rank

Polk

Population 65+, 2008: 4,844

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 17.1%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 80				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.57%	↑			
•	2007	0.51%		0.33%	71	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.7%	lack			
	2007	7.0%	•	11.9%	80	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	22.1%		15.8%	37	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	23.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	28.3%	•	28.2%	94	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	71.4%	^			
	2008	83.2%		84.2%	83	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	38.5%		39.9%	66	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	55.8		59.1	87	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$149	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$184	•	\$143	56	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	27.8		35.4	71	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	71.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2006	76.6	•	72.6	76	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.3	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	7.0		13.1	34	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		27,064
Total Population,	2008		30,404
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	3,340/12.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,135
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	15.3%
Percent Female	56.9%	Percent Mal	e 43.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.9%
Percent Female	56.4%	Percent Mal	e 43.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.3%
Percent Female	51.6%	Percent Mal	e 48.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.5%
Percent Female	50.0%	Percent Mal	e 50.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$113,674
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,338
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	35.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	35.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.8%
Obesity, 2007	25.2%
Smoking, 2007	7.9%
No Mammography, 2007	50.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.6%
44.4	Missouri Sanior Report 2000

Pulaski

Population 65+, 2008: 3,512

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 7.5%





Outcome malcators	Composite County Name. 11				213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.34%	小			
•	2007	0.26%	•	0.33%	21	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.5%	1			
	2007	9.2%	•	11.9%	48	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	12.8%		15.8%	109	
Housing **			_			
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	27.3%		28.2%	87	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.2%	小			
	2008	86.7%		84.2%	52	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	54.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		_	
	2007	52.9%		39.9%	1	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.7		59.1	76	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$78	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$83	•	\$143	8	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	27.4		35.4	70	
Health Status *			_			
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	63.0	┰			
	2006	71.3		72.6	66	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	5.9	1			
	2008	8.8		13.1	21	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		41,710
Total Population,	2008		44,546
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,836/6.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,267
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	7.8%
Percent Female	57.1%	Percent Male	42.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	7.9%
Percent Female	57.1%	Percent Male	42.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	9.7%
Percent Female	54.0%	Percent Male	46.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	13.1%
Percent Female	50.8%	Percent Male	49.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$104,999
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,403
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	30.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	30.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.8%
Obesity, 2007	35.9%
Smoking, 2007	10.9%
No Mammography, 2007	55.2%
High Cholesterol, 2007	20.0%
115	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Putnam

Population 65+, 2008: 1,003

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -7.2%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 83				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.87%	小		
•	2007	0.71%		0.33%	90
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.8%	1		
	2007	5.1%	•	11.9%	105
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.6%		15.8%	88
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	14.8%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
<u> </u>	2008	18.2%	₩	28.2%	8
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	ith Missouri Driver's License 2001 82.0%				
	2008	91.7%	. 1	84.2%	21
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	37.7%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	36.3%	•	39.9%	80
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	76.0		59.1	4
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$133	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$230		\$143	74
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	24.1		35.4	52
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	50.5	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	88.2		72.6	90
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.0	1		
	2008	6.0	•	13.1	44

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		5,243
Total Population,	2008		4,862
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-381/-7.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,081
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	20.6%
Percent Female	59.1%	Percent Male	40.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.6%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Male	43.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.8%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Male	44.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.7%
Percent Female	52.6%	Percent Male	47.4%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.6%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	62.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$66,403
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.3%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$22,676
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	32.9%
Obesity, 2007	22.9%
Smoking, 2007	8.1%
og, 200.	
No Mammography, 2007	52.0%
0,	52.0% 22.4%

Ralls

Population 65+, 2008: 1,651

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 21.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 40				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being			_			
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.20%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
•	2007	0.46%	•	0.33%	58	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.2%	lack			
	2007	6.8%		11.9%	83	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	15.5%		15.8%	95	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	22.0%	•	28.2%	25	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.6%	小			
	2008	86.4%		84.2%	55	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	48.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	42.9%		39.9%	36	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.1		59.1	49	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$140	lack			
	2008	\$138	1	\$143	29	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	18.4		35.4	20	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	68.8	小			
	2006	55.3		72.6	35	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.4	1			
	2008	2.4		13.1	96	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		9,654
Total Population,	2008		9,832
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	178/1.8%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,361
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	14.1%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.8%
Percent Female	53.5%	Percent Male	46.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.8%
Percent Female	47.4%	Percent Male	52.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.8%
Percent Female	45.4%	Percent Male	54.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$99,140
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$39,138
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	32.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	41.8%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	28.3%
Obesity, 2007	26.7%
Smoking, 2007	13.2%
No Mammography, 2007	38.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	21.6%

Randolph

Population 65+, 2008: 3,697

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 0.9%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 92				
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.63%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.66%	•	0.33%	86
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.2%	lack		
	2007	11.6%		11.9%	20
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	17.0%		15.8%	84
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	26.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	30.8%		28.2%	101
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.3%	lack		
	2008	80.0%		84.2%	106
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.3%	\mathbf{J}		
	2007	41.0%		39.9%	53
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	53.3		59.1	98
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$193	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$198	•	\$143	65
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	28.5		35.4	73
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	60.8	T		
	2006	104.2	▼	72.6	103
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.8	1		
	2008	5.7		13.1	52

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		24,674
Total Population,	2008		25,723
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,049/4.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,664
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	14.8%
Percent Female	59.4%	Percent Male	40.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	14.4%
Percent Female	59.0%	Percent Male	41.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.9%
Percent Female	56.2%	Percent Male	43.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.5%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	72.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	55.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$74,391
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,734
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.4%
Obesity, 2007	25.7%
Smoking, 2007	9.5%
No Mammography, 2007	38.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.0%
440	Missouri Soniar Papart 2000

Ray

Population 65+, 2008: 3,194

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.6%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 21			100000000000000000000000000000000000000	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.17%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.30%	•	0.33%	30	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.6%	lack			
	2007	7.8%		11.9%	73	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	14.2%		15.8%	104	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	36.7%	▼	28.2%	111	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.1%	lack			
	2008	94.1%		84.2%	11	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	50.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	42.6%		39.9%	41	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.5		59.1	60	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$90	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$149	•	\$143	39	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	22.5		35.4	40	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	73.8	lack			
	2006	73.1		72.6	71	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.0	Λ			
	2008	4.1		13.1	77	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		23,374
Total Population,	2008		23,445
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	71/0.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,995
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	12.8%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Male	43.0%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	13.6%
Percent Female	55.1%	Percent Male	44.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.7%
Percent Female	53.6%	Percent Male	46.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	17.5%
Percent Female	52.0%	Percent Male	48.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	80.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	72.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$125,040
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,024
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	38.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	38.3%
Obesity, 2007	29.5%
Smoking, 2007	11.2%
No Mammography, 2007	53.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.0%

Reynolds

Population 65+, 2008: 1,279

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 17.2%





Outcome mulcators	Comp	Joshe Gouin	y Marin. 1	01	43
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.10%	小		
	2007	0.98%		0.33%	102
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.9%	lack		
	2007	5.6%	•	11.9%	99
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.3%		15.8%	45
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	28.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	38.0%	•	28.2%	112
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.1%	lack		
	2008	84.9%		84.2%	74
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	54.9%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	46.3%		39.9%	19
Civic Engagement **					_
Senior Voters Index	2008	75.8		59.1	5
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$207	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$408	•	\$143	110
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	22.9		35.4	43
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	238.2	A		
	2006	96.0		72.6	99
Health Care Access		_			
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	2.3	•	13.1	99

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		6,719
Total Population,	2008		6,388
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-331/-4.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,091
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2000	16.2%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	20.0%
Percent Female	52.6%	Percent Male	47.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.1%
Percent Female	49.0%	Percent Male	51.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.4%
Percent Female	48.0%	Percent Male	52.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	79.2%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	70.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$77,401
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,005
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	5.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	20.00/
NO Exercise, 2007	39.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	51.2%
·	
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	51.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	51.2% 38.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	51.2% 38.8% 31.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	51.2% 38.8% 31.0% 15.0%

Ripley

Population 65+, 2008: 2,412

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 113				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.47%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	1.55%	•	0.33%	114
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.1%	lack		
	2007	4.8%	•	11.9%	110
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.6%		15.8%	14
Housing **			_		
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	25.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	33.1%		28.2%	106
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.6%	小		
	2008	89.2%		84.2%	34
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	52.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	47.4%		39.9%	13
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	53.4		59.1	97
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$262	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$404	•	\$143	109
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	42.1		35.4	104
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	114.6	┰		
	2006	153.8		72.6	114
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.2	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	3.7	▼	13.1	80

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,493
Total Population,	2008		13,485
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-8/-0.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,334
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	17.3%
Percent Female	55.4%	Percent Male	44.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	17.9%
Percent Female	55.4%	Percent Male	44.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	18.4%
Percent Female	50.2%	Percent Male	49.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	22.9%
Percent Female	46.4%	Percent Male	53.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$69,082
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	17.3%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,200
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.1%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	46.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	52.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.7%
Obesity, 2007	30.7%
Smoking, 2007	11.3%
No Mammography, 2007	61.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	19.8%

St. Charles

Population 65+, 2008: 37,201

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 47.8%





Outcome malcators	Comp	Joshe Count	y Ivalik. O		213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.08%	_			
•	2007	0.08%		0.33%	2	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.1%	lack			
	2007	9.3%		11.9%	46	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	10.7%		15.8%	115	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	25.4%		28.2%	60	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.3%	小			
	2008	86.9%	.1.	84.2%	51	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	53.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	47.2%		39.9%	14	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.2		59.1	47	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$40	lack			
	2008	\$39	•	\$143	1	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.4		35.4	13	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	59.1	↑			
	2006	57.3		72.6	38	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	6.4	lack			
	2008	7.6	I	13.1	28	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		286,172
Total Population,	2008		349,407
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	63,235/22.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		25,165
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	8.8%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Ma	ale 41.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	10.6%
Percent Female	55.6%	Percent Ma	ale 44.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.8%
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Ma	ale 41.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.2%
Percent Female	59.0%	Percent Ma	ale 41.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank


Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	88.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$202,800
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	2.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$51,067
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	16.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	30.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	17.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	47.4%
Obesity, 2007	23.4%
Smoking, 2007	7.4%
No Mammography, 2007	25.0%
High Cholesterol, 2007	23.6%
	Missouri Sonior Bonort 2000

St. Clair

Population 65+, 2008: 2,029

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -1.5%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 63				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.62%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.64%	•	0.33%	83
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.7%	lack		
	2007	6.9%		11.9%	81
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	28.5%		15.8%	4
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	25.1%	▼	28.2%	57
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	80.6%	lack		
	2008	94.7%		84.2%	9
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	28.3%		39.9%	111
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	71.4		59.1	8
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$180	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$251	•	\$143	77
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	26.4		35.4	65
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	58.1	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	45.9		72.6	17
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	4.9		13.1	60

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		9,672
Total Population,	2008		9,270
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-402/-4.2%
Population 65+, 2	2,060		
Percent of Popul	21.3%		
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Male	44.7%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	21.9%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Male	45.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	23.9%
Percent Female	45.2%	Percent Male	54.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	31.0%
Percent Female	40.7%	Percent Male	59.3%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.2%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$85,109
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$31,096
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	45.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	47.4%
Obesity, 2007	25.3%
Smoking, 2007	8.8%
No Mammography, 2007	55.3%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.7%

Ste. Genevieve

Population 65+, 2008: 2,688

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.4%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 33				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.25%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.27%	•	0.33%	24	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.9%	lack			
	2007	8.8%		11.9%	53	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.3%		15.8%	66	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.9%				
	2008	22.3%	•	28.2%	31	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	74.0%	小			
	2008	87.2%		84.2%	50	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	43.2%		39.9%	34	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.7		59.1	57	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$114	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$136	•	\$143	28	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.8		35.4	51	
Health Status *			_			
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	52.1	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	75.7	▼	72.6	74	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.8	lack			
	2008	5.6		13.1	53	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		17,909
Total Population,	2008		17,720
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-189/-1.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,600
Percent of Popul	14.5%		
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	15.2%
Percent Female	54.9%	Percent Male	45.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.6%
Percent Female	50.4%	Percent Male	49.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.9%
Percent Female	47.3%	Percent Male	52.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
	ivieasure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	61.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$123,828
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	6.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,578
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	3.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	30.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	32.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.2%
Obesity, 2007	23.5%
·	6.70/
Smoking, 2007	6.7%
Smoking, 2007 No Mammography, 2007	32.1%

St. Francois

Population 65+, 2008: 9,121

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.6%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 94				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.78%	小			
•	2007	0.71%		0.33%	89	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.9%	lack			
	2007	8.3%	•	11.9%	64	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.5%		15.8%	75	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.6%	\mathbf{L}			
	2008	22.3%	▼	28.2%	29	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.4%	小			
	2008	81.9%		84.2%	92	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	48.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	44.2%		39.9%	30	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	54.0		59.1	95	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$176	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$206		\$143	67	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	33.4		35.4	86	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	109.0	小			
	2006	105.8		72.6	104	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	6.0	1			
	2008	9.1	•	13.1	19	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		55,742
Total Population,	2008		63,214
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	7,472/13.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		8,320
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	14.9%
Percent Female	58.9%	Percent Mal	e 41.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	14.4%
Percent Female	58.1%	Percent Mal	e 41.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2015	15.7%
Percent Female	54.9%	Percent Mal	e 45.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65-	+, 2025	20.1%
Percent Female	52.2%	Percent Mal	e 47.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	86.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	60.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$98,887
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	10.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,197
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	44.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	27.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	46.7%
Obesity, 2007	29.4%
Smoking, 2007	17.2%
No Mammography, 2007	42.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.6%

St. Louis

Population 65+, 2008: 143,155

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -0.1%





Outcome mulcators	Composite County Nank. C			213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.14%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.15%	•	0.33%	4
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	11.9%	小		
	2007	14.4%		11.9%	8
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	16.0%		15.8%	93
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	23.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	29.8%	▼	28.2%	99
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.7%	lack		
	2008	85.6%	<u>'</u>	84.2%	63
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	40.2%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	0.4
	2007	35.4%		39.9%	84
Civic Engagement **				50. 4	4.4
Senior Voters Index	2008	61.1		59.1	41
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$97	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$129	•	\$143	25
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	28.9		35.4	76
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	64.4	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	68.4	•	72.6	63
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	9.1	1		_
	2008	25.4	•	13.1	3

Status Indicators

Demo	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		1016377
Total Population,	2008		991,830
Total Population (Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-24547/-2.4%
Population 65+, 2	000		143,282
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	14.1%
Percent Female	60.5%	Percent Ma	ile 39.5%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	14.4%
Percent Female	59.2%	Percent Ma	le 40.8%
Population Project	tions 65	+, 2015	16.3%
Percent Female	59.9%	Percent Ma	ile 40.1%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.2%
Percent Female	59.3%	Percent Ma	ile 40.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	84.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	59.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$186,700
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	5.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$59,947
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	27.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	31.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	13.6%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	41.7%
Obesity, 2007	24.0%
Smoking, 2007	10.0%
No Mammography, 2007	74.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	19.8%

Saline

Population 65+, 2008: 3,404

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -11.8%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 73				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.38%	\mathbf{L}			
•	2007	0.47%	•	0.33%	59	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.8%	lack			
	2007	12.9%		11.9%	14	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.3%		15.8%	46	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	25.2%	▼	28.2%	58	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	87.8%		84.2%	45	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	38.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	33.8%		39.9%	95	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	66.2		59.1	18	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$179	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$214	•	\$143	71	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	41.4		35.4	101	
Health Status *			_			
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	86.9	T			
	2006	93.5		72.6	97	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.6	A			
	2008	8.8		13.1	22	

Status Indicators

Dom	a arrambia		Manager
Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		23,748
Total Population,	2008		22,505
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-1,243/-5.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,859
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.2%
Percent Female	60.8%	Percent Mal	e 39.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.1%
Percent Female	59.1%	Percent Mal	e 40.9%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	17.0%
Percent Female	56.3%	Percent Mal	e 43.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.8%
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Mal	e 46.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	77.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	56.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$88,548
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$43,800
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	9.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	32.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	46.0%
Obesity, 2007	23.6%
Smoking, 2007	4.7%
No Mammography, 2007	29.6%
High Cholesterol, 2007	32.1%
40=	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Schuyler

Population 65+, 2008: 854

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 89				
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.60%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
•	2007	0.82%	•	0.33%	96
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.2%	lack		
	2007	5.8%		11.9%	97
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	23.8%		15.8%	24
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	22.1%		28.2%	27
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	82.1%	小		
	2008	86.2%		84.2%	60
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	44.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	36.1%		39.9%	81
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	80.2		59.1	3
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$241	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$255	•	\$143	79
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.4		35.4	23
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	67.0	lack		
	2006	62.7		72.6	48
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	3.5		13.1	83

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		4,169
Total Population,	2008		4,110
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-59/-1.4%
Population 65+, 2	2000		827
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	19.8%
Percent Female	58.6%	Percent Male	41.4%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	20.8%
Percent Female	57.4%	Percent Male	42.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	22.1%
Percent Female	52.5%	Percent Male	47.5%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	27.2%
Percent Female	50.2%	Percent Male	49.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	74.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	58.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$72,364
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	18.3%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,409
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	3.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	45.5%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	40.7%
ite digineraceopy or edicineedopy, zee:	70.1 /0
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	36.0% 25.3%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	36.0% 25.3% 10.3%

Scotland

Population 65+, 2008: 792

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -15.8%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 26				23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.36%	小		
•	2007	0.25%		0.33%	18
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.4%	lack		
	2007	9.9%	•	11.9%	37
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.6%		15.8%	13
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	16.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
<u> </u>	2008	20.0%	V	28.2%	13
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	81.4%	1		
	2008	92.8%	•	84.2%	16
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	36.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	27.2%		39.9%	114
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	66.7		59.1	15
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$323	lack		
	2008	\$226	•	\$143	73
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.6		35.4	26
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	86.9	小		
	2006	72.6		72.6	70
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	4.7	小		
	2008	12.6	•	13.1	11

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		4,976
Total Population,	2008		4,798
Total Population	-178/-3.6%		
Population 65+, 2	2000		941
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	18.9%
Percent Female	59.9%	Percent Male	40.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.5%
Percent Female	59.3%	Percent Male	40.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	15.5%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	16.3%
Percent Female	51.3%	Percent Male	48.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.5%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	56.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$68,067
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,287
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	39.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.6%
Obesity, 2007	25.7%
0	10.1%
Smoking, 2007	
No Mammography, 2007	60.5%
· ·	60.5% 28.1%

Scott

Population 65+, 2008: 5,793

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 4.7%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 97				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.77%	小			
•	2007	0.74%		0.33%	91	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	8.0%	lack			
	2007	10.0%	•	11.9%	34	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.1%		15.8%	60	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.2%	小			
	2008	20.4%	· I ·	28.2%	15	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	89.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	86.5%	•	84.2%	54	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	52.2%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	46.6%		39.9%	16	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.2		59.1	63	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$226	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	\$289	•	\$143	87	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	47.5		35.4	108	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	106.0	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2006	109.6	▼	72.6	106	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	5.5	1			
	2008	7.4		13.1	30	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		40,390
Total Population,	2008		40,673
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	283/0.7%
Population 65+, 2	5,532		
Percent of Popul	13.7%		
Percent Female	61.7%	Percent Male	38.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	14.2%
Percent Female	59.8%	Percent Male	40.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.5%
Percent Female	55.9%	Percent Male	44.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.4%
Percent Female	54.5%	Percent Male	45.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	73.7%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	55.7%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$95,851
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.0%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$37,595
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	33.0%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	51.0%
Obesity, 2007	33.0%
Smoking, 2007	10.0%
No Mammography, 2007	52.3%

Shannon

Population 65+, 2008: 1,328

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.0%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Comp	Joshe Gouint	y Marik. O	<u> </u>	213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.05%	小		
•	2007	1.01%		0.33%	103
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.2%	小		
	2007	3.7%		11.9%	111
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.5%		15.8%	77
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	19.9%	√.		
•	2008	20.1%	¥	28.2%	14
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.4%	小		
	2008	89.6%	,1,	84.2%	31
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	52.8%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	45.7%	•	39.9%	21
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.5		59.1	79
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$159	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$225	•	\$143	72
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.6		35.4	27
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	72.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	94.0		72.6	98
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	0.8	lack		
	2008	5.3		13.1	55

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure			
Total Population,	2000		8,318			
Total Population,	2008		8,423			
Total Population	Total Population Change/%, 2000-2008					
Population 65+, 2	1,253					
Percent of Popul	15.1%					
Percent Female	56.1%	Percent Male	43.9%			
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	15.8%			
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Male	44.5%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	17.9%			
Percent Female	49.7%	Percent Male	50.3%			
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.7%			
Percent Female	48.6%	Percent Male	51.4%			

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	87.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$65,143
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$24,948
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.70/
NO Exercise, 2007	42.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	44.4%
·	
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	44.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.4% 49.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	44.4% 49.6% 28.3%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	44.4% 49.6% 28.3% 15.8%

Shelby

Population 65+, 2008: 1,247

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -6.6%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 74			4	23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.32%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.43%	•	0.33%	50	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	9.2%	lack			
	2007	11.8%	•	11.9%	17	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.7%		15.8%	12	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	13.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	16.8%	•	28.2%	4	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	85.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	85.3%	•	84.2%	69	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	34.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	27.8%		39.9%	112	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	64.6		59.1	24	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$225	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$299	•	\$143	89	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	22.7		35.4	41	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	52.0	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2006	61.1	<u> </u>	72.6	44	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.6	_			
	2008	1.6		13.1	105	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure				
Total Population,	2000		6,789				
Total Population,	2008		6,411				
Total Population	otal Population Change/%, 2000-2008						
Population 65+, 2	1,335						
Percent of Popul	Percent of Population 65+, 2000						
Percent Female	62.1%	Percent Male	37.9%				
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	19.5%				
Percent Female	61.1%	Percent Male	38.9%				
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.7%				
Percent Female	57.3%	Percent Male	42.7%				
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.7%				
Percent Female	53.3%	Percent Male	46.7%				

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	54.6%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$69,176
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,037
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	37.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	36.0%
Obsoity 2007	22.5%
Obesity, 2007	
Smoking, 2007	8.3%
Smoking, 2007	8.3% 50.0% 21.0%

Stoddard

Population 65+, 2008: 5,280

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 3.0%

Outcome Indicators

Composite County Rank: 99

Outcome marcators	Oomp	Joshe Gourn	y Marin. 7	<u> </u>	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.79%	lack			
•	2007	0.76%		0.33%	94	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.9%	lack			
	2007	9.1%	•	11.9%	51	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	22.9%		15.8%	34	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	22.5%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	30.0%		28.2%	100	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.4%	lack			
	2008	84.3%		84.2%	76	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.7%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	43.4%		39.9%	33	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.7		59.1	105	
Long Term Care Costs **			_			
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$237	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$341	•	\$143	101	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	37.2		35.4	97	
Health Status *			_			
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	77.1	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2006	90.3	▼	72.6	93	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.1	lack			
	2008	4.7		13.1	68	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		29,691
Total Population,	2008		29,537
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-154/-0.5%
Population 65+, 2	2000		5,126
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2000	17.3%
Percent Female	60.1%	Percent Male	39.9%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	17.9%
Percent Female	59.2%	Percent Male	40.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.0%
Percent Female	56.4%	Percent Male	43.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	23.3%
Percent Female	55.3%	Percent Male	44.7%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.2%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	63.1%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$84,384
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$35,188
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	46.0%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	35.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.9%
Obesity, 2007	20.0%
Smoking, 2007	10.7%
No Mammography, 2007	67.1%
High Cholesterol, 2007	27.9%
400	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Stone

Population 65+, 2008: 6,971

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 27.8%





Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 31				23	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.26%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.28%	•	0.33%	27	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	3.7%	lack			
	2007	5.2%	•	11.9%	103	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	26.1%		15.8%	10	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	18.8%	T			
	2008	22.6%		28.2%	35	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	84.9%	小			
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	41.4%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	35.0%		39.9%	88	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	72.3		59.1	7	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$95	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$128	•	\$143	23	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	21.6		35.4	36	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	35.0	↑		_	
	2006	24.5		72.6	3	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.4	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	1.3	•	13.1	110	

Status Indicators

Demo	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		28,739
Total Population,	2008		31,551
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	2,812/9.8%
Population 65+, 2	000		5,453
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	19.0%
Percent Female	53.0%	Percent Male	47.0%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	22.1%
Percent Female	53.7%	Percent Male	46.3%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2015	21.9%
Percent Female	46.3%	Percent Male	53.7%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	29.1%
Percent Female	43.1%	Percent Male	56.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	89.3%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	76.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$131,752
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	8.4%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$43,978
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	19.8%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	38.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	29.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	27.5%
Obesity, 2007	23.4%
Smoking, 2007	11.0%
No Mammography, 2007	39.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	16.9%

Sullivan

Population 65+, 2008: 1,059

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -20.4%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 30		23		
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.53%	个		
•	2007	0.50%		0.33%	69
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.3%	_		
	2007	6.3%		11.9%	92
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.1%		15.8%	68
Housing **			_		
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	26.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	31.7%	•	28.2%	103
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	72.5%	小		
	2008	91.6%		84.2%	22
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	37.8%		39.9%	72
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	83.4		59.1	1
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$310	1		
	2008	\$299	•	\$143	90
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	24.7		35.4	56
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	58.3	小		
	2006	32.0		72.6	4
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.3	A		
	2008	7.6		13.1	29

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure		
Total Population,	2000		7,226		
Total Population,	2008		6,629		
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-597/-8.3%		
Population 65+, 2	2000		1,330		
Percent of Popul	Percent of Population 65+, 2000				
Percent Female	57.9%	Percent Male	42.1%		
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.0%		
Percent Female	56.7%	Percent Male	43.3%		
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	13.9%		
Percent Female	50.5%	Percent Male	49.5%		
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	14.2%		
Percent Female	45.3%	Percent Male	54.7%		

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	78.0%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	56.9%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$65,156
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	21.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$25,189
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.7%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	37.1%
N. O	
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	51.5%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	51.5% 34.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	34.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	34.1% 22.0% 10.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	34.1% 22.0%

Taney

Population 65+, 2008: 7,923

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 22.7%





Outcome mulcators	Comp	Joshe Gourn	y Marik. S		213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.30%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
•	2007	0.34%	•	0.33%	37
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	17.9%	lack		
	2007	24.0%		11.9%	1
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	21.7%		15.8%	40
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	21.0%	V		
	2008	25.0%	•	28.2%	55
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	85.0%	lack		
	2008	95.0%		84.2%	1
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	42.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2007	36.5%		39.9%	78
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	58.3		59.1	69
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$91	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$103	•	\$143	15
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	33.5		35.4	88
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	59.1	1		
	2006	55.5		72.6	36
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	6.1	A		
	2008	10.9		13.1	15

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		39,940
Total Population,	2008		47,023
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	7,083/17.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		6,457
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.2%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Male	45.8%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.8%
Percent Female	55.4%	Percent Male	44.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.2%
Percent Female	48.7%	Percent Male	51.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	18.2%
Percent Female	45.8%	Percent Male	54.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	84.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	71.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$117,402
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.1%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$41,885
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	15.4%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	29.9%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	34.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.2%
Obesity, 2007	23.6%
Smoking, 2007	12.6%
No Mammography, 2007	35.9%
High Cholesterol, 2007	18.3%

Texas

Population 65+, 2008: 4,391

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 6.6%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome indicators	Composite County Rank: 50				
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.88%	小		
•	2007	0.75%		0.33%	93
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.4%	lack		
	2007	6.3%		11.9%	93
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	24.9%		15.8%	18
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	24.4%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.0%		28.2%	75
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.6%	lack		
	2008	88.8%		84.2%	36
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	47.3%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	42.4%		39.9%	42
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.2		59.1	46
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$181	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$191	•	\$143	61
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	23.1		35.4	45
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	74.5	小		
	2006	53.2		72.6	31
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.6	1		
	2008	5.0		13.1	59

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		23,003
Total Population,	2008		24,598
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,595/6.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		4,120
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	17.9%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Male	43.0%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2008	17.9%
Percent Female	56.4%	Percent Male	43.6%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2015	19.8%
Percent Female	51.9%	Percent Male	48.1%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	24.7%
Percent Female	49.1%	Percent Male	50.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.4%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$86,718
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	12.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$34,056
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	10.0%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	39.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	45.5%
Obesity, 2007	25.2%
Smoking, 2007	15.9%
No Mammography, 2007	51.2%
High Cholesterol, 2007	23.4%

Vernon

Population 65+, 2008: 3,237

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -2.7%





Outcome indicators	Comp	osite Count	y Kalik: /	9	23
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.66%	小		
•	2007	0.60%		0.33%	79
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.4%	lack		
	2007	8.6%		11.9%	60
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	19.6%		15.8%	61
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	20.8%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	25.5%	▼	28.2%	65
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.8%	lack		
	2008	86.3%		84.2%	57
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	43.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	37.9%		39.9%	71
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	57.2		59.1	81
Long Term Care Costs **			_		
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$231	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$267	•	\$143	82
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	35.0		35.4	93
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	73.3	1		
	2006	69.9	. 1 *	72.6	65
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.4	Λ		
	2008	5.9		13.1	49

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		20,415
Total Population,	2008		20,009
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-406/-2.0%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,327
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	16.3%
Percent Female	58.4%	Percent Male	41.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	16.2%
Percent Female	58.6%	Percent Male	41.4%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	17.0%
Percent Female	53.4%	Percent Male	46.6%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	20.2%
Percent Female	52.1%	Percent Male	47.9%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	81.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	57.5%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$89,943
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,593
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	12.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
Heatlh and Wellness No Exercise, 2007	Measure 47.7%
No Exercise, 2007	47.7%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	47.7% 44.7%
No Exercise, 2007 No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	47.7% 44.7% 42.6%

Missouri Senior Report, 2009

31.5%

High Cholesterol, 2007

Warren

Population 65+, 2008: 4,199

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 31.1%





			,		- 217
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.15%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	0.21%	•	0.33%	11
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	7.3%	\mathbf{L}		
	2007	6.7%	•	11.9%	88
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	18.0%		15.8%	78
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	26.8%	•	28.2%	78
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	83.1%	小		
	2008	93.6%	•	84.2%	13
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	49.1%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	
	2007	44.7%		39.9%	26
Civic Engagement **	0000	20.0		F0.4	40
Senior Voters Index	2008	60.2		59.1	48
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$40	\mathbf{L}		
	2008	\$41	•	\$143	2
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	22.8		35.4	42
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	45.2	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	63.2		72.6	49
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.2	小		
	2008	2.4	•	13.1	97

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		24,720
Total Population,	2008		31,214
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	6,494/26.3%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,202
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	, 2000	13.0%
Percent Female	55.5%	Percent Ma	le 44.5%
Percent of Popula	ation 65+	2008	13.5%
Percent Female	54.1%	Percent Ma	le 45.9%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2015	16.0%
Percent Female	53.3%	Percent Ma	le 46.7%
Population Project	ctions 65	+, 2025	21.6%
Percent Female	51.0%	Percent Ma	le 49.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$160,326
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	9.8%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$49,910
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	8.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	36.2%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	28.4%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007 High Blood Pressure, 2007	28.4% 49.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	49.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007	49.1% 25.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007 Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	49.1% 25.7% 14.8%

Washington

Population 65+, 2008: 3,241

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 18.4%



Composite County Rank: 109

	7		,		217
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.13%	小		
.,	2007	1.10%		0.33%	108
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.6%	lack		
	2007	5.5%	•	11.9%	101
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.4%		15.8%	56
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	15.2%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	19.1%		28.2%	9
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	73.5%	lack		
	2008	80.6%		84.2%	102
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	58.3%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		_
	2007	52.1%		39.9%	2
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	51.6		59.1	108
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$170	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$251	•	\$143	78
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	33.6		35.4	89
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	89.9	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	126.1	▼	72.6	110
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.5	1		
	2008	4.3	•	13.1	73

Status Indicators

Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		23,410
Total Population,	2008		24,548
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	1,138/4.9%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,737
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	11.7%
Percent Female	54.7%	Percent Male	45.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	13.2%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Male	45.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	14.9%
Percent Female	50.7%	Percent Male	49.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	19.1%
Percent Female	49.5%	Percent Male	50.5%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank


Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	90.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	68.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$73,703
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	11.7%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$38,187
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	6.6%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	45.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	47.2%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.8%
Obesity, 2007	30.2%
Smoking, 2007	16.0%
	45.5%
No Mammography, 2007	43.3 /0
No Mammography, 2007 High Cholesterol, 2007	26.5%

Wayne

Population 65+, 2008: 2,877

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 9.3%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome Indicators	Composite County Rank: 111				
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.46%	个		
•	2007	1.33%	•	0.33%	111
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	2.8%	lack		
	2007	3.1%	•	11.9%	112
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	24.1%		15.8%	22
Housing **			_		
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	16.5%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	22.3%		28.2%	30
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	70.3%	1		
	2008	82.6%	•	84.2%	87
Household Composition			_		
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	52.6%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$	00.00/	
	2007	43.5%		39.9%	32
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.9		59.1	101
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$266	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	\$459	•	\$143	111
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	41.9		35.4	103
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	55.4	lack		_
	2006	47.5		72.6	21
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	1.5	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2008	1.4	•	13.1	107

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		13,256
Total Population,	2008		12,652
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	-604/-4.6%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,633
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2000	19.9%
Percent Female	53.9%	Percent Male	46.1%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	22.7%
Percent Female	53.9%	Percent Male	46.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	22.7%
Percent Female	46.8%	Percent Male	53.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	28.7%
Percent Female	44.4%	Percent Male	55.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	67.0%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$61,208
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$29,664
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	4.2%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	43.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	50.7%
High Disad Pressure 2007	39.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	00.070
Obesity, 2007	30.8%
<u> </u>	
Obesity, 2007	30.8%
Obesity, 2007 Smoking, 2007	30.8% 17.9%

Webster

Population 65+, 2008: 4,534

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 26.8%



Composite County Rank: 25

Jucome mucators composit		Joshe Count	y Marin. Z	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.50%	小		
	2007	0.43%		0.33%	51
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	4.1%	小		
	2007	5.8%		11.9%	96
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	14.2%		15.8%	103
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	30.6%	₩.		
-	2008	38.8%	•	28.2%	113
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	77.9%	小		
	2008	85.4%		84.2%	67
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	57.0%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	51.5%		39.9%	3
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	58.3		59.1	68
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$118	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$124	•	\$143	22
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	17.9		35.4	15
Health Status *			_		
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	32.8	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2006	51.4	▼	72.6	30
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.3	lack		_
	2008	2.4		13.1	95

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	6	Measure
Total Population,	2000		31,260
Total Population,	2008		36,473
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	5,213/16.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		3,577
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2000	11.4%
Percent Female	56.8%	Percent Mal	e 43.2%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	2008	12.4%
Percent Female	56.0%	Percent Mal	e 44.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	12.7%
Percent Female	53.9%	Percent Mal	e 46.1%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	15.0%
Percent Female	52.8%	Percent Mal	e 47.2%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	82.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	71.4%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$127,663
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	14.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$33,560
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.9%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	23.7%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	36.4%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	37.1%
Obesity, 2007	28.4%
Smoking, 2007	10.3%
No Mammography, 2007	49.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.3%
4.46	Missouri Senior Report 2009

Worth

Population 65+, 2008: 508

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -4.0%





Outcome marcators	Comp	Joshe Gourn	y Marik. O	,	213	
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank	
Economic Well-being						
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	0.39%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
•	2007	0.44%	•	0.33%	52	
Workforce Participation						
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	6.9%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2007	6.4%	•	11.9%	90	
Economic Contribution **						
Economic Impact Index	2008	25.4%		15.8%	16	
Housing **						
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	17.6%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2008	24.8%		28.2%	54	
Transportation						
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	79.5%	⚠			
	2008	81.7%		84.2%	96	
Household Composition						
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	30.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$			
	2007	27.5%		39.9%	113	
Civic Engagement **						
Senior Voters Index	2008	59.7		59.1	54	
Long Term Care Costs **						
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$180	$\mathbf{\Psi}$			
	2008	\$317	•	\$143	97	
Safety **						
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	19.2		35.4	22	
Health Status *						
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	64.8	1			
	2006	6.7		72.6	1	
Health Care Access						
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	3.8	业			
	2008	2.0	▼	13.1	103	

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	S	Measure
Total Population,	2000		2,375
Total Population,	2008		2,039
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	-336/-14.1%
Population 65+, 2	2000		529
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	22.3%
Percent Female	57.7%	Percent Male	42.3%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	24.9%
Percent Female	58.3%	Percent Male	41.7%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	20.1%
Percent Female	54.2%	Percent Male	45.8%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	22.5%
Percent Female	50.4%	Percent Male	49.6%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007 ** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	85.8%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	54.3%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$48,255
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.9%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$31,486
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	7.5%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	40.8%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	52.9%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	40.0%
Obesity, 2007	24.5%
Smoking, 2007	10.4%
No Mammography, 2007	50.5%
High Cholesterol, 2007	22.5%
1.42	Missouri Senior Report, 2009

Wright

Population 65+, 2008: 3,385

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: 14.2%

Outcome Indicators



Outcome marcators	Composite County Name. Co				213
	Year	Measure	Trend	State Measure	County Rank
Economic Well-being					
SSI Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income	2001	1.20%	小		
•	2007	1.03%		0.33%	105
Workforce Participation					
Percent of Seniors Working for Pay	2001	5.3%	小		
	2007	6.7%		11.9%	87
Economic Contribution **					
Economic Impact Index	2008	20.4%		15.8%	52
Housing **					
Percent of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened	2000	26.0%	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
- -	2008	26.8%	•	28.2%	80
Transportation					
Percent of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License	2001	78.4%	1		
	2008	81.4%		84.2%	98
Household Composition					
Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns	2001	51.1%	$\mathbf{\Lambda}$		
	2007	44.5%		39.9%	28
Civic Engagement **					
Senior Voters Index	2008	52.0		59.1	107
Long Term Care Costs **					
Medicaid Costs for Long Term Care per Capita	2002	\$195	$oldsymbol{\Psi}$		
	2008	\$209		\$143	69
Safety **					
Property & Violent Crime per 1,000 Persons	2008	24.2		35.4	54
Health Status *					
Hospitalizations & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors	2003	38.8	$\mathbf{\Psi}$		
	2006	50.3		72.6	27
Health Care Access					
Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors	2004	2.6	lack		
	2008	3.5		13.1	82

Status Indicators

Dem	ographics	5	Measure
Total Population,	2000		17,951
Total Population,	2008		18,443
Total Population	Change/%	6, 2000-2008	492/2.7%
Population 65+, 2	2000		2,963
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2000	16.5%
Percent Female	57.4%	Percent Male	42.6%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+,	2008	18.4%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Male	43.0%
Population Proje	ctions 65	⊦, 2015	17.6%
Percent Female	51.9%	Percent Male	48.1%
Population Projections 65+, 2025			22.2%
Percent Female	49.2%	Percent Male	50.8%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007
** Not included in composite county rank

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	83.1%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	66.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$91,312
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	13.2%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$27,426
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	5.9%
HeatIh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	35.1%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	48.1%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	44.1%
Obesity, 2007	28.2%
Smoking, 2007	10.2%
No Mammography, 2007	48.8%
High Cholesterol, 2007	24.5%
	Missouri Senior Report 2000


St. Louis city

Population 65+, 2008: 40,164

Percent Change 65+, 2000-2008: -15.3%



Composite County Rank: 115



Status Indicators


Dem	ographic	s	Measure
Total Population,	2000		346,894
Total Population,	2008		354,361
Total Population	Change/%	%, 2000-2008	7,467/2.2%
Population 65+, 2	2000		47,412
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2000	13.7%
Percent Female	64.6%	Percent Male	35.4%
Percent of Popul	ation 65+	, 2008	11.3%
Percent Female	63.8%	Percent Male	36.2%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2015	12.0%
Percent Female	60.7%	Percent Male	39.3%
Population Proje	ctions 65	+, 2025	16.1%
Percent Female	57.0%	Percent Male	43.0%

^{*}Three year average 2002-2004 and 2005-2007

Quality of Life	Measure
Senior Owner-Occupied Housing, 2008	64.9%
Seniors Living in Families, 2008	51.8%
Median Value of All Owned Housing, 2008	\$128,000
Seniors in Poverty, 2008	15.5%
Average Income of Senior Households, 2008	\$36,315
Seniors with a College Education, 2008	15.1%
Heatlh and Wellness	Measure
No Exercise, 2007	44.6%
No Sigmoidoscopy or Colonoscopy, 2007	30.7%
High Blood Pressure, 2007	50.7%
Obesity, 2007	28.2%
Smoking, 2007	19.0%
No Mammography, 2007	43.4%
High Cholesterol, 2007	29.4%

^{**} Not included in composite county rank

Percent of Population 65 and Over, 2008



Missouri Senior Report 2009
Source: US Census Bureau, Population Estimates, 2008
Produced by: University of Missouri Extension, Office of Social and Economic Data Analysis (OSEDA)
Map Generated On: 30 Mar 2010

Composite Index in Rank Order

County	2009	2008	County	2009	2008
County	2009		County Lawrence	59	2008
Hickory	2	2	Scott	60	73
Benton	3		Vernon	61	61
St. Clair	4		Moniteau	62	
	-	8			67
Pemiscot	5	17	Crawford	63	77
Dunklin	6		Clark	64	58
Knox Daviess	7	_	Barton	65	64
Ozark	8	3	Ste. Genevieve	66	87
Stone	9		Cooper Sullivan	67	69
	10	9	Laclede	68	60
Chariton Shelby	11	-		69	56
	12			70	52
Scotland	13		Osage	71	84
Ripley	14		Bollinger	72	96
New Madrid	15		Maries	73	86
Worth	16	6	Pettis	74	54
Douglas	17		St. Francois	75	95
Texas	18		Phelps	76	85
Linn	19		Shannon	77	82
Mississippi	20		Warren	78	57
Iron	21		Cape Girardeau	79	107
Wayne	22	23	Montgomery	80	81
Dent	23	45	Pike	81	89
Schuyler	24	21	Caldwell	82	62
Atchison	25	10	Miller	83	71
Oregon	26	43	Randolph	84	93
Holt	27	13	Livingston	85	65
Dade	28		Greene	86	66
Gentry	29	14	Clinton	87	104
Henry	30	31	Putnam	88	80
Audrain	31	37	Adair	89	83
Morgan	32	20	Nodaway	90	72
Carter	33	33	Boone	91	74
Stoddard	34	34	Franklin	92	91
Dallas	35	36	St. Louis	93	79
Bates	36	40	Jasper	94	94
Polk	37	44	Ralls	95	102
Gasconade	38	59	Newton	96	100
Marion	39	51	Lafayette	97	75
Taney	40	28	St. Louis city	98	103
Macon	41	38	Cole	99	90
Butler	42	47	Jackson	100	98
Howard	43	53	Buchanan	101	97
Carroll	44	24	McDonald	102	99
Reynolds	45	50	Webster	103	92
Saline	46	26	Ray	104	88
Monroe	47	55	Callaway	105	109
Mercer	48	25	Cass	106	101
Howell	49	63	Lincoln	107	105
Lewis	50	48	Johnson	108	106
Barry	51	42	Pulaski	109	108
Wright	52	68	Christian	110	112
Harrison	53		Andrew	111	110
Camden	54		Clay	112	114
Perry	55		Platte	113	111
Washington	56		Jefferson	114	115
Grundy	57		St. Charles	115	113

Composite Index by Population Type

Metrop	olitan	Micropolit	an	Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Boone	1	Taney	3	Camden	13
Platte	2	Adair	7	Dade	19
Cole	4	Pulaski	11	Scotland	26
Clay	5	Clark	12	Sullivan	30
St. Louis	6	Johnson	14	Ste. Genevieve	33
St. Charles	8	Cape Girardeau	15	Daviess	35
Cass	9	Stone	31	Maries	37
Christian	10	Nodaway	36	Perry	39
Newton	16	Ralls	40	Barry	41
Andrew	17	Laclede	47	Gasconade	42
DeKalb	18	Audrain	51	Mercer	44
Warren	20	Phelps	58	Barton	48
Ray	21	Marion	59	Atchison	49
Lincoln	22	Lewis	62	Texas	50
Callaway	23	Pettis	70	Pike	54
Osage	24	Saline	73	Cooper	56
Webster		Bollinger	77	Knox	57
McDonald		Schuyler		Montgomery	60
Franklin		Randolph		Henry	61
Caldwell		St. François		St. Clair	63
Clinton		Howell		Benton	64
Jefferson	34	Scott		Harrison	65
Greene		Butler			
Moniteau		Dunklin		Madison	66
monnous	43	Dunklin	112	Grundy	67
Jackson	45			Miller	68
Lafayette	46			Worth	69
Howard	52			Livingston	72
Jasper	53			Shelby	74
Bates	55			Macon	75
Dallas	71			Douglas	76
Polk	80			Craw ford	78
Buchanan	102			Vernon	79
Washington	109			Monroe	81
St. Louis City	115			Lawrence	82
				Putnam	83
				Shannon	84
				Wright	85
				Ozark	86
				Holt	87
				Dent	88
				Hickory	90
				Oregon	91
				Gentry	93
				Morgan	95
				Chariton	98
				Stoddard	99
				Iron	100
				Reynolds	101
				Cedar	103
				Linn	104
				Carter	105
				Mississippi	106
				Carroll	107
				New Madrid	110
				Wayne	111
				Ripley	113
				Pemiscot	
				remiscot	114

Economic Well-Being in Rank Order

County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009
Taney	1	1	1	Bates	2003
Cole	2	3	2	Vernon	6
St. Louis city	3	2	3	Cass	6
Boone	4	4	4	Barry	6
Platte	5	6	6	Knox	6
Jackson	6	5	5	St. Francois	6
Cape Girardeau	7	7	7	Madison	6
St. Louis	8	8	8	Mercer	6
Adair	9	10	10	Butler	6
Greene	10	10	13	Howell	
	10	12	9	Daviess	6
Marion	12	15	12	Lewis	
Clay					7
Perry	13	13	16	Lincoln	7
Saline	14	16	11	Montgomery	7
Jasper	15	14	14	Ray	7
Pettis	16	17	15	Johnson	7
Shelby	17	25	27	Holt	7
Cooper	18	18	20	Caldwell	7
Newton	19	20	19	Macon	7
Randolph	20	19	17	Cedar	7
DeKalb	21	27	54	Lawrence	7
Nodaway	22	28	18	Polk	8
Gasconade	23	24	23	St. Clair	8
Pike	24	23	24	Christian	8
Buchanan	25	21	21	Ralls	8
Atchison	26	34	51	Clinton	8
Mississippi	27	22	25	Iron	8
Phelps	28	26	22	Chariton	8
Barton	29	47	30	Wright	8
Gentry	30	31	31	Warren	3
Callaway	31	38	29	Crawford	8
Clark	32	59	52	Worth	9
Livingston	33	32	36	Jefferson	9
Scott	34	35	44	Sullivan	9
New Madrid	35	51	48	Texas	9
Lafayette	36	30	39	Dade	9
Scotland	37	41	41	Dent	9
Dunklin	38	33	38	Webster	9
Pemiscot	39	39	43	Schuyler	9
Audrain	40	42	40	Andrew	9
Franklin	41	40	28	Reynolds	9
Linn	42	43	49	Oregon	10
Howard	43	56	35	Washington	10
Grundy	44	36	33	Dallas	10
Harrison	45	45	34	Stone	10
St. Charles	46	48	32	Bollinger	10
Camden	47	37	37	Putnam	10
Pulaski	48	9	47	Morgan	10
McDonald	49	61	56	Carter	10
Osage	50	52	57	Maries	10
Stoddard	51	53	46	Benton	10
Miller	52	62	73	Ripley	11
Ste. Genevieve	53	46	58	Shannon	11
Henry	54	49	50	Wayne	11
Moniteau	55	68	72	Hickory	11
Carroll	56	57	53	Ozark	11
Monroe	57	58	42	Douglas	11
Laclede	58	50	60	2228,03	2.
	50	50	- 50	1	

Economic Well-Being by Population Type

Metropo		Micropoli		Rural		
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank	
Dallas		Dunklin		Cedar		1
Bates		Stone		Hickory		2
Polk	37	Schuyler	24	Benton		3
Howard	43	Audrain	31	St. Clair		4
Washington	56	Marion	39	Pemiscot		5
Moniteau	62	Taney	40	Knox		7
DeKalb	70	Butler	42	Daviess		8
Osage	71	Saline	46	Ozark		9
Warren	78	Howell	49	Chariton		11
Caldwell	82	Lewis	50	Shelby		12
Greene	86	Scott	60	Scotland		13
Clinton	87	Clark	64	Ripley		14
Boone	91	Laclede	69	New Madrid		15
Franklin	92	Bollinger	72			16
St. Louis		Pettis		Douglas		17
Jasper		St. Francois		Texas		18
Newton		Phelps		Linn		19
Lafavette		Cape Girardeau		Mississippi		20
St. Louis city		Randolph		Iron		21
Cole		Adair		Wayne		22
lackson		Nodaway		Dent		23
20012011						
Buchanan		Ralls		Atchison		25
McDonald		Johnson		Oregon		26
Webster		Pulaski	109	Holt		27
Ray	104			Dade		28
Callaway	105			Gentry		29
Cass	106			Henry		30
Lincoln	107			Morgan		32
Christian	110			Carter		33
Andrew	111			Stoddard		34
Clay	112			Gasconade		38
Platte	113			Macon		41
Jefferson	114			Carroll		44
St. Charles	115			Reynolds		45
				Monroe		47
				Mercer		48
				Barry		51
				Wright		52
				Harrison		53
				Camden		54
				Perry		55
				Grundy		57
				Madison		58
				Lawrence		59
		14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Vernon		61
				Crawford		63
				Barton		65
				Ste. Genevieve		66
				Cooper		67
				Sullivan		68
				Maries		73
				Shannon		77
				Montgomery		80
				Pike		81
				Miller		83
				Livingston		85
				Putnam		88

Workforce Participation in Rank Order

County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	2008	2007
Taney	1	1	1	Bates	59	64	61
Cole	2	3	2	Vernon	60	67	64
St. Louis city	3	2	3	Cass	61	60	55
Boone	4	4	4	Barry	62	55	45
Platte	5	6	6	Knox	63	69	62
Jackson	6	5	5	St. Francois	64	65	69
Cape Girardeau	7	7	7	Madison	65	71	68
St. Louis	8	8	8	Mercer	66	54	75
Adair	9	10	10	Butler	67	63	26
Greene	10	11	13	Howell	68	66	63
Marion	11	12	9	Daviess	69	75	81
Clay	12	15	12	Lewis	70	29	59
Perry	13	13	16	Lincoln	71	70	74
Saline	14	16	11	Montgomery	72	73	67
Jasper	15	14	14	Ray	73	72	65
Pettis	16	17	15	Johnson	74	76	66
Shelby	17	25	27	Holt	75	74	71
Cooper	18	18	20	Caldwell	76	87	83
Newton	19	20	19	Macon	77	78	92
Randolph	20	19	17	Cedar	78	86	88
DeKalb	21	27	54	Lawrence	79	92	90
Nodaway	22	28	18	Polk	80	91	93
Gasconade	23	24	23	St. Clair	81	82	85
Pike	24	23	24	Christian	82	81	78
Buchanan	25	21	21	Ralls	83	79	76
Atchison	26	34	51	Clinton	84	77	82
Mississippi	27	22	25	Iron	85	84	91
Phelps	28	26	22	Chariton	86	88	77
Barton	29	47	30	Wright	87	89	86
Gentry	30	31	31	Warren	88	80	79
Callaway	31	38	29	Crawford	89	85	80
Clark	32	59	52	Worth	90	83	87
Livingston	33	32	36	Jefferson	91	90	84
Scott	34	35	44	Sullivan	92	93	89
New Madrid	35	51	48	Texas	93	94	101
Lafayette	36	30	39	Dade	94	95	70
Scotland	37	41	41	Dent	95	44	97
Dunklin	38	33	38	Webster	96	96	102
Pemiscot	39	39	43	Schuyler	97	100	107
Audrain	40	42	40	Andrew	98	99	99
Franklin	41	40	28	,	99	104	98
Linn	42	43	49	Oregon	100	97	108
Howard .	43	56	35	Washington	101	98	103
Grundy	44	36	33	Dallas	102	107	104
Harrison	45	45	34	Stone	103	102	106
St. Charles	46	48	32	Bollinger	104	110	109
Camden	47	37	37	Putnam	105	101	94
Pulaski	48	9	47	Morgan	106	108	95
McDonald	49	61	56	Carter	107	105	96
Osage	50	52	57	Maries	108	103	100
Stoddard	51	53	46	Benton	109	106	105
Miller	52	62	73	Ripley	110	109	110
Ste. Genevieve	53	46	58	Shannon	111	111	111
Henry	54	49	50	Wayne	112	112	112
Moniteau	55	68	72	Hickory	113	113	113
Carroll	56	57	53	Ozark	114	114	114
Monroe	57	58	42	Douglas	115	115	115
Laclede	58	50	60				

Workforce Participation by Population Type

Metropoli	tan	Micropoli	tan	Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Cole	2	Taney	1	Perry	13
St. Louis city	3	Cape Girardeau	7	Shelby	17
Boone	4	Adair	9	Cooper	18
Platte	5	Marion	11	Gasconade	23
Jackson	6	Saline	14	Pike	24
St. Louis	8	Pettis	16	Atchison	26
Greene	10	Randolph	20	Mississippi	27
Clay	12	Nodaway	22	Barton	29
Jasper	15	Phelps	28	Gentry	30
Newton	19	Clark	32	Livingston	33
DeKalb	21	Scott		New Madrid	35
Buchanan	25	Dunklin	38	Scotland	37
Callaway		Audrain		Pemiscot	39
Lafayette		Pulaski		Linn	42
Franklin		Laclede		Grundy	44
Howard		St. Francois		Harrison	45
St. Charles		Butler		Camden	47
McDonald		Howell		Stoddard	51
Osage		Lewis		Miller	52
Moniteau		Johnson		Ste. Genevieve	53
Bates		Ralls			54
				Henry Carroll	
Cass		Schuyler			56
Lincoln		Stone		Monroe	57
Ray		Bollinger	104	Vernon	60
Caldwell	76			Barry	62
Polk	80			Knox	63
Christian	82			Madison	65
Clinton	84			Mercer	66
Warren	88			Daviess	69
Jefferson	91			Montgomery	72
Webster	96			Holt	75
Andrew	98			Macon	77
Washington	101			Cedar	78
Dallas	102			Lawrence	79
				St. Clair	81
				Iron	85
				Chariton	86
				Wright	87
				Crawford	89
				Worth	90
				Sullivan	92
				Texas	93
				Dade	94
				Dent	95
				Reynolds	99
				Oregon	100
				Putnam	105
				Morgan	106
				Carter	107
				Maries	108
				Benton	109
				Ripley	110
				Shannon	111
				Wayne	112
				Hickory	113
				Ozark	114
				Douglas	115
				Douglas	113

Economic Contribution in Rank Order

County	2009	2008	County	2009	2008
Cedar	1		Lawrence	59	46
Hickory	2		Scott	60	73
Benton	3		Vernon	61	61
St. Clair	4		Moniteau	62	67
Pemiscot	5		Crawford	63	77
Dunklin	6		Clark	64	58
Knox	7		Barton	65	64
Daviess	8		Ste. Genevieve	66	87
Ozark	9		Cooper	67	69
Stone	10		Sullivan	68	60
Chariton	11		Laclede	69	56
Shelby	12		DeKalb	70	52
Scotland	13		Osage	71	84
Ripley	14		Bollinger	72	96
New Madrid	15		Maries	73	86
Worth	16		Pettis	74	54
Douglas	17		St. Francois	75	95
Texas	18		Phelps	76	85
Linn	19		Shannon	77	82
Mississippi	20		Warren	78	57
Iron	21		Cape Girardeau	79	107
Wayne	22		Montgomery	80	81
Dent	23		Pike	81	89
Schuyler	24		Caldwell	82	62
Atchison	25		Miller	83	71
Oregon	26		Randolph	84	93
Holt	27		Livingston	85	65
Dade	28		Greene	86	66
Gentry	29		Clinton	87	104
Henry	30		Putnam	88	80
Audrain	31		Adair	89	83
Morgan	32		Nodaway	90	72
Carter Stoddard	33		Boone Franklin	91 92	74
Dallas	34 35			93	91 79
Bates	36		St. Louis	93	94
Polk	37		Jasper Ralls	95	102
Gasconade	38		Newton	96	102
Marion	39		Lafayette	97	75
Taney	40		St. Louis city	98	103
Macon	41		Cole	99	90
Butler	42		Jackson	100	98
Howard	43		Buchanan	101	97
Carroll	43		McDonald	102	99
Reynolds	45		Webster	102	92
Saline	45		Ray	103	88
Monroe	40		Callaway	104	109
Mercer	47		Cass	105	109
Howell	48		Lincoln	100	101
Lewis	50		Johnson	107	105
Barry	51		Pulaski	109	108
Wright	52		Christian	1109	
	53		Andrew		112
Harrison Camden	54			111 112	110
	55		Clay Platte	113	114
Perry					111
Washington	56 57		Jefferson	114	115
Grundy			St. Charles	115	113
Madison	58	78			

Economic Contribution by Population Type

Metropo	litan	Micropoli	itan	Rural		
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank	
Dallas	35	Dunklin	6	Cedar		1
Bates	36	Stone	10	Hickory		2
Polk	37	Schuyler	24	Benton		3
Howard	43	Audrain	31	St. Clair		4
Washington	56	Marion	39	Pemiscot		5
Moniteau	62	Taney	40	Knox		7
DeKalb	70	Butler	42	Daviess		8
Osage	71	Saline	46	Ozark		9
Warren	78	Howell	49	Chariton		11
Caldwell		Lewis		Shelby		12
Greene		Scott		Scotland		13
Clinton		Clark		Ripley		14
Boone		Laclede		New Madrid		15
Franklin				Worth		16
		Bollinger				
St. Louis		Pettis		Douglas		17
Jasper		St. Francois		Texas		18
Newton		Phelps		Linn		19
Lafayette		Cape Girardeau	79	Mississippi		20
St. Louis city		Randolph	84	Iron		21
Cole	99	Adair	89	Wayne		22
Jackson	100	Nodaway	90	Dent		23
Buchanan	101	Ralls	95	Atchison		25
McDonald	102	Johnson	108	Oregon		26
Webster	103	Pulaski	109	Holt		27
Ray	104			Dade		28
Callaway	105			Gentry		29
Cass	106			Henry		30
Lincoln	107			Morgan		32
Christian	110			Carter		33
Andrew	111			Stoddard		34
Clay	112			Gasconade		38
Platte	113			Macon		41
Jefferson	114			Carroll		44
St. Charles	114					44
St. Charles	115			Reynolds Monroe		
						47
				Mercer		48
				Barry		51
				Wright		52
				Harrison		53
				Camden		54
				Perry		55
				Grundy		57
				Madison		58
				Lawrence		59
				Vernon		61
				Crawford		63
				Barton		65
				Ste. Genevieve		66
	1 1 1 1 1 1			Cooper		67
				Sullivan		68
				Maries		73
				Shannon		77
				Montgomery		80
				Pike		81
				Miller		83
				Livingston		85
				Putnam		88

Housing in Rank Order

	9 0.0				
County	2009	2008	County	2009	2008
Andrew	1		Carter	59	9
Benton	2	4	St. Charles	60	94
Osage	3	6	Camden	61	71
Shelby	4	8	Jasper	62	47
Mercer	5	18	Cass	63	1
Dade	6	17	Jefferson	64	23
Howard	7	11	Vernon	65	48
Putnam	8	14	Adair	66	60
Washington	9	16	Dent	67	55
Bollinger	10	10	Mississippi	68	109
New Madrid	11		Johnson	69	90
Buchanan	12	77	Pettis	70	91
Scotland	13		Montgomery	71	72
Shannon	14		Lawrence	72	81
Scott	15		Barry	73	82
Gasconade	16	24	Harrison	74	95
Moniteau				75	97
	17		Texas		
Linn	18	29	Atchison	76	98
Lewis	19		Oregon	77	99
Maries	20	27	Warren	78	7
Grundy	21		Cooper	79	68
Chariton	22		Wright	80	102
Audrain	23	31	Monroe	81	79
Caldwell	24	57	Cedar	82	61
Ralls	25	36	Madison	83	54
Marion	26	37	Phelps	84	70
Schuyler	27	33	Callaway	85	69
Douglas	28	62	Macon	86	76
St. Francois	29	21	Pulaski	87	87
Wayne	30	3	Bates	88	64
Ste. Genevieve	31	22	Carroll	89	101
Nodaway	32		DeKalb	90	107
Christian	33		McDonald	91	96
Howell	34	66	Greene	92	80
Stone	35		Clay	93	92
Knox	36			94	73
Pemiscot	37		Boone	95	28
Laclede	38	53	Crawford	96	84
	39		Pike	97	93
Perry					
Cole	40		Clinton	98	65
Clark	41		St. Louis	99	103
Morgan	42		Stoddard	100	20
Platte	43		Randolph	101	105
Butler	44		Franklin	102	15
Dunklin	45	106	Sullivan	103	104
Newton	46	40	Daviess	104	112
Holt	47	83	Jackson	105	111
Iron	48	35	Ripley	106	34
Gentry	49	85	Miller	107	110
Cape Girardeau	50	49	Lafayette	108	59
Henry	51	41	Lincoln	109	26
Ozark	52		Livingston	110	114
Barton	53		Rav	111	78
Worth	54		Reynolds	112	58
Taney	55		Webster	113	108
Hickory	56		Dallas	113	113
St. Clair			St. Louis city		
	57			115	115
Saline	58	86			

Housing by Population Type

Metropo		Micropoli		Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Cass		Stone		Camden	1
Ray	11	Taney	1	Daviess	1
Platte	12	Clark	23	Ozark	1
Warren	13	Bollinger	27	Benton	7
Andrew	15	Adair	29	Douglas	7
Christian		Saline		St. Clair	9
DeKalb	20	Pulaski	52	Dade	10
Dallas	24	Scott	54	Hickory	14
McDonald	26	Ralls	55	Scotland	16
Caldwell	28	Schuyler	60	Cedar	17
Newton	33	Laclede	64	Oregon	19
Callaway	35	Nodaway	75	Putnam	21
Clay	39	Cape Girardeau	86	Sullivan	22
Bates	49	Howell	89	Carter	25
St. Charles	51	Phelps	91	Knox	30
Moniteau	53	St. Francois	92	Shannon	31
Lincoln	56	Marion	94	Maries	32
Franklin	59	Audrain	95	Ripley	34
St. Louis	63	Johnson	99	Texas	36
Webster	67	Pettis	100	Crawford	37
Greene	71	Dunklin	104	Iron	38
Jefferson	72	Randolph	106	Gentry	40
Cole	73	Butler	109	Mercer	41
Lafayette	77	Lewis	111	Barton	42
Boone	79			Barry	43
Jasper	81			Linn	44
Polk	83			Henry	46
Osage	88			Grundy	47
Jackson	93			Atchison	48
Clinton	101			Ste. Genevieve	50
Washington	102			Vernon	57
Howard	105			Holt	58
Buchanan	112			Montgomery	61
St. Louis city	115			Morgan	62
				Madison	65
				Cooper	66
				Monroe	68
				Shelby	69
				Pike	70
				Reynolds	74
		1		Stoddard	76
				Carroll	78
				Gasconade	80
				Perry	82
				Dent	84
				Chariton	85
				Wayne	87
				Lawrence	90
				Worth	96
				Macon	97
				Wright	98
				Harrison	103
				Mississippi	107
				Miller	108
				Livingston	110
				Pemiscot	113
				New Madrid	114

Transportation in Rank Order

		PO. 10	ation	III naiik	0140	•	
County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	2008	2007
Camden	1	1	5	Franklin	59	53	50
Cass	1	8	20	Schuyler	60	63	52
Daviess	1	1	1	Montgomery	61	61	83
Ozark	1	1	2	Morgan	62	55	53
Stone	1	1	4	St. Louis	63	60	68
Taney	1	1	3	Laclede	64	52	51
Benton	7	7	10	Madison	65	78	97
Douglas	7	1	7		66	79	74
St. Clair	9	13		Webster	67	67	58
Dade	10	12	8	Monroe	68	70	84
Ray	11	9		Shelby	69	71	77
Platte	12	16	25	Pike	70	72	82
Warren	13	10		Greene	71	65	60
Hickory	14	11	13	Jefferson	72	69	75
Andrew	15	26		Cole	73	75	69
Scotland	16	15		Reynolds	74	77	72
Cedar	17	14		Nodaway	75	81	67
Christian	18	17	18		76	74	65
Oregon	19	24		Lafayette	77	84	80
DeKalb	20	20		Carroll	78	83	79
Putnam	21	21		Boone	79	64	61
Sullivan	22	47		Gasconade	80	91	87
Clark	23	29	27	Jasper	81	86	81
Dallas	24	18		Perry	82	89	89
Carter	25	23		Polk	83	82	76
McDonald	26	30		Dent	84	76	66
Bollinger	27	27	31	Chariton	85	80	90
Caldwell	28	32	17		86	92	98
Adair	29	38	35	Wayne	87	73	56
Knox	30	22	19	Osage	88	87	86
Shannon	31	35	45	Howell	89	96	100
Maries	32	19	6	Lawrence	90	85	62
Newton	33	28	22	Phelps	91	93	99
Ripley	34	33	34	St. Francois	92	88	92
Callaway	35	34	42	Jackson	93	99	103
Texas	36	40	38	Marion	94	102	104
Crawford	37	43	48	Audrain	95	94	78
Iron	38	66	88	Worth	96	103	96
Clay	39	36	41	Macon	97	90	73
Gentry	40	45	46	Wright	98	95	93
Mercer	41	25	16	Johnson	99	100	101
Barton	42	37	39	Pettis	100	97	91
Barry	43	31	21	Clinton	101	68	85
Linn	44	58	59	Washington	102	101	95
Saline	45	56		Harrison	103	98	94
Henry	46	42		Dunklin	104	106	110
Grundy	47	48		Howard	105	111	109
Atchison	48	41		Randolph	106	108	102
Bates	49	39		Mississippi	107	107	106
Ste. Genevieve	50	51		Miller	107	110	111
St. Charles	51	49		Butler	108	109	108
Pulaski	52	49					
				Livingston	110	104	107
Moniteau	53	50		Lewis	111	112	113
Scott	54	59		Buchanan	112	105	105
Ralls	55	44		Pemiscot	113	113	112
Lincoln	56	54		New Madrid	114	114	114
Vernon	57	57		St. Louis city	115	115	115
Holt	58	62	64				

Transportation by Population Type

	port	ation by	i opu		PC
Metropol	litan	Micropoli	itan	Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Cass	1	Stone	1	Camden	1
Ray	11	Taney	1	Daviess	1
Platte		Clark	23	Ozark	1
Warren	13	Bollinger	27	Benton	7
Andrew	15	Adair	29	Douglas	7
Christian	18	Saline	45	St. Clair	9
DeKalb	20	Pulaski	52	Dade	10
Dallas	24	Scott	54	Hickory	14
McDonald	26	Ralls	55	Scotland	16
Caldwell	28	Schuyler	60	Cedar	17
Newton	33	Laclede	64	Oregon	19
Callaway	35	Nodaway	75	Putnam	21
Clay	39	Cape Girardeau	86	Sullivan	22
Bates	49	Howell	89	Carter	25
St. Charles	51	Phelps	91	Knox	30
Moniteau		St. Francois	92	Shannon	31
Lincoln		Marion		Maries	32
Franklin		Audrain		Ripley	34
St. Louis		Johnson		Texas	36
Webster		Pettis		Crawford	37
Greene		Dunklin		Iron	38
Jefferson		Randolph		Gentry	40
Cole		Butler		Mercer	41
Lafayette		Lewis		Barton	42
Boone	79	LEWIS	111	Barry	43
Jasper	81			Linn	44
Polk	83			Henry	46
	88				40
Osage Jackson	93			Grundy Atchison	47
					50
Clinton	101 102			Ste. Genevieve	57
Washington				Vernon	
Howard	105			Holt	58
Buchanan	112			Montgomery	61
St. Louis city	115			Morgan	62
				Madison	65
				Cooper	66
				Monroe	68
				Shelby	69
				Pike	70
				Reynolds	74
		1.		Stoddard	76
				Carroll	78
	1 1 2			Gasconade	80
				Perry	82
				Dent	84
				Chariton	85
				Wayne	87
				Lawrence	90
				Worth	96
				Macon	97
				Wright	98
				Harrison	103
				Mississippi	107
				Miller	108
				Livingston	110
				Pemiscot	113
					114

Household Composition in Rank Order

Hous	Ciloid	Compos		Italik	Orac
County	2009	2008	County	2009	2008
Sullivan	1	4	Cooper	59	49
Daviess	2	6	Ray	60	32
Schuyler	3	2	Pemiscot	61	89
Putnam	4	27	Holt	62	54
Reynolds	5		Scott	63	84
DeKalb	6		Iron	64	29
Stone	7		Audrain	65	82
St. Clair	8	17	St. Louis city	66	115
Atchison	9		Adair	67	104
Mercer	10	76	Webster	68	62
Ozark	11		Taney	69	51
Pettis	12	3	Barton	70	42
Pike	13		Nodaway	71	94
Platte	14		Jasper	72	69
Scotland	15		Moniteau	73	56
Andrew	16		Crawford	74	107
Carter	17		Mississippi	75	75
Saline	18			76	87
Cass	19	71	Benton	77	67
Christian	20	18		78	38
	20				61
Gentry			Shannon	79	
Lincoln	22		Callaway	80	40
Buchanan	23		Vernon	81	59
Shelby	24	35		82	78
Franklin	25		McDonald	83	81
Carroll	26		Hickory	84	24
Caldwell	27		Marion	85	95
Howard	28	14		86	53
Dade	29		Polk	87	91
Linn	30	77	Macon	88	99
Henry	31		Butler	89	85
Douglas	32			90	46
Camden	33		Dunklin	91	112
Clark	34	37	Lawrence	92	96
Lafayette	35		Bollinger	93	36
Jefferson	36	70		94	100
New Madrid	37		St. Francois	95	110
Madison	38		Laclede	96	55
Greene	39	66	Ripley	97	101
Chariton	40	63	Randolph	98	111
St. Louis	41	72	Dent	99	108
Bates	42		Jackson	100	74
Maries	43	15	Wayne	101	92
Grundy	44	79	Miller	102	93
Knox	45	64	Montgomery	103	83
Texas	46	71	Barry	104	97
St. Charles	47	41	Stoddard	105	106
Warren	48	16	Phelps	106	105
Ralls	49	8	Wright	107	88
Oregon	50	28	Washington	108	109
Lewis	51	113	Osage	109	58
Cole	52	25	Morgan	110	98
Clay	53	52	Clinton	111	47
Worth	54		Perry	112	80
Livingston	55		Cape Girardeau	113	68
Dallas	56		Johnson	114	86
Ste. Genevieve	57		Howell	115	114
Newton	58	48			
	50	40	1		

Household Composition by Population Type

		•		•	
Metropo		Micropoli		Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Washington		Pulaski		New Madrid	9
Webster		Bollinger		Pemiscot	10
Christian		Scott		Carter	11
Lincoln		Johnson		Mississippi	12
McDonald	6 1	Laclede	20	Ripley	13
Jefferson	7	Dunklin	24	Madison	18
St. Charles	14	St. Francois	30	Reynolds	19
Platte	15	Howell	31	Shannon	21
Cass	22	Ralls	36	Wright	28
Newton	23	Butler	43	Wayne	32
Andrew	25	Randolph	53	Stoddard	33
Warren	26	Phelps	58	Ste. Genevieve	34
Callaway	27	Pettis	60	Oregon	35
Franklin	29 (Cape Girardeau	62	Barry	38
Clay	37	Adair	63	Texas	42
Jasper	39	Clark		Lawrence	44
Dallas	40 1	Marion	69	Miller	45
Ray	41	Taney	78	Crawford	46
Osage		Schuyler	81	Douglas	48
Moniteau		Lewis		Iron	50
Cole		Audrain		Perry	51
Clinton		Stone		Dent	55
Caldwell		Nodaway		Maries	59
Boone		Saline		Dade	61
DeKalb	64	Summe	33	Barton	67
Bates	65			Vernon	71
Polk	66			Sullivan	72
	70				72
Lafayette	74			Montgomery	75
Buchanan Jackson				Daviess Pike	
	77 79				76
Greene St. Louis	84			Putnam	80 82
	92			Cooper Gasconade	86
Howard	103			Camden	87
St. Louis city	103				90
				Henry	
				Benton	91
				Monroe	93
				Mercer	94
				Ozark	96
				Macon	97
				Morgan	98
				Linn	99
				Grundy	100
				Livingston	101
				Harrison	102
				Carroll	104
				Atchison	105
				Cedar	106
				Chariton	107
				Hickory	108
				Gentry	109
				Holt	110
				St. Clair	111
				Shelby	112
				Worth	113
				Scotland	114

Civic Engagement in Rank Order

Sullivan 1 4 Cooper 59 49 Daviess 2 6 Ray 60 32 Schuyler 3 2 Pemiscot 61 89 Putnam 4 27 Holt 62 54 Reynolds 5 1 Scott 63 84 DeKalb 6 23 Iron 64 29 Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Atchison 9 19 Adair 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Plate 14 9 Jasper 72 69	County	2009	2008	County	2009	2008
Daviess 2 6 Ray 60 32 Schuyler 3 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 3						
Schuyler 3 2 Pemisot 61 89 Putnam 4 27 Holt 62 54 Reynolds 5 1 Scott 63 84 DeKalb 6 23 Iron 64 29 Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Akchison 9 19 Adair 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pilte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Putnam 4 27 Holt 62 54 Reynolds 5 1 Scott 63 84 DeKalb 6 23 Iron 64 29 Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Atchison 9 19 Adair 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pilke 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75						
Reynolds 5 1 Scott 63 84 DeKalb 6 23 Iron 64 29 Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Plate 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Plate 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulsak 76 87 Carss 19		_				
DeKalb 6						
Stone 7 31 Audrain 65 82 St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Atchisson 9 19 Adair 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Montawa 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippl 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cars 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
St. Clair 8 17 St. Louis city 66 115 Atchison 9 19 Adalr 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Plate 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulsaki 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 6					- 1	
Atchison 9 19 Adair 67 104 Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippl 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 <						
Mercer 10 76 Webster 68 62 Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippl 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Carser 12 Pulaski 76 87 Cars 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cars 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cars 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 80one 78 38 Scotland 32						
Ozark 11 10 Taney 69 51 Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Plastic 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carrer 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 20 24 235 Gasconade						
Pettis 12 3 Barton 70 42 Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 53 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82						
Pike 13 65 Nodaway 71 94 Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Cars 19 7 Benton 77 67 Storial 8 20 10 80 40 Buch 20 11 20 60 McDonald						
Platte 14 9 Jasper 72 69 Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion	Pike	13			71	94
Scotland 15 39 Moniteau 73 56 Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 8	Platte				72	69
Andrew 16 13 Crawford 74 107 Carter 17 34 Mississippi 75 75 Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87<	Scotland	15				
Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89						
Saline 18 21 Pulaski 76 87 Cass 19 7 Benton 77 67 Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89					75	
Christian 20 18 Boone 78 38 Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91						
Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Cardaden 33 30 Dunklin 91	Cass					
Gentry 21 12 Shannon 79 61 Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Cardaden 33 30 Dunklin 91						
Lincoln 22 45 Callaway 80 40 Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Carden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92		21			79	61
Buchanan 23 102 Vernon 81 59 Shelby 24 35 Gasconade 82 78 Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macoon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Cardene 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 Madison 38 103 Lacled 96 </td <td>Lincoln</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>80</td> <td></td>	Lincoln				80	
Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Cark 34 37 Lawrence 90 46 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede <	Buchanan					59
Franklin 25 60 McDonald 83 81 Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Cark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede <					82	
Carroll 26 57 Hickory 84 24 Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley		25	60	McDonald	83	81
Caldwell 27 43 Marion 85 95 Howard 28 14 Cedar 86 53 Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph <	Carroll				84	24
Dade 29 11 Polk 87 91 Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson						
Linn 30 77 Macon 88 99 Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne	Howard	28	14	Cedar	86	53
Henry 31 33 Butler 89 85 Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller	Dade	29	11	Polk	87	91
Douglas 32 5 Monroe 90 46 Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery<	Linn	30	77	Macon	88	99
Camden 33 30 Dunklin 91 112 Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry </td <td>Henry</td> <td>31</td> <td>33</td> <td>Butler</td> <td>89</td> <td>85</td>	Henry	31	33	Butler	89	85
Clark 34 37 Lawrence 92 96 Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stod	Douglas	32	5	Monroe	90	46
Lafayette 35 50 Bollinger 93 36 Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 P	Camden	33	30	Dunklin	91	112
Jefferson 36 70 Harrison 94 100 New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright<	Clark	34	37	Lawrence	92	96
New Madrid 37 73 St. Francois 95 110 Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington </td <td>Lafayette</td> <td>35</td> <td>50</td> <td>Bollinger</td> <td>93</td> <td>36</td>	Lafayette	35	50	Bollinger	93	36
Madison 38 103 Laclede 96 55 Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage	Jefferson	36	70	Harrison	94	100
Greene 39 66 Ripley 97 101 Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52	New Madrid	37	73	St. Francois	95	110
Chariton 40 63 Randolph 98 111 St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 <t< td=""><td>Madison</td><td>38</td><td>103</td><td>Laclede</td><td>96</td><td>55</td></t<>	Madison	38	103	Laclede	96	55
St. Louis 41 72 Dent 99 108 Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55	Greene	39	66	Ripley	97	101
Bates 42 26 Jackson 100 74 Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau	Chariton	40	63	Randolph	98	111
Maries 43 15 Wayne 101 92 Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson	St. Louis	41	72	Dent	99	108
Grundy 44 79 Miller 102 93 Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell </td <td>Bates</td> <td>42</td> <td>26</td> <td>Jackson</td> <td>100</td> <td>74</td>	Bates	42	26	Jackson	100	74
Knox 45 64 Montgomery 103 83 Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Maries	43	15	Wayne	101	92
Texas 46 71 Barry 104 97 St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Grundy	44	79	Miller	102	93
St. Charles 47 41 Stoddard 105 106 Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Knox	45	64	Montgomery	103	83
Warren 48 16 Phelps 106 105 Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Texas	46	71	Barry	104	97
Ralls 49 8 Wright 107 88 Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	St. Charles	47	41	Stoddard	105	106
Oregon 50 28 Washington 108 109 Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Warren	48	16	Phelps	106	105
Lewis 51 113 Osage 109 58 Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Ralls	49	8	Wright	107	88
Cole 52 25 Morgan 110 98 Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Oregon	50	28	Washington	108	109
Clay 53 52 Clinton 111 47 Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Lewis	51		-	109	58
Worth 54 22 Perry 112 80 Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Cole	52	25	Morgan	110	98
Livingston 55 89 Cape Girardeau 113 68 Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Clay	53	52	Clinton	111	47
Dallas 56 20 Johnson 114 86 Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Worth		22	Perry	112	80
Ste. Genevieve 57 44 Howell 115 114	Livingston	55	89	Cape Girardeau	113	68
	Dallas	56	20	Johnson	114	86
Newton 58 48	Ste. Genevieve	57	44	Howell	115	114
	Newton	58	48			

Civic Engagement by Population Type

01110 E	gag	Jennent b	y	Jaiation	·ypc
Metropo		Micropoli		Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
DeKalb	6	Schuyler	3	Sullivan	1
Platte	14	Stone	7	Daviess	2
Andrew	16	Pettis	12	Putnam	4
Cass	19	Saline	18	Reynolds	5
Christian	20	Clark	34	St. Clair	8
Lincoln	22	Ralls	49	Atchison	9
Buchanan	23	Lewis	51	Mercer	10
Franklin	25	Scott	63	Ozark	11
Caldwell	27	Audrain	65	Pike	13
Howard	28	Adair	67	Scotland	15
Lafayette	35	Taney	69	Carter	17
Jefferson	36	Nodaway	71	Gentry	21
Greene	39	Pulaski	76	Shelby	24
St. Louis	41	Marion	85	Carroll	26
Bates	42	Butler	89	Dade	29
St. Charles	47	Dunklin	91	Linn	30
Warren	48	Bollinger	93	Henry	31
Cole		St. Francois	95	Douglas	32
Clay		Laclede		Camden	33
Dallas		Randolph		New Madrid	37
Newton		Phelps		Madison	38
Ray		Cape Girardeau		Chariton	40
St. Louis city		Johnson		Maries	43
Webster		Howell		Grundy	44
Jasper	72	Tioweii	113	Knox	45
Moniteau	72			Texas	46
Boone	78			Oregon	50
Callaway	80			Worth	54
McDonald	83			Livingston	55
Polk	87			Ste. Genevieve	57
Jackson	100			Cooper	59
Washington	108			Pemiscot	61
Osage	109			Holt	62
Clinton	111			Iron	64
Ciliton				Barton	70
				Crawford	74
				Mississippi	75
				Benton	77
				Shannon	79
				Vernon	81
		3		Gasconade	82
				Hickory	84
				Cedar	86
				Macon	88
				Monroe	90
				Lawrence	92
				Harrison	94
					97
				Ripley	
				Dent Wayne	99 101
				Miller	102
				Montgomery	103
				Barry	104
				Stoddard	105
				Wright	107
				Morgan	110
				Perry	112

Safety in Rank Order

	Gaic	· y · · · ·		0.00.	
County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009
St. Charles	1	3	1	Hickory	59
Warren	2	2	2	Cooper	60
Platte	3	1	3	Texas	61
Christian	4	4	4	Perry	62
Boone	5	6	6	Knox	63
Lincoln	6	5	5	Benton	64
Clay	7	10	8	Randolph	65
Pulaski	8	7	9	Henry	66
Johnson	9	11	13	St. Francois	67
Daviess	10	19	18	Bates	68
Callaway	11	8	7	Wright	69
Camden	12	9	10	Carroll	70
Jefferson	13	12	11	Saline	71
Cole	14	13	12	Shannon	72
Taney	15	16	14	Scotland	73
Franklin	16	20	24	Putnam	74
Greene	17	17		Mercer	75
Cass	18	14		Bollinger	76
McDonald	19	15		St. Clair	77
Laclede	20	26		Washington	78
Jackson	21	25		Schuyler	79
Webster	22	23		Howell	80
Stone	23	18		Dent	81
Maries	24	21	22		82
St. Louis	25	27		Livingston	83
Buchanan	25	31	31	_	84
		32			85
Jasper	27			Crawford	
Ste. Genevieve	28	22		· ·	86
Ralls	29	24		Scott	87
Lafayette	30	29	30		88
Caldwell	31	35		Shelby	89
Nodaway	32	37		Sullivan	90
Barton	33	28		Iron	91
Douglas	34	30	26	Grundy	92
Ozark	35	45		Lewis	93
Moniteau	36	39	48		94
DeKalb	37	38		Dade	95
Barry	38	33	34		96
Ray	39	34	33	Worth	97
St. Louis city	40	43	41		98
Miller	41	40		Butler	99
Monroe	42	44		Montgomery	100
Phelps	43	41		Stoddard	101
Newton	44	42		Chariton	102
Osage	45	51		Atchison	103
Adair	46	49	50	Madison	104
Howard	47	36	43	Carter	105
Andrew	48	53	44	Gasconade	106
Morgan	49	59		Holt	107
Lawrence	50	48		Gentry	108
Pettis	51	46	37	Ripley	109
Pike	52	52	49	Reynolds	110
Clinton	53	47	62	Wayne	111
Audrain	54	57	63	Dunklin	112
Dallas	55	54	56	Pemiscot	113
Polk	56	56	53	Mississippi	114
Clark	57	58	46	New Madrid	115
Cape Girardeau	58	55	47		

Safety by Population Type

Metropo	_	Micropoli		Rural	
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank
Howard	3	Nodaway	19	Carroll	1
Platte	5	Ralls	20	Mercer	2
Osage	6	Schuyler	23	Dade	4
Clay	8	Clark	24	Gentry	7
Clinton	9	Lewis	35	Chariton	10
Moniteau	12	Stone	36	Maries	11
St. Charles	13	Johnson	44	Livingston	14
Webster	15	Audrain	50	Pike	16
Christian	17	Bollinger	66	Worth	22
Lincoln	18	Pulaski	70	Scotland	26
Cass	21	Randolph	73	Shannon	27
Andrew	25	St. Francois	86	Benton	30
Lafayette	28	Laclede	87	Montgomery	31
Caldwell	29	Taney	88	Linn	32
DeKalb	37	Adair	94	Daviess	33
Ray	40	Howell	96	Perry	34
Warren	42	Cape Girardeau	98	Hickory	38
Franklin	47	Saline	101	Harrison	39
Bates	48	Phelps	102	Shelby	41
Cole	57	Pettis	105	Reynolds	43
Jefferson	63	Marion	106	Texas	45
Polk	71	Scott	108	Macon	46
Newton	75	Dunklin	112	Camden	49
St. Louis	76	Butler	113	Ste. Genevieve	51
Dallas	78			Putnam	52
Boone	81			Oregon	53
Callaway	82			Wright	54
Washington	89			Knox	55
McDonald	92			Sullivan	56
Buchanan	100			Cedar	58
Greene	109			Barton	59
Jasper	111			Ozark	60
Jackson	114			Morgan	61
St. Louis city	115			Iron	62
				Miller	64
				St. Clair	65
				Cooper	67
				Atchison	68
				Gasconade	69
				Grundy	72
				Henry	74
				Monroe	77
				Dent	79
				Barry	80
				Carter	83
				Madison	84
				Lawrence	85
				Holt	90
				Douglas	91
				Vernon	93
	100			Crawford	95
				Stoddard	97
				Mississippi	99
				Wayne	103
				Ripley	104
				New Madrid	107
				Pemiscot	110

Long-Term Care Costs in Rank Order

County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	2008	2007
St. Charles	1	3	1	Hickory	59	70	78
Warren	2	2	2	Cooper	60	50	54
Platte	3	1	3	Texas	61	65	60
Christian	4	4	4	Perry	62	68	73
Boone	5	6	6	Knox	63	66	57
Lincoln	6	5	5	Benton	64	62	66
Clay	7	10	8	Randolph	65	69	71
Pulaski	8	7	9	Henry	66	67	70
Johnson	9	11	13	St. Francois	67	63	64
Daviess	10	19	18	Bates	68	61	68
Callaway	11	8	7	Wright	69	64	69
Camden	12	9	10	_	70	60	59
Jefferson	13	12	11	Saline	71	73	76
Cole	14	13	12	Shannon	72	72	75
Taney	15	16	14	Scotland	73	91	95
Franklin	16	20	24		74	74	55
Greene	17	17	21	Mercer	75	77	61
Cass	18	14		Bollinger	76	71	67
McDonald	19	15		St. Clair	77	79	82
Laclede	20	26	27	Washington	78	78	77
Jackson	21	25		Schuyler	79	82	79
Webster	22	23	28	Howell	80	81	81
Stone	23	18	19	Dent	81	80	80
Maries	24	21	22	Vernon	82	83	89
St. Louis	25	27		Livingston	83	84	90
Buchanan	26	31	31	Cedar	84	76	72
Jasper	27	32	32		85	75	74
Ste. Genevieve	28	22	29	Oregon	86	87	85
Ralls	29	24		Scott	87	85	86
Lafayette	30	29	30		88	89	83
Caldwell	31	35	39	Shelby	89	98	96
Nodaway	32	37		Sullivan	90	93	93
Barton	33	28		Iron	91	90	92
Douglas	34	30		Grundy	92	92	94
Ozark	35	45		Lewis	93	95	87
Moniteau	36	39	48	Harrison	94	86	88
DeKalb	37	38	42	Dade	95	88	84
Barry	38	33		Macon	96	100	105
Ray	39	34	33	Worth	97	97	99
St. Louis city	40	43	41	Marion	98	96	97
Miller	41	40	40	Butler	99	94	91
Monroe	42	44		Montgomery	100	101	108
Phelps	43	41		Stoddard	101	102	102
Newton	44	42	38	Chariton	102	105	103
Osage	45	51	52	Atchison	103	106	104
Adair	46	49	50	Madison	104	107	107
Howard	47	36	43	Carter	105	99	100
Andrew	48	53		Gasconade	106	103	101
Morgan	49	59		Holt	107	104	98
Lawrence	50	48		Gentry	108	108	111
Pettis	51	46		Ripley	109	110	110
Pike	52	52		Reynolds	110	109	106
Clinton	53	47		Wayne	111	111	109
Audrain	54	57		Dunklin	112	112	112
Dallas	55	54		Pemiscot	113	113	114
Polk	56	56		Mississippi	114	114	113
Clark	57	58		New Madrid	115	115	115
		55	47				

Long-Term Care Costs by Population Type

Metropo		Micropoli		Rural		
County	Rank	County	Rank	County	Rank	
St. Charles		Pulaski		Daviess		10
Warren	2	Johnson	9	Camden		12
Platte	3	Taney	15	Maries		24
Christian	4	Laclede	20	Ste. Genevieve		28
Boone	5	Stone	23	Barton		33
Lincoln	6	Ralls	29	Douglas		34
Clay	7	Nodaway	32	Ozark		35
Callaway	11	Phelps	43	Barry		38
Jefferson	13	Adair	46	Miller		41
Cole	14	Pettis	51	Monroe		42
Franklin	16	Audrain	54	Morgan		49
Greene	17	Clark		Lawrence		50
Cass	18	Cape Girardeau	58	Pike		52
McDonald		Randolph		Hickory		59
Jackson		St. Francois		Cooper		60
Webster		Saline		Texas		61
St. Louis		Bollinger		Perry		62
Buchanan		Schuyler		Knox		63
Jasper		Howell		Benton		64
-		Scott		Henry		66
Lafayette						
Caldwell		Lewis		Wright		69
Moniteau		Marion		Carroll		70
DeKalb		Butler		Shannon		72
Ray		Dunklin	112	Scotland		73
St. Louis city	40			Putnam		74
Newton	44			Mercer		75
Osage	45			St. Clair		77
Howard	47			Dent		81
Andrew	48			Vernon		82
Clinton	53			Livingston		83
Dallas	55			Cedar		84
Polk	56			Crawford		85
Bates	68			Oregon		86
Washington	78			Linn		88
				Shelby		89
				Sullivan		90
				Iron		91
				Grundy		92
				Harrison		94
				Dade		95
				Macon		96
				Worth		97
				Montgomery		100
				Stoddard		101
				Chariton		102
				Atchison		103
				Madison		103
				Carter		105
				Gasconade		105
				Holt		
						107
				Gentry		108
				Ripley		109
				Reynolds		110
				Wayne		111
				Pemiscot		113
				Mississippi	:	114
				New Madrid	:	115

Health Status in Rank Order

		• • • •					
County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	2008	2007
Worth	1	13	25	Chariton	59	57	38
Clark	2	1	1	Jefferson	60	71	59
Stone	3	4	4	DeKalb	61	95	84
Sullivan	4	8	16	Jasper	62	69	71
Lewis	5	9	30	St. Louis	63	56	56
Hickory	6	5	8	Newton	64	60	39
Ozark	7	2	3	Vernon	65	62	74
Knox	8	18	32	Pulaski	66	48	52
Howard	9	11	5	Lawrence	67	67	57
Johnson	10	14	28	Adair	68	70	75
Douglas	11	3	2	Monroe	69	84	76
Dade	12	23	42	Scotland	70	81	97
Caldwell	13	10	23	Ray	71	87	88
Andrew	14	29	47	Marion	72	59	73
Maries	15	6	6	Crawford	73	58	94
Dent	16	21		Ste. Genevieve	74	42	12
St. Clair	17	27	54	Livingston	75	73	101
Macon	18	12	21	Polk	76	75	68
McDonald	19	25	48	Franklin	77	66	69
Platte	20	17	10	Carter	78	89	105
Wayne	21	16	43	Montgomery	79	67	79
Miller	22	26	18	Nodaway	80	86	98
Christian	23	20	7	Barton	81	53	31
Cape Girardeau	24	22	35		82	65	62
Harrison	24	28		Howell	83	63	58
Camden	26	19	11	Bollinger	84	45	41
Wright	27	34	29	Bates	85	88	64
Morgan	28	40	44		86	78	87
Greene	29	32	26	Madison	87	82	102
Webster	30	33	15	,	88	100	108
Texas	31	50		Moniteau	89	71	83
Audrain	32	36	55	Putnam	90	98	27
Gasconade	33	15	22	Butler	91	83	93
Mercer	34	7	13	Jackson	92	92	99
Ralls	35	24		Stoddard	93	96	89
Taney	36	46		Mississippi	94	91	81
Clay	37	39		Perry	95	99	96
St. Charles	38	44		Cooper	96	105	77
Oregon	39	74		Saline	97	79	80
Barry	40	31		Shannon	98	104	78
Atchison	41	37		Reynolds	99	97	112
Benton	42	52		Dunklin	100	93	91
Osage	43	61		Buchanan	101	94	92
Shelby	44	41		Pike	102	107	106
Cass	45	47		Randolph	103	85	60
Laclede	46	38		St. Francois	104	103	107
Boone	47	54		Daviess	105	101	66
Schuyler	48	90		Scott	106	106	110
Warren	49	55		New Madrid	107	102	100
Cole	50	64		St. Louis city	108	109	111
Holt	51	80		Cedar	109	108	90
Grundy	52	35		Washington	110	112	104
Phelps	53	43		Linn	111	113	114
Henry	54	30		Gentry	112	111	109
Callaway	55	51		Carroll	113	110	82
Dallas	56	48		Ripley	114	115	115
Clinton	57	77		Pemiscot	115	114	113
Pettis	58	76	86				

Health Status by Population Type

		atus by i			
Metropo	litan Rank	Micropoli	tan Rank	Rural	Rank
County		County		County	
Howard		Clark		Worth	1
Caldwell		Stone		Sullivan	4
Andrew		Lewis		Hickory	6
McDonald		Johnson		Ozark 	7
Platte		Cape Girardeau		Knox	8
Christian		Audrain		Douglas	11
Greene		Ralls		Dade	12
Webster		Taney		Maries	15
Clay		Laclede		Dent	16
St. Charles		Schuyler		St. Clair	17
Osage		Phelps		Macon	18
Cass		Pettis		Wayne	21
Boone		Pulaski		Miller	22
Warren		Adair		Harrison	24
Cole		Marion		Camden	26
Callaway		Nodaway		Wright	27
Dallas		Howell		Morgan	28
Clinton		Bollinger		Texas	31
Jefferson		Butler		Gasconade	33
DeKalb		Saline		Mercer	34
Jasper		Dunklin		Oregon	39
St. Louis		Randolph		Barry	40
Newton		St. Francois	104	Atchison	41
Ray		Scott	106	Benton	42
Polk	76			Shelby	44
Franklin	77			Holt	51
Lincoln	82			Grundy	52
Bates	85			Henry	54
Lafayette	88			Chariton	59
Moniteau	89			Vernon	65
Jackson	92			Lawrence	67
Buchanan	101			Monroe	69
St. Louis city	108			Scotland	70
Washington	110			Crawford	73
				Ste. Genevieve	74
				Livingston	75
				Carter	78
				Montgomery	79
				Barton	81
				Iron	86
				Madison	87
				Putnam	90
				Stoddard	93
				Mississippi	94
				Perry	95
				Cooper	96
				Shannon	98
				Reynolds	99
				Pike	102
				Daviess	105
				New Madrid	107
				Cedar	109
				Linn	111
				Gentry	112
				Carroll	113
				Ripley	114
				Pemiscot	115

Health Care Access in Rank Order

	2222	2222	2227		2222	2222	2227
County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	2008	2007
Boone	1	1	_	Texas	59	52	60
Adair	2	2	3	St. Clair	60	63	32.5
St. Louis	3	3	9	Osage	61	69	95.5
Jackson	4	4	10	Carroll	62	59	62.5
Cole	5	5		Atchison	63	103	56.5
Marion	6	11	13	Cedar	64	69	88
Butler	7	9		Lafayette	65	58	47
Cape Girardeau	8	7	6	Jefferson 	66	60	52.5
St. Louis city	9	6	_	DeKalb	67	75	88
Greene	10	8		Stoddard	68	56	60
Scotland	11	34		Macon	69	72	71.5
Jasper	12	13	39.5	Linn	70	47	35.5
Phelps	13	14	11.5	Cass	71	83	77.5
Johnson	14	16	22.5	Pemiscot	72	76	29.5
Taney	15	12	15	Washington	73	41	71.5
Clay	16	10	11.5	Iron	74	49	56.5
Clinton	17	17	44.5	Lincoln	75	51	82
Daviess	18	40	112	McDonald	76	71	104.5
St. Francois	19	25	18	Ray	77	79	82
Buchanan	20	19	17	Douglas	78	80	74.5
Pulaski	21	33	19.5	Dent	79	78	56.5
Saline	22	23	32.5	Ripley	80	73	52.5
Camden	23	20	47	Bates	81	81	82
Dunklin	24	46	35.5	Wright	82	82	82
Madison	25	15	37.5	Schuyler	83	67	74.5
Livingston	26	43	39.5	Lewis	84	102	88
Nodaway	27	21	29.5	Montgomery	85	74	68
St. Charles	28	22	16	Christian	86	68	82
Sullivan	29	54	52.5	Clark	87	61	42
Scott	30	27	19.5	Mississippi	88	77	65
Laclede	31	24	29.5	Monroe	89	100	92.5
Howell	32	28	29.5	Moniteau	90	91	77.5
Newton	33	31	5	Dade	91	107	110.5
Polk	34	26	34	Crawford	92	64	88
Pettis	35	32	42	Ozark	93	114	107
Callaway	36	37	56.5	Miller	94	88	49.5
Audrain	37	18	14	Webster	95	96	92.5
Platte	38	55	21	Ralls	96	109	100.5
Henry	39	29	44.5	Warren	97	92	97
New Madrid	40	39	92.5	Dallas	98	93	82
Harrison	41	65	100.5	Reynolds	99	94	68
Franklin	42	35		Chariton	100	86	113
Lawrence	43	48		Morgan	101	89	82
Putnam	44	62		Carter	102	97	109
Knox	45	95		Worth	103	98	49.5
Gasconade	46	45		Caldwell	104	90	76
Barton	47	44		Shelby	105	104	92.5
Holt	47	85		Benton	106	105	71.5
Vernon	49	42		Wayne	107	101	100.5
Pike	50	38		Mercer	108	106	100.5
Barry	51	36		Maries	109	108	104.5
Randolph	52	30		Stone	110	110	100.5
Ste. Genevieve	53	84		Howard	111	99	62.5
Perry	54	50		Oregon	111	113	100.5
Shannon	55	53		Bollinger	113	111	100.5
	56			_			
Grundy	57	87 66		Andrew	114	112	110.5
Gentry	58	66 57		Hickory	115	115	107
Cooper	38	5/	71.5				

Health Care Access by Population Type

i i c aitii Ca	aic Ac	0033	Dy I	Opulation	O11 1 y	PC
County	2009	2008	2007	County	2009	20
Boone	1	1	1	Marion	59	
Platte	2	2	2	Montgomery	60	
Taney	3	3	3	Henry	61	
Cole	4	4	5	Lewis	62	
Clay	5	5	6	St. Clair	63	
St. Louis	6	6	15	Benton	64	
Adair	7	9	9	Harrison	65	
St. Charles	8	10	8	Madison	66	
Cass	9	12		Grundy	67	
Christian	10	7	7	Miller	68	
Pulaski	11	8		Worth	69	
Clark	12	18	11		70	
Camden	13	11		Dallas	71	
Johnson	14	15		Livingston	72	
Cape Girardeau	15	13		Saline	72	
	16	14			74	
Newton				Shelby		
Andrew	17	22		Macon	75	
DeKalb	18	40		Douglas	76	
Dade	19	21		Bollinger	77	
Warren	20	17		Crawford	78	
Ray	21	28	27	Vernon	79	
Lincoln	22	20	32	Polk	80	
Callaway	23	23	30	Monroe	81	
Osage	24	26	38	Lawrence	82	
Webster	25	27	25	Putnam	83	
Scotland	26	43	58	Shannon	84	
McDonald	27	25	36	Wright	85	
Franklin	28	19	17	Ozark	86	
Caldwell	29	32	23	Holt	87	
Sullivan	30	53	42	Dent	88	
Stone	31	24	37	Schuyler	89	
Clinton	32	33		Hickory	90	
Ste. Genevieve	33	16		Oregon	91	
Jefferson	34	35		Randolph	92	
Daviess	35	36		Gentry	93	
Nodaway	36	44		St. Francois	94	
Maries	37	29		Morgan	95	
	38	38		Howell	96	
Greene						
Perry	39	46		Scott	97	
Ralls	40	30	19	Chariton	98	
Barry	41	31		Stoddard	99	
Gasconade	42	34		Iron	100	
Moniteau	43	42		Reynolds	101	
Mercer	44	39	43	Buchanan	102	
Jackson	45	57	90	Cedar	103	
Lafayette	46	54	72	Linn	104	
Laclede	47	41	34	Carter	105	
Barton	48	37	22	Mississippi	106	
Atchison	49	49	28	Carroll	107	
Texas	50	62	75	Butler	108	
Audrain	51	45	41	Washington	109	
Howard	52	50		New Madrid	110	
Jasper	53	58		Wayne	111	
Pike	54	70		Dunklin	112	
Bates	55	47		Ripley	113	
	56			Pemiscot		
Cooper		65			114	
Knox	57	82		St. Louis city	115	
Phelps	58	51	48	<u> </u>		

Glossary of Indicators

Economic Well-being

Supplemental Security Payments as Percent of Total Personal Income

Glossary of Outcome Indicators

Supplemental security income (SSI) payments are income-based benefits available to seniors and persons with disabilities. In 2006, the SSI benefit for an individual who lived alone and had no other income was 73 percent of the poverty line. People with countable assets of more than \$2,000 for an individual and \$3,000 for a couple are ineligible for SSI. Source: Research & Evaluation, Missouri Department of Social Services, 2007

Workforce Participation

Percentage of Seniors Working for Pay

The percentage of persons aged 65 or over in a county working for wages as calculated by averaging the number of persons 65+ working for wages during each quarter of 2007. Source: The Longitudinal Employer—Households Dynamic Program, Missouri Economic Research & Information Center, Missouri Department of Economic Development, 2007

Economic Contribution

Economic Impact Index

Calculation is based on the ratio between average household income and average household expenditures by age cohorts 65+ and <65. The expenditures for the two groups are then attributed to the ratio of the two age groups in a county. Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census, American Community Survey 2008, Consumer Expenditure Survey, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2007

Housing

Percentage of Seniors Housing Cost Burdened Percentage of persons 65 and older who spend 30 percent or more of their monthly income on mortgage payments or rent and utilities combined. Sources: American Community Survey, U.S. Bureau of the Census 2008; Office of Social & Economic Data Analysis ACS Estimates, 2008

Transportation

Percentage of All Seniors with Missouri Driver's License

The percentage of seniors with a valid Missouri driver's license. Source: Division of Motor Vehicle and Drivers Licensing, Missouri Department of Revenue, 2008

Household Composition

Seniors Filing Missouri Joint Income Tax Returns

Percentage of seniors living in households where head of household did not file as 'single'. Source: Division of Taxation & Collection, Missouri Department of Revenue, 2007

Civic Engagement

Senior Voters Index

The percentage of seniors who were registered to vote or voted in an election during past year. *Source: Missouri Secretary of State, 2008*

Glossary of Indicators

Long-Term Care

Medicaid Costs for Long-Term Care per 1,000 Persons Total Medicaid dollars spent on in-home and residential longterm care services per capita. Source: Section for Long-term Care Regulation, Division of Senior & Disability Services, Missouri Department of Health & Senior Services, 2008

Safety

Crime and Senior Abuse per 1,000 Persons

The number of property and violent crimes and senior abuse hotline calls per 1,000 persons. Source: The Missouri Statistical Analysis Center, Missouri Department of Highway Patrol, Missouri Department of Public Safety, 2008

Health Status

Hospitalization & ER Visits for Diabetes per 10,000 Seniors The number of hospital and emergency room visits made per 10,000 seniors regarding diabetes and issues associated with diabetes. Source: Data, Surveillance Systems, & Statistical Reports, Missouri Department of Health & Senior Services, 2005-2007

Health Care Access

Primary Care Physicians per 1,000 Seniors

The number of physicians providing primary care services full or part time in a county per 1,000 seniors. Source: Missouri Division of Professional Registration database and the Missouri Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs (BNDD) database, 2008

Demographics

Total Population

Glossary of Status Indicators

Measures the total population for the years of 2000, 2008, 2015 and 2025. Source: Table 2a. Projected Population of the United States, by Age and Sex: 2000 to 2050, "U.S. Interim Projections by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," U.S. Census Bureau, 2008

Change in Total Population

A measure of the change in population between 2000 and 2008. Source: Table 2a. Projected Population of the United States, by Age and Sex: 2000 to 2050, "U.S. Interim Projections by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin," U.S. Census Bureau, 2008

Population 65+

A measure of the total population that is 65 years old or older. Source: Table 1, Annual Estimates of the Population by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups for the United States: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2008. Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau

Percentage of Population 65+

A measure of the percentage of the total population that is 65 years old or older. Source: Table 1, Annual Estimates of the Population by Sex and Five-Year Age Groups for the United States: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2008. Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau

Glossary of Indicators

A measure of the male and female population 65 years old or older Population Projections 65+

for 2015 and 2025. Sources: Population projections are produced by OSEDA by using 2008 NCHS estimates for demographic cohorts. Cohort-survival ratios by race and sex were calculated as five-year intervals using 1990 and 2000 census data as well

as 2001-2008 estimates.

Quality of Life

The percentage of persons 65 years old and older living in owner-Seniors in Owner-Occupied

occupied housing. Housing

Seniors Living in Families The percentage of persons 65 years old and older living in

families.

Median Value of Own House A measure of the median value, in dollars, of owner-occupied

housing for persons 65 years old and older.

A measure of the percentage of persons 65 years old and older Seniors Living in Poverty

living in poverty.

Average Income of Senior A measure of the annual average household income, in dollars,

Households for persons 65 years old and older.

Seniors with a College A measure of the percentage of persons 65 years old and older Education

with a college degree or higher.

Sources: American Community Survey, U.S. Bureau of the Census 2008; Office of Social & Economic Data Analysis ACS Estimates.

2008

Health and Wellness

No Exercise A measure of the percentage of seniors who responded that they

had not performed some sort of non-work related exercise during

the past month.

No Sigmoidoscopy or A measure of the percentage of seniors who responded that they

Colonoscopy have not had a sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy exam in the past

10 years.

High Blood Pressure A measure of the percentage of seniors who have been told they

have high blood pressure by a doctor, nurse, or other health

professional.

Obesity A measure of the percentage of seniors who have a body mass

index greater than 25.00 (Overweight or Obese).

Smoking A measure of the percentage of seniors who are current

smokers.

No Mammography A measure of the percentage of senior females who have not had

a mammogram in the past year.

A measure of the percentage of seniors who had their cholesterol High Cholesterol

checked and have been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health

professional that it was high.

Source: 2007 County-Level Study, Community Profiles. Missouri

Department of Health and Senior Services, 2008